Differential Roles of Segmented Filamentous Bacteria and Clostridia in Development of the Intestinal Immune System
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The presence of microflora in the digestive tract promotes the development of the intestinal immune system. In this study, to evaluate the roles of two types of indigenous microbes, segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) and clostridia, whose habitats are the small and large intestines, respectively, in this immunological development, we analyzed three kinds of gnotobiotic mice contaminated with SFB, clostridia, and both SFB and clostridia, respectively, in comparison with germfree (GF) or conventionalized (Cvd) mice associated with specific-pathogen-free flora. In the small intestine, the number of αβ T-cell receptor-bearing intraepithelial lymphocytes (αβIEL) increased in SFB-associated mice (SFB-mice) but not in clostridium-associated mice (Clost-mice). There was no great difference in Vβ usage among GF mice, Cvd mice, and these gnotobiotic mice, although the association with SFB decreased the proportion of Vβ6+ cells in CD8β+ subsets to some extent, compared to that in GF mice. The expression of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules on the epithelial cells was observed in SFB-mice but not in Clost-mice. On the other hand, in the large intestine, the ratio of the number of CD4+CD8+ cells to that of CD4+CD8- cells in αβIEL increased in Clost-mice but not in SFB-mice. On association with both SFB and clostridia, the numbers and phenotypes of IEL in the small and large intestines changed to become similar to those in Cvd mice. In particular, the ratio of the number of CD8αβ+ cells to that of CD8βε+ cells in αβIEL, unusually elevated in the small intestines of SFB-mice, decreased to the level in Cvd mice on contamination with both SFB and clostridia. The number of immunoglobulin A (IgA)-producing cells in the lamina propria was more elevated in SFB-mice than in Clost-mice, not only in the ileum but also in the colon. The number of IgA-producing cells in the colons of Clost-mice was a little increased compared to that in GF mice. Taken together, SFB and clostridia promoted the development of both IEL and IgA-producing cells in the small intestine and that of only IEL in the large intestine, respectively, suggesting the occurrence of compartmentalization of the immunological responses to the indigenous bacteria between the small and large intestines.

IEL (intraepithelial lymphocytes), immunoglobulin A (IgA)-producing cells in the lamina propria, and intestinal epithelial cells are key players that determine the nature of the immunological responses to antigens or pathogens ingested. Although the precise functions of IEL remain obscure, they are postulated to take part in the mechanism of defense against pathogens such as Cryptosporidium (34), Toxoplasma (7), and Listeria (14) spp. IEL, in particular γδIEL, have been shown to be closely associated with regulation of the proliferation of epithelial cells (28). Intestinal epithelial cells have also been shown to be engaged in antigen presentation, suggesting the involvement of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules expressed on the epithelial cells in this process (33). Interleukin-7 (IL-7), IL-6, and transforming growth factor β are also produced in epithelial cells in various situations (38). It is clear that the apparatus and tools of the immunological responses of conventional animals differ greatly from those of germfree (GF) animals based on previous studies. In GF animals, the number of IEL, in particular αβ T-cell receptor (αβTCR)-bearing T cells (αβIEL), is greatly reduced and their Thy-1 expression and cytolytic activity are very low (31, 49). IgA production is also rare in GF mice, compared to that in conventional or specific-pathogen-free (SPF) animals (45).

Macrosopically, Peyer’s patches in GF animals are small and poorly developed in comparison with those in conventional animals (42). The intestinal flora is essential for the generation of intestinal mucosal lymphocytes in severe combined immunodeficiency mice reconstituted with thymus-derived T cells (8). Thus, a large amount of evidence accumulated suggests the premature immune responsiveness of GF animals. This is consistent with differences between the physiological characteristics of the digestive tracts, such as intestinal motility and digestive enzyme activities, of GF and conventional animals (23). Association of a kind of intestinal indigenous microbe, i.e., segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB), with GF mice or rats was shown to activate the immunological characteristics of the small intestine to near the levels in conventional mice or rats (49). However, the immunological and physiological characteristics of SFB-monoassociated mice (SFB-mice) are far from those of conventional mice, except in the small intestine. SFB cannot be cultivated and therefore are only identified on November 7, 2017 by guest
ameliored (10, 13). Together, these results strongly suggest that the presence of the commensal bacteria is closely associated with some steps in the development of colitis or enteritis. In the colitis model, the anaerobes in the large intestine are assumed to be candidates for the agent causing the pathogenesis (9, 16).

Although it is clear that commensal bacteria are closely associated with the development of the immune system or with the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease, the precise underlying mechanisms remain obscure. In this study, we aimed to clarify how the indigenous microbes in the small and large intestines, respectively, affect the development of IEL and IgA production in both parts of the intestine. We selected SFB and clostridia as typical indigenous bacteria in the small and large intestines, respectively, based on the results of previous studies (26, 48).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Throughout this study, male GF BALB/c mice kept at our institute were used. The preparation of the first generation of gnotobiotic SFB-mice or clostridium-associated mice (Clost-mice) is described elsewhere (26, 48). In brief, a 3% antibiotic–gentamicin–streptomycin solution was added to GF mice. Thereafter, through repeated passages through GF mice, SFB-mice were selected. Although SFB cannot be cultivated in vitro, the homogeneity of the contaminating bacteria was confirmed based on the 16S rDNA sequence and microscopy of the intestinal contents of these mice (13). In Clost-mice, 46 strains of clostridia isolated singly from conventional mice were inoculated into GF mice after preinoculation of mouse-derived Escherichia coli to reduce the redox potential in the luminal environment. Mice associated with both SFB and clostridia were prepared by being housed in the same cage in a vinyl isolator. Conventionalized (Cvd) mice were prepared by administration of a suspension of feces freshly isolated from SFB mice to GF mice. For immunological analysis, we used the second generation of the established gnotobiotic mice or Cvd mice together with age-matched GF mice.

IEL preparation and flow cytometry. IEL were prepared as described elsewhere (48, 49). Briefly, the epithelial cell fraction obtained on EDTA treatment was subjected to Percoll density gradient centrifugation after filtration through nylon mesh and a nylon column. IEL were recovered at the 44-to-70% Percoll interface (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden); IEL of the large intestine were prepared from both the cecum and the colon. An aliquot of the IEL suspension was prepared microbiologically (26). In conventional mice, SFB and clostridia were recognized microscopically in the ileum (Fig. 1a and b) while only clostridia were recognized in the feces (Fig. 1c and d). The clostridia used here comprised 46 strains which were isolated from the feces of conventional mice and were well characterized microbiologically (26). In conventional mice, SFB and clostridia were confined to the small and large intestines, respectively.

IEL in the small intestines of gnotobiotic mice. The total number of IEL in the small intestine was much higher in SFB-mice than in Clost-mice (Fig. 2a). The IEL number was much larger in SFB-mice than in Clost-mice. Approximately 100% of the IEL in SFB-mice consisted of IEL subsets, the proportions of which were estimated, based on the percentage in total IEL, by using monoclonal antibodies against CD8α and CD8β. In the intestinal epithelium of SFB-mice, the CD8α homodimer was much larger in the ileum than in the colon, respectively, to the bottom of a crypt were counted by using two sections per mouse.

RESULTS

Microbiological profiles of the small and large intestines of gnotobiotic mice. In this study, we established three kinds of gnotobiotics which were contaminated with SFB or clostridia, residents of the small and large intestine, respectively, or with both bacterial populations. The microbiological profiles of the small and large intestines were investigated by microscopy using smear preparations of the ileal contents and fresh feces, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1a and c, a great amount of SFB was present not only in the ilea but also in the feces of SFB-mice. Many SFB adhered to ileal epithelial cells of the small intestine. Large amounts of clostridia were also present in both the ilea and feces of Clost-mice (Fig. 1b and d). In mice associated with both SFB and clostridia, both bacteria were recognized microscopically in the ileum (Fig. 1a and b) while only clostridia were recognized in the feces (Fig. 1c and d). The clostridia used here comprised 46 strains which were isolated from the feces of conventional mice and were well characterized microbiologically (26). In conventional mice, SFB and clostridia were confined to the small and large intestines, respectively.

IEL in the small intestines of gnotobiotic mice. The total number of IEL in the small intestine was much higher in SFB-mice than in Clost-mice (Fig. 2a). The IEL number was much larger in SFB-mice than in Clost-mice. Approximately 100% of the IEL in SFB-mice consisted of IEL subsets, the proportions of which were estimated, based on the percentage in total IEL, by using monoclonal antibodies against CD8α, CD8β, CD8αβ, and CD8αβ. In the intestinal epithelium of SFB-mice, the CD8α homodimer was much larger in the ileum than in the colon, respectively, to the bottom of a crypt were counted by using two sections per mouse.

FIG. 1. Microbiological status of the small intestines (ileum) and large intestines (feces) of SFB-mice, Clost-mice, and mice associated with both SFB and clostridia. The bacterial numbers were determined by microscopy using smear preparation of the ileal contents (a and b) and feces (c and d). The data are numbers of bacteria per gram of ileal contents or feces.
IEL in the large intestines of gnotobiotic mice. The number of IEL in the large intestines, including the ceca and colons, of Cvd mice was not very different from that in GF mice. The numbers of IEL were a little greater in gnotobiotic SFB-mice, Clost-mice, and mice associated with both bacteria than in GF mice. However, the percentages of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup>, CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup> (DP), and CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup> (DN) cells in the αβIEL populations were considerably different among these gnotobiotic mice. In particular, an increase in the ratio of the number of CD8<sup>-</sup> cells to that of CD4<sup>+</sup> cells in αβIEL was evident in Cvd mice. This ratio increased in Clost-mice or mice associated with both SFB and clostridia compared to that in GF mice. However, the increase in this ratio was not so evident in SFB-mice.

IgA production in the small and large intestines of gnotobiotic mice. IgA production in the small and large intestines was estimated immunohistochemically by measuring the number of IgA-producing cells in the ileal lamina propria and colonic lamina propria (Fig. 7). In the small intestine, the number of IgA-producing cells was much higher in SFB-mice than that in Clost-mice. The numbers of IgA-producing cells in the colonic lamina propria in these gnotobiotic mice were close to those obtained from the ileum. However, the number of IgA-producing cells in the colonic tissue of Clost-mice was a little higher than that in GF mice, which is different from the ileum data.

DISCUSSION

It is uncertain what kinds of microbes are necessary for the development of the gut immune system and how intestinal microbes affect this process, because many kinds of intestinal bacteria are present and their occurrence greatly differs between the small and large intestines. Our previous studies strongly suggested that a kind of indigenous microbe in the small intestine, SFB, is essential for the development of the function of the small intestine (48, 50). SFB are well known to strongly attach to epithelial cells in the small intestine since their discovery in a wide range of animals, including humans. Although SFB have not been cultivated in vitro in spite of much effort, they can be identified based on the 16S rDNA sequence. Moreover, for this study, we chose clostridia as the indigenous bacteria in the large intestine because they are dominant there and are able to normalize cecal size when associated with GF mice them (26). Mouse-derived E. coli, used to facilitate colonization by clostridia, was confirmed not to affect the IEL profile or their cytolytic activity or physiological characteristics substantially (data not shown).

The numbers of IEL, in particular αβIEL, and IgA-producing cells in the small intestine increased to near the levels in Cvd mice, and MHC class II molecules on epithelial cells were expressed in SFB-mice as observed in Cvd mice. However, these characteristics of Clost-mice remained similar to those of GF mice and agreed with the findings on IgA-producing cells in the duodenal mucosa of ex-GF C3H mice associated with indigenous clostridia (37). In the large intestine, the IEL profile of Clost-mice changed to that of Cvd mice but not that of SFB-mice, while the numbers of IgA-producing cells in the colonic lamina propria were still larger in SFB-mice than in Clost-mice. Numbers of IgA-producing cells in the colonic tissue of Clost-mice were a little higher than in GF mice.

In SFB-mice or Clost-mice, SFB or clostridia were not confined to the small or large intestine, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1. In mice associated with both SFB and clostridia, these immunological responses were slightly augmented in both parts of the intestine compared to those in SFB-mice or Clost-mice. Based on these results, it seems that the presence of clostridia in the small intestine or of SFB in the large intestine causes enhancement of the immunological responses and at least there is no interference with the immunological responses evoked by the residents of the small or large intestine, i.e., SFB or clostridia, respectively.

How the immune systems of the small and large intestines primarily sense SFB and clostridia, respectively, is an important question. It was suggested that bacterial translocation producing systemic effects might be excluded in the case of SFB and clostridia because these bacteria were not recovered in the blood or spleen after intragastric challenge (data not shown). Taking into the consideration the difference between the immunological responses to the two bacterial populations in the small and large intestines, there may be a difference between the natures of the stimuli produced on colonization by...
SFB and by clostridia. SFB have been shown to bind epithelial cells in the small intestine but not in the large intestine, although they colonize both parts of the intestine in SFB-mice. Electron microscopy shows the accumulation of electron-dense actin-like materials under the site of insertion of SFB into the microvillus membrane (12, 29). We have evidence that SFB isolated from rats can induce IEL conversion and IgA production in rats, but not in mice, in which they can colonize the
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**FIG. 3.** Ratios of the number of CD8αβ-bearing αβIEL to that of CD8αα-bearing αβIEL in GF mice, SFB-mice, Clost-mice, mice associated with both SFB and clostridia (SFB + Clost), and Cvd mice. The data in panel a are means plus standard deviations (n = 3 or 4) and were reproducible in the experiment involving the first generation. The letters a, b, c, and d near the columns indicate statistically significantly differences from the SFB, Clost, SFB + Clost, and Cvd groups, respectively. Single letter, P < 0.05; double letters, P < 0.01. (b to f) Representative data for the quadrants on three-color analysis gating of αβIEL in the GF (b), SFB (c), Clost (d), SFB + Clost (e), and Cvd (f) groups.
The data are means plus standard deviations (n subsets determined by three-color analysis of GF mice, SFB-mice, and Cvd mice. However, the proportion of Vβ6+ cells in IEL, with the CD8αβ heterodimer or the CD8αα homodimer, was biased by association with SFB or the whole intestinal flora. It seems that there was little difference in Vβ usage between SFB-mice and Cvd mice. These results suggest that stimuli evoked by the association of SFB with GF mice were not different from those evoked by association with the whole intestinal flora, although there is a difference in the ratio of the number of CD8αα cells to that of CD8αα cells between the two groups. The selection of Vβ in both CD8αα and CD8αβ αβIEL subsets may occur via antigen-driven selection or subset-specific expansion but not differential migration, as suggested by Lefrancos et al. (1). Generally, microbial association seems to be a growth factor-like stimulus for the development of pan-IEL in the digestive tract. We have already established that bacterial colonization of GF mice induces the proliferation of both CD8αα and CD8αβ αβIEL subsets in bromodeoxyuridine uptake experiments (24). It is not known whether or not these microbes induce the diversification of immunoglobulin genes in Peyer’s patches of rodents, as observed in the bursa of Fabricius in the chick or in ileal Peyer’s patches of sheep (17).

It is also very interesting that the ratio of the number of CD8αβ cells to that of CD8αα cells in αβIEL of SFB-associated mice exceeded those in the other groups. When clostridia were added to SFB-mice or the whole intestinal flora was associated with GF mice, the CD8αβ/CD8αα cell ratio was decreased to that in conventional mice. Generally, CD8αβ and CD8αα molecules bearing αβIEL are thought to be derived from thymus-dependent and thymus-independent cell lineages, respectively (18, 19), and to differentially respond to TCR stimulation (40). It is difficult to explain why there were more αβIEL with the CD8αβ heterodimer in SFB-mice than in the other groups. As it is assumed that the development of αβIEL is more advanced in Cvd mice than in SFB-mice, αβIEL with CD8αβ molecules are likely to emerge in an intermediate form on the way to those with CD8αα molecules, as observed in the sequential development of neonatal rat IEL expressing CD8αβ (22).

Among the immunological characteristics, the compartmentalization of IEL responses and MHC class II expression on epithelial cells between the two microbe populations in the small and large intestines was clearer than in IgA-producing patches and IgA production (4). Although our results do not rule out the possibility that the initial event(s) in the immune response to commensal bacteria, in particular, IgA production, occurs in Peyer’s patches or lymph nodes after engulfment of the microbes by M cells (3, 5), it is possible to explain both the IEL and IgA responses to commensal bacteria by cross talk between epithelial and immune cells.

Although there is some disagreement as to the effects of the microflora on Vβ usage in the small-intestinal IEL of mice (7) and rats (21), there is a consensus that the small-intestinal IEL expand oligoclonally in mice, rats, and humans (2, 41). In this study, Vβ usage in αβIEL was not so different among these gnotobiotic mice, GF mice, and Cvd mice. However, during infection can stimulate the development of Peyer’s patches and IgA production (4). Therefore, our results do not rule out the possibility that the initial event(s) in the immune response to commensal bacteria, in particular, IgA production, occurs in Peyer’s patches or lymph nodes after engulfment of the microbes by M cells (3, 5), it is possible to explain both the IEL and IgA responses to commensal bacteria by cross talk between epithelial and immune cells.

On the other hand, clostridia secrete a great amount of metabolites in the large intestine, such as short-chain fatty acids and secondary bile acids (data not shown), although they are not likely to be bound to the epithelial cells in either part of the intestine. Butyrate, a major product, has been reported to be metabolized preferentially in colonic epithelial cells and to stimulate their proliferation (11). If the metabolites are metabolized preferentially in colonic epithelial cells and to metabolites in the large intestine, such as short-chain fatty acids (15). Therefore, different mechanisms are assumed to underlie the IEL, MHC class II, and IgA responses. IgA-producing cells in the lamina propria are well known to migrate there by homing after their precursor cells have been primed in the Peyer’s patches. On the other hand, it has been suggested that the progenitor cells of IEL, lacking markers of mature T cell, are present in the crypt patches (44) and the IEL themselves (20, 39). Therefore, IEL responses to indigenous bacteria may occur locally in the intestinal mucosa and it is possible that IgA-producing cells, after their precursor cells have been
primed in the small intestines of SFB-mice, migrate into the lamina propria of not only the small intestine but also the large intestine via the circulation. In this situation, it is likely that compartmentalization between the two parts of the intestine will be less evident in IgA responses than in IEL responses. As shown in this report, in GF mice, the IEL phenotypes were clearly different in the two parts of the intestine, suggesting that the compartmentalization of IEL responses between the two parts also occurs in the absence of living bacteria.

In this study, two populations of indigenous microbes, SFB

![Image](image_url)

FIG. 5. MHC class II molecule expression in ileal epithelial cells of GF mice (a), SFB-mice (b), Clost-mice (c), mice associated with both SFB and clostridia (d), and Cvd mice (e). Shown are longitudinal sections of the ileum stained with an admixture of biotinylated anti-I-A^d and anti-I-E^d antibodies, followed by peroxidase-labelled streptavidin. The data are representative of the mice in each group and were reproducible in the experiment involving the first generation. Magnification, ×85.
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FIG. 6. Ratio of the number of CD4^+ CD8^− cells to that of CD4^− CD8^+ cells among αβIEL in the large intestines of GF mice, SFB-mice, Clost-mice, mice associated with both SFB and clostridia (SFB + Clost), and Cvd mice. The data are means plus the standard deviations (n = 3 or 4) and were reproducible in the experiment involving the first generation. The letters b, c, and d indicate statistically significant differences from the Clost, SFB + Clost, and Cvd groups, respectively. Single letter, P < 0.05; double letters, P < 0.01.
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FIG. 7. Numbers of IgA-producing cells in the ileal lamina propria and colonic lamina propria of GF mice, SFB-mice, Clost-mice, mice associated with both SFB and clostridia (SFB + Clost), and Cvd mice. The data are means plus the standard deviations (n = 3 or 4) and were reproducible in the experiment involving the first generation. The letters a, b, c, and d indicate statistically significant differences from the SFB, Clost, SFB + Clost, and Cvd groups, respectively. Single letter, P < 0.05; double letters, P < 0.01.
and clostridia, were shown to cooperatively contribute to the immune system in the intestine. Definitive compartmentalization of the immunological responses to these microbes, in particular those of IEL, between the small and large intestine was observed. Moreover, it is important to stress that not only MHC class II expression, but also increases in the proliferation rate and the fucosylation of the asialoGM1 glycolipids occurred in the epithelial cells, coincident with the development of the immunological characteristics (data not shown). The immunologic effects of the commensal bacteria are positively correlated in healthy animals with no immunodeficiency, as described in this report. However, when abnormal immunological responses occur due to mutations, as observed in inflammatory bowel disease model mice, the commensal bacteria may play a negative role in disease development.
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