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Repeated infections with Neisseria gonorrhoeae are common among patients attending sexually transmitted
disease clinics. We examined whether previous infections or site of infection altered the local and systemic
antigonococcal antibody levels in males and females. Antibodies against N. gonorrhoeae MS11 and the patients’
homologous infecting isolates were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. In general, the local and
systemic immune responses to gonococci were extremely modest. There was a slight increase in serum
immunoglobulin G (IgG) against the MS11 strain and the homologous isolates in infected males. Levels of
serum IgA1 antibodies against MS11 were slightly higher in infected than in uninfected females. A history of
previous infections with N. gonorrhoeae did not alter the antibody levels in patients with a current infection,
suggesting that immunological memory is not induced by uncomplicated gonococcal infections. Antibody
responses to infected subjects’ homologous isolates were observed in cervical mucosa; IgA1 levels increased
while IgG levels decreased. The decline in mucosal IgG against the homologous isolates was less common in
subjects having both rectal and cervical infections; otherwise, no effect of rectal involvement was observed.
The absence of substantially higher antibody levels to gonococci where there is infection at a site known to contain
organized lymphoid tissue suggests that the low levels of responses to uncomplicated infections may not be due
simply to an absence of inductive sites in the genital tract. We propose that in addition to its potential ability
to avoid the effects of an immune response, N. gonorrhoeae does not elicit strong humoral immune responses
during uncomplicated genital infections.

Neisseria gonorrhoeae is an exclusively human pathogen transmitted most often by sexual contact. For the majority of
patients, antibiotic treatment is effective and there are few long-term sequelae. In some women, however, N. gonorrhoeae
may infect the upper genital tract and cause pelvic inflammatory disease with serious consequences including sterility. The
risk of complicated infection may increase in the future as the number of antibiotic-resistant strains of N. gonorrhoeae also
increases (15). Another consequence of gonococcal infection is its potential to enhance the risk of acquiring other sexually
transmitted diseases (STD), including human immunodeficiency virus infection (1, 22, 35). These important health
concerns have sparked continuing interest into the development of vaccines against gonorrhea as well as other STD.

Several prototype gonococcal vaccines have shown limited or no protection against reinfection with N. gonorrhoeae de-
spite the generation of serum antibody responses against the vaccine antigens (3, 24, 47). The results from vaccine trials
parallel observations regarding natural gonococcal infections, where local and systemic antibodies have been detected by
immunofluorescence in secretions and serum from infected patients, yet there is a high rate of recidivism of gonococcal
infections among patients attending STD clinics (19, 25, 26, 34, 44, 46). Some evidence of partial serovar-specific immunity
has been reported among sex workers (37). The high rate of reinfection despite the presence of antigonococcal antibodies leads
to the assumption that N. gonorrhoeae evades the host’s immune response. Indeed, N. gonorrhoeae possess several mech-
anisms which could potentially thwart the effects of immune responses directed toward this organism in vivo, including hy-
pervariation of surface antigens (29), resistance to complement-mediated bacteriolysis (39, 42), and the production of
immunoglobulin A1 (IgA1) protease (36).

Recent quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) measurements of the levels of antigonococcal anti-
obodies indicated that while such antibodies could be detected in serum and secretions from infected patients, their levels
were unexpectedly low (14). These results suggested that the levels of antigonococcal antibodies generated during natural
infections may not be adequate to provide protection against reinfection and that this might explain the lack of immunity to
N. gonorrhoeae. It is known that repeated exposure to an antigen or organism enhances immune responses by evoking
memory within the immune system. This study was therefore designed to examine whether a history of gonococcal infection
elicits greater antibody responses in patients with uncomplicated gonorrhea and whether infection of the rectum, which
contains organized lymphoid follicles (32, 33) and may serve as an inductive site for local and genital tract antibody responses
(5, 18, 21), results in significantly greater mucosal immune responses, particularly as manifested in the genital tract.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. Male and female patients attending the Jefferson County Depart-
ment of Health STD clinic (Birmingham, Ala.) were recruited into the study, and
informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to enrollment. Patients
attending the clinic, but not infected with N. gonorrhoeae, were enrolled as
controls. Presumption of infection and treatment were based on clinical criteria,
including Gram-stained smears, and infection was confirmed by routine culture
methods (see below). Clinical data and history, including age, onset of menses,
known previous STD, and concurrent infections with Chlamydia trachomatis and
Trichomonas vaginalis (Table 1), were recorded for each patient. Where possible,
samples of cervical mucus, vaginal wash, and blood were obtained from the

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbi-
ology, BBRB Box 1, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 845 19th
St. South, Birmingham, AL 35294-2170. Phone: (205) 934-1233. Fax:
(205) 934-3894. E-mail: mcdm136@uabdpo.dpo.uab.edu.

Copyright © 1999, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.
patients during each of three visits to the STD clinic at approximately 2-week intervals following the initial visit; however, not all specimens were obtained from every patient. All diagnosed patients were given appropriate antibiotic treatment during the first visit and were retested for infection at subsequent visits; no patient remained infected after treatment.

**Sample collection and handling.** Cervical mucus (~0.05 to 0.5 ml) was mechanically collected by using a sterile swab and dispersed in an approximately equal volume of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing a 2% protease inhibitor cocktail (10 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaN₃, 0.01% [wt/vol] leupeptin in 0.05 to 0.5 ml) was mechanically collected by using a sterile swab and dispersed in an approximately equal volume of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing a 2% protease inhibitor cocktail (10 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaN₃, 0.01% [wt/vol] leupeptin [Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.], 0.02 M Pefabloc [Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, Ind.]). The suspended mucus was treated with Nonidet P-40 (NP-40; 10 μl/ml Sigma) and then diluted 1:5 or up to 2 ml with PBS, vortexed, stored overnight at 4°C, and finally centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The preparations were then aliquoted and stored at ~70°C.

Vaginal wash specimens were collected by instilling 10 ml of PBS and recovering approximately 8 ml by aspiration; 0.1 volume of the above-described protease inhibitor cocktail at 10× concentration was then added. The wash was centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was treated with NP-40, aliquoted, and stored at ~70°C.

Urethral swab specimens were collected from males by using Dacron-tipped nasopharyngeal swabs with stainless-steel shafts. Swabs were inserted 1.5 cm into the urethra and then placed directly into PBS-2% protease inhibitor and processed as described above. Urethral swabs for antibody determination were collected before other urethral specimens.

**Bacteria.** N. gonorrhoeae was isolated from infected patients by swab culture on modified Thayer-Martin medium and identified by conventional microbiological criteria. Following initial culture, gonococcal isolates from participants were subcultured on chocolate agar and maintained frozen at ~70°C until required. N. gonorrhoeae MS11 was obtained from MS11 (University of Århus, Århus, Denmark) and maintained frozen in liquid nitrogen.

**Antigen preparation.** For antigen preparation, MS11 and homologous gonococcal isolates were taken from frozen stocks and cultured on chocolate agar plates (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, Md.) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂-air atmosphere. Gonococcal were scraped from confluent plate cultures, resuspended in 1 ml of PBS/plate, and fixed with 0.5% formaldehyde at 4°C overnight. The optical density of each culture was measured at a wavelength of 590 nm, and the bacterial concentration was estimated by comparison to a previously determined standard ratio of bacterial cell concentration and optical density at 590 nm; 100-μl aliquots of gonococcal at 10⁶ CFU/ml were subsequently frozen at ~70°C until use. One confluent plate culture of each homologous isolate and 20 confluent plate cultures of MS11 provided sufficient bacteria for all antibody analyses presented here.

**Ig and antibody assays.** Cervical mucus, vaginal wash, and serum samples were assayed for concentrations of total IgA1, IgA2, IgM, and IgG by ELISA. Primary antibody or antigen coating for all assays was carried out in PBS. Prevention of nonspecific Ig binding was accomplished by blocking all assays with PBS-Tween (PBS containing 0.15% Tween 20 [Sigma] for 4 h. All washes and subsequent sample or antibody additions were carried out in the presence of PBS-Tween. To measure total IgG and IgM, plates were coated with anti-human IgG or IgM (Dako Corp., Carpinteria, Calif.) and then duplicate serial dilutions of sample were incubated overnight. Bound IgG was detected with peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG or IgM (Dako) for 4 h. For IgA1 and IgA2 measurement, plates were initially coated with human-absorbed goat anti-mouse IgG (Southern Biotechnology Associates) and then duplicate serial dilutions of sample incubated overnight. Bound IgA1 or IgM antibodies were detected with peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG or IgM for 4 h. Bound IgA1 or IgA2 was detected by incubation with either anti-human IgA1 or IgA2 followed by incubation with peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Southern Biotechnology Associates) for 4 h. For all assays, color development was used a substrate consisting of o-phenylenediamine and H₂O₂ in citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 4.0); development was stopped with sulfuric acid after 15 min, and absorbance was read at 490 nm in a V-max microplate reader (Molecular Devices Corp., Menlo Park, Calif.) interfaced to a Macintosh computer for data retrieval. Standard curves were determined for each plate and type of assay from serial dilutions of human Ig calibrator at appropriate concentrations (The Binding Site Ltd., Birmingham, England). Unknowns were interpolated on standard curves generated by a computer program with four-parameter logistic algorithms (40), and parallelism between unknown and standard dilution curves was demonstrated over the range of unknown dilutions used for calculation.

Antibody levels in secretions of each isotype were normalized to compensate for sample-to-sample variations in antibody secretion and dilution by comparison to the concentration of total corresponding Ig isotype in that sample. For each isotype and sample, the antibody level as a percentage of total Ig was calculated as [antibody] (ng/ml)/[Ig] (ng/ml) × 100.

**Statistics.** Statistical tests were performed with the Statist statistics program for Macintosh computers. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparisons of more than two statistical groups. Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Mann-Whitney U test, Spearman’s correlation, and Fisher’s exact test were used as appropriate. Differences between groups or visits where P was <0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Total Ig concentrations in female genital tract secretions in relation to gonococcal and other genital tract infections.** It is not clear whether total Ig levels in female genital secretions are affected by the presence of concomitant STD. Some previous reports have shown higher Ig levels in the genital tract during infections with organisms causing STD (4), while others have not (9, 48). Therefore, we measured the concentrations of total IgA1, IgA2, IgG, and IgM in cervical mucus, vaginal wash, and serum samples from volunteers without demonstrable infection, from volunteers in whom only N. gonorrhoeae was detected, and from volunteers infected with other pathogens (C. trachomatis or T. vaginalis) with or without N. gonorrhoeae.

The concentrations of total Ig isotypes in local secretions from noninfected female patients (Table 2) were similar to those found in recently published studies (2, 16). There were no differences between the concentrations of total IgA1, IgA2, IgG, and IgM in genital tract secretions in patients with dif-
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### Table 1. Distribution of current and previous STD and other variables in participating patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Current infection</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Menses</th>
<th>Site of current N. gonorrhoeae infection</th>
<th>Previous STD exposure*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervix</td>
<td>Rectum and cervix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N. gonorrhoeae</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. vaginalis and C. trachomatis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N. gonorrhoeae, T. vaginalis, and C. trachomatis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N. gonorrhoeae</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patients were asked to provide a history of STD infections at the time of treatment; clinical confirmation of their responses was not possible. N. gonorrhoeae; Ctr, C. trachomatis; Tva, T. vaginalis.

---

...continued
different STD compared with noninfected women. High levels of IgG were detected in a few but not all cervical mucus and vaginal wash samples obtained during menses, but this applied equally to noninfected and infected groups and did not significantly bias the comparison between groups. There was no significant correlation between the concentrations of each Ig isotype in serum and secretions or between the concentrations of different isotypes within each type of sample.

Antibody responses to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11. We chose to examine the antibody levels to fixed whole bacteria instead of attempting to follow responses to a single (variable) antigen. We first assayed the levels of IgA1, IgA2, IgG, and IgM antibodies specific for *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 (a widely studied gonococcal strain) in female mucosal secretions and serum, in order to compare the levels of antigonococcal antibodies in gonococcus-infected and noninfected patients.

Antibodies that recognize *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 were found at low levels in local secretions and sera from female patients, both infected and noninfected (Table 3). To compensate for sample-to-sample variations in antibody secretion and dilution, all statistical analyses of antibody levels in cervical mucus and vaginal wash samples were performed relative to the concentration of total corresponding Ig isotype. IgA1 antibody levels in serum, but not in secretions, were higher in female patients infected with *N. gonorrhoeae* than in noninfected patients at both visit 1 ($P = 0.0015$) and visit 2 ($P = 0.0054$). IgA2 antibodies to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 were detected in one cervical mucus sample and in no vaginal wash samples. IgA2 antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ig isotype</th>
<th>No current infection</th>
<th>Current infection with¹:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tva and/or Ctr</td>
<td>Ngo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical mucus</td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>46 (1–227 [18])</td>
<td>67 (5–1,150 [13])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>30 (2–140 [17])</td>
<td>37 (2–356 [12])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>128 (2–732 [18])</td>
<td>162 (20–950 [13])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>17 (1–111 [17])</td>
<td>26 (0–1,080 [13])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal wash</td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>4.9 (0.2–70.0 [17])</td>
<td>1.8 (0.1–20.7 [13])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>4.2 (0.2–44.6 [17])</td>
<td>2.7 (0.0–28.5 [13])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>18.3 (1.0–218.9 [17])</td>
<td>25.3 (0.7–57.6 [13])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>0.6 (0.0–13.7 [10])</td>
<td>0.5 (0.0–3.7 [9])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Ctr, *C. trachomatis*; Tva, *T. vaginalis*; Ngo, *N. gonorrhoeae*.

TABLE 3. Antibody levels to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 in serum and genital secretions from noninfected and infected female patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Median antibody level (ng/ml) (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visit 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noninfected ($n = 30$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical mucus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>0 (0–196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>0 (0–27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>850 (0–12,034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>0 (0–2,936)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal wash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>0 (0–212)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>66 (0–671)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>0 (0–66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>122 (16–923)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>0 (0–751)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>27,800 (11,000–82,700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>19,650 (9,000–90,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ One patient was positive for IgA2 antibodies.

º Three patients were positive for IgA2 antibodies.

c Five patients were positive for IgA2 antibodies.

d $P < 0.05$ compared with noninfected subjects at same time (Mann-Whitney $U$ test).
were detected in sera from some noninfected (3 positive/30 visit 1 samples) and infected (5 positive/19 visit 1 samples) female patients. The levels of IgG and IgM antibodies in serum and secretions were not different between gonococcus-infected and noninfected patients. There were no significant correlations between the levels of systemic and local antibody for any antibody isotype in females or between the concentrations of different antibody isotypes within each type of sample.

Slightly different patterns of antibody levels were observed in male compared with female patients. Antigonoococcal antibodies were present in sera and in some urethral swabs from both infected and noninfected males (Table 4). There was no difference between the levels of systemic and local antibody for any antibody isotype in females or between the concentrations of different antibody isotypes within each type of sample.

Antibody levels in urethral swabs were measurable only when the total Ig concentration for that isotype was greater than 10 μg/ml. Total Ig levels from 30% to 60% of the swabs did not reach this level, and the levels of antibodies in those samples could therefore not be quantitated. Consequently, to avoid bias of the data due to the selection of only positive samples, statistical comparisons of the antibody responses in urethral swabs from infected and noninfected patients were not performed.

Antibody responses to homologous infecting isolates of *N. gonorrhoeae*. In addition to the antibody levels to MS11, we also examined the local and systemic antibody responses against each infected patient’s homologous isolate of *N. gonorrhoeae*.

IgA2 antibodies to the homologous isolates were not detected in cervical mucus, vaginal wash, or serum samples from any female patient. Median levels of IgA1 antibodies in cervical mucus and serum samples at visit 1 were 0 and 267 ng/ml, respectively, and those at visit 2 were 71 and 268 ng/ml, respectively (Fig. 1). Notably, isolate-specific IgA1 antibodies in cervical mucus samples were higher at visit 2 than at visit 1.

![Graphs showing antibody levels in serum and cervical mucus](http://iai.asm.org/)

**FIG. 1.** Cervical mucus and serum IgA1 and IgG antibody levels to the homologous infecting isolates from female patients at visit 1 (enrollment and treatment) and visit 2 (2 weeks after treatment). Differences between visits were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test on data expressed relative to the corresponding total Ig levels. Filled symbols indicate patients reporting at least one previous episode of gonorrhea.

### TABLE 4. Antibody levels to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 in serum and urethral swabs from noninfected and infected males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Antibody isotype</th>
<th>Median antibody level (ng/ml) (range) [n]</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>0 (0–1,319) [13]</td>
<td>179 (0–3,392) [58]</td>
<td>97 (0–328) [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethral swab</td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>0 (0–99) [14]</td>
<td>0 (0–170) [36]</td>
<td>0 [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgA2</td>
<td>77 (0–354) [14]</td>
<td>213 (0–432) [36]</td>
<td>0 [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>0 (0–442) [14]</td>
<td>119 (0–685) [36]</td>
<td>0 [5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P* = 0.0476 (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) compared with IgG antibody levels at visit 1.
(Fig. 2. Serum IgA1, IgA2, and IgG antibody levels to the homologous infecting isolates from male patients at visit 1 (enrollment and treatment), visit 2 (2 weeks after treatment), and visit 3 (4 weeks after treatment). Differences between visits were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Filled symbols indicate patients reporting at least one previous episode of gonorrhea. Note logarithmic scales.

\[ P = 0.0294 \]. Median levels of IgG antibodies in cervical mucus and serum samples to the homologous isolates at visit 1 were 383 and 19,961 ng/ml respectively, and those at visit 2 were 13 and 17,481 ng/ml respectively (Fig. 1). In contrast to IgA1, isolate-specific IgG antibodies in cervical mucus samples were lower at visit 2 than at visit 1 \((P = 0.0442)\). The levels of isolate-specific IgA1 and IgG antibodies in serum samples were unchanged from visit 1 to visit 2.

The median levels of isolate-specific IgA1 antibodies in sera from male patients at visits 1, 2, and 3 were 709, 929, and 677 ng/ml respectively (Fig. 2). Median IgA2 antibody levels in sera at visits 1, 2, and 3 were 124, 231, and 271 ng/ml respectively. There were no differences in the levels of isolate-specific IgA1 or IgA2 antibodies from visits 1 to 3. Unlike the other isotypes, IgG antibodies to the homologous infecting isolates increased from visit 1 (median = 17.9 µg/ml) to visit 2 (median = 25.0 µg/ml; \( P = 0.0059 \) by Wilcoxon signed-rank test). The median level of IgG antibody to the infecting isolate at visit 3 was 25 µg/ml \((P = 0.0625\) compared with visit 2 levels by Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Comparison of antibody levels against MS11 and the patients' homologous isolates. We anticipated that greater responses might be evident against patients' homologous isolates than against the MS11 strain. In general this was not the case, however, and with some exceptions the responses to the patients' homologous isolates were similar to those against the MS11 strain. In cervical mucus from infected women, the levels of isolate-specific IgG antibodies were significantly lower than those against MS11 at both visit 1 and visit 2 \((P = 0.022\) and \( P = 0.0193 \), respectively). Serum and mucus IgA1 and serum IgG antibodies to the infecting strains were not significantly different from those detected against MS11. In male serum samples, the levels of isolate-specific IgA2 antibodies were higher than those against the MS11 strain at both visit 1 \((P = 0.0024)\) and visit 2 \((P = 0.0294)\), while the levels of isolate-specific and MS11-specific IgG and IgA1 antibodies were not significantly different.

Significant correlations between isolate-specific and MS11-specific antibody levels were observed for IgG, but not IgA1, antibodies in cervical mucus \((r_s = 0.5279, P = 0.0243\), by Spearman’s correlation) and serum \((r_s = 0.5018, P = 0.0286\), by Spearman’s correlation) samples from female patients. In male serum, there was a significant correlation between isolate-specific and MS11-specific antibody levels for IgG \((r_s = 0.7357, P < 0.0001\), by Spearman’s correlation) and IgA1 \((r_s = 0.8963, P < 0.0001\), by Spearman’s correlation) but not for IgA2.

Effect of previous gonococcal infections on antibody responses to \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\). Approximately 40% of the female patients and 50% of the male patients in this study reported at least one previous episode of gonorrhea. We therefore examined whether the antibody responses to \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\) were different in patients reporting previous infections compared to those with no history of gonorrhea. In female patients, the levels of serum antigenic antibodies to the homologous infecting isolates were higher in currently infected than in noninfected patients without regard to previous history of gonococcal infection (Fig. 3A). Serum IgA1 antibodies to \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\) were higher in patients reporting previous episodes of gonorrhea but who were not currently infected than in similar patients who reported no previous gonorrhea. In currently infected patients, however, there was no effect of previous gonorrhea on the levels of serum antigenic antibodies to MS11. The levels of serum antigenic antibodies of other isotypes and the antibody levels of all tested isotypes in cervical mucus were not affected by previous infection with \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\) (Fig. 3B). Previous episodes of gonorrhea had no effect on the levels of antibodies of any isotype in serum or cervical mucus to the homologous infecting isolates (Fig. 1).

Previous episodes of gonorrhea did not influence the levels of serum antibodies of any isotype to MS11 in either currently infected or noninfected males (Fig. 3C). In addition, there was no difference in the levels of antibodies to the homologous isolates in currently infected patients with and without a history of gonorrhea (Fig. 2).

Effects of rectal coinfection on antibody responses to \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\). Although the rectum was not a unique site of infection among the patients examined in this study, rectal coinfections with \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\) were detected in approximately 50% of the cervically infected patients. Since the rectum, unlike the normal genital tract, contains organized lymphoid tissue \((32, 33, 43)\) and is therefore considered to be an inductive site for the common mucosal immune system \((28)\), we examined whether rectal coinfections resulted in enhanced antibody responses to \(N.\ gonorrhoeae\). Serum IgA1, IgA2, and IgG antigenic antibodies to MS11 and to participants’ cervical isolates (expressed as a percentage of total Ig) were not different in patients with rectal coinfection compared to patients with cervical infections alone (Table 5). In contrast, the median levels of mucus IgG antibodies at visit 1 to the cervical isolates were 0.09 and 0.32% in cervically infected and coinfected patients, respectively, but this difference did not reach statistical significance \((P = 0.0782)\). At visit 2, the levels of mucus IgG antibodies were higher in rectally coinfected
patients (0.37%) than in patients with cervical infections alone (0%; \( P = 0.0303 \)). The levels of other mucus antibodies at either visit were not affected by rectal coinfection. Salivary antibodies to the infecting isolates were not altered by rectal infection (Table 5).

Comparison of the antibody responses to the rectal and cervical isolates. There was no significant difference at either visit 1 or visit 2 between the levels of IgA1, IgA2, and IgG antibodies in serum or cervical mucus against the cervical and rectal isolates (Fig. 4). There was, however, an overall trend for the IgA1 and IgG antibody levels to the rectal isolate to be lower than the levels to the cervical isolate, especially among patients with the highest antibody responses, though this difference did not reach statistical significance.

**DISCUSSION**

The evident lack of protective immunity to gonorrhea, despite the presence of detectable antigonococcal antibodies, has been ascribed to the various potentially defensive mechanisms possessed by this organism, including variation of outer membrane proteins and lipo oligosaccharides (29), resistance to complement-mediated bacteriolysis (39, 42), as well as the production of IgA1 protease (36). Recent preliminary evidence indicated, however, that while antigonococcal antibodies were detected in infected patients, the levels of both systemic and local antibodies were surprisingly low (14). We postulated, therefore, that in addition to evading the effects of an immune response, *N. gonorrhoeae* may avoid initiating an immune re-
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**FIG. 3.** IgA1, IgA2, and IgG antibody levels to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 in serum (A) and cervical mucus (B) from female patients and in serum (C) from male patients at visit 1. Differences between groups were compared with the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests. Note logarithmic scales.
TABLE 5. Comparison of serum, saliva, and cervical mucus IgA1 and IgG antibody levels to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 and infecting isolates in patients with cervical infections and patients with cervical and rectal infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ig isotype</th>
<th>Visit</th>
<th>Anti-MS11 antibodies</th>
<th>Anti-cervical isolate antibodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical infection</td>
<td>Cervical and rectal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical mucus</td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00–0.21 [11])</td>
<td>0.16 (0.00–0.45 [6])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00–1.12 [7])</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00–0.07 [6])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.57 (0.00–12.40 [11])</td>
<td>0.85 (0.41–1.55 [6])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.74 (0.03–2.90 [7])</td>
<td>0.71 (0.00–0.86 [5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06 (0.00–0.42 [7])</td>
<td>0.04 (0.00–0.09 [5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12 (0.00–1.58 [8])</td>
<td>0.30 (0.00–0.40 [5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.47 (0.00–1.77 [5])</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00–0.05 [5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00–0.02 [12])</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01–0.05 [7])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgA1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00–0.02 [7])</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01–0.05 [6])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25 (0.14–1.41 [12])</td>
<td>0.28 (0.20–1.13 [7])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.28 (0.13–0.58 [7])</td>
<td>0.33 (0.25–1.29 [6])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = 0.0782 compared with patients with cervical infection.

*b = 0.0303 compared with patients with cervical infection."

It is an accepted concept of gonococcal pathogenesis that antibodies are generated during infection but *N. gonorrhoeae* evades their effects through a variety of strategies including extensive antigenic variation. In addition to the potential to thwart the effects of an immune response, such variation may also reduce the likelihood that antibody responses to a single antigen will be representative of responses to gonococci in general. To minimize this possibility in this study, we chose to examine the responses to whole fixed gonococci prepared as batch cultures rather than any one antigen. Based on the concept that gonococci evade the immune response, we expected that antibody responses to *N. gonorrhoeae* would be substantially higher in infected than in noninfected patients. Local genital and systemic antibodies to *N. gonorrhoeae* MS11 were detected in both infected and noninfected male and female patients, and in noninfected males may allow for more rapid treatment and bacterial clearance compared to females. It is therefore possible that there is less opportunity for any immune response to develop in males compared to females. Unilateral statistical analysis of serum from infected males, however, we detected a slight increase in the serum IgG antibody levels between visits 1 and 2. It is possible that with more samples, a small but significant difference between infected and noninfected males will emerge. It is not clear why modest antibody responses were detectable in female but not male patients in response to gonococcal infection. This result appears to be consistent with the serum antimicrococcal IgA antibody response observed in a small number of adolescent women infected with gonococci (34). In contrast to male patients, no significant difference between the antibody levels of any isotype was detectable in sera from infected and noninfected male patients. Using paired statistical analysis of serum from infected males, however, we detected a slight increase in the serum IgG antibody levels between visits 1 and 2. It is possible that with more samples, a small but significant difference between infected and noninfected males will emerge. It is not clear why modest antibody responses were detectable in female but not male patients. The overt inflammatory responses to gonorrhoea in males may allow for more rapid treatment and bacterial clearance compared to females. It is therefore possible that there is less opportunity for any immune response to develop in males compared to females. In addition to the MS11 strain, we examined antibody levels to each infected patient’s homologous isolate, anticipating that the responses to the participants’ own infecting isolates would be higher than responses to the laboratory strain of *N. gonorrhoeae*. In infected female patients, there was a slight increase in the level of infecting isolate-specific, but not MS11-specific,
IgA1 antibodies in cervical mucus between the time of antibiotic treatment and 2 weeks thereafter. Such isolate-specific responses may contribute to the reduced risk of reinfection with the same serovar of *N. gonorrhoeae* reported by Plummer et al. (37). In contrast, there was a decrease in the level of isolate-specific IgG antibodies between visits 1 and 2 in these same patients. In males, there was a slight increase in serum IgG antibodies against the patients’ homologous isolates from visit 1 to visit 2. In contrast to our expectations, we found, with a few exceptions (IgG in cervical mucus and IgA2 in male serum), that the levels of antibody to the homologous infecting isolates were similar to those to the MS11 strain in both males and females. The absence of a substantial antibody response to *N. gonorrhoeae* stands in marked contrast to the serum and secretory antibody responses produced by mucosal infections with other bacterial pathogens such as *N. meningitidis* or *Vibrio*, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* species (8, 10, 17, 38). The absence of substantial levels of antibodies to both the MS11 strain and the homologous isolates suggests that there is little to no antibody response during and after uncomplicated gonococcal infections in males and females.

The concept that previous exposure to an antigen results in increased levels of protective antibodies upon reexposure is central to the development of vaccines against infectious organisms. Repeated infections with *N. gonorrhoeae* are common; therefore, given the low antibody levels detected in response to current gonococcal infection, we examined whether a history of previous exposure to *N. gonorrhoeae* affected the levels of antigonococcal antibodies. Surprisingly, a history of gonorrhea did not significantly alter the levels of any antibodies in male patients. In female patients, only serum IgA1 antibody levels showed any effect arising from previous exposure; antibody levels of other isotypes in serum and all antibodies in cervical mucus were unaffected by previous gonococcal infections. Previous infections with *N. gonorrhoeae* resulted in marginally higher levels of serum IgA1 antibodies to MS11 in female patients who were not currently infected with gonococci and did not alter the antibody levels of any isotype in female patients with an ongoing infection either to the MS11 strain or to the patient’s infecting isolate. Therefore, while some effects of previous exposure to gonococci were discernible, in general the differences in antibody levels between patients with and without previous infections were unexpectedly small. These results further support the possibility that repeated infections with *N. gonorrhoeae* are common because there is little development of immune memory and therefore only minimal levels of protective immunity.

One potential explanation for the paucity of antibody responses to *N. gonorrhoeae* in uncomplicated genital tract infections may be related to the absence of organized mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue, such as the Peyer’s patches of the small intestine or Waldeyer’s ring in the pharynx, which are recognized as major sites for the uptake and processing of antigens leading to generalized disseminated mucosal immune responses (20, 41). Several studies have been performed on intravaginal immunization in women and experimental animals, with a variety of antigenic materials, including those known to have potent immunogenic and adjuvant properties by other mucosal routes of administration (23, 27, 31, 45). Although in some studies, local vaginal antibody responses were recorded, overall it appears that, by comparison with oral or nasal administration (21), intravaginal immunization in humans is inefficient in inducing either circulating or generalized mucosal antibody responses. Given the requirement to minimize responses to allogenic sperm, this should not be surprising.

In contrast to the genital tract, the rectum contains lymphoid follicles (32, 43) resembling Peyer’s patches that likely serve as an inductive site of the common mucosal immune system (5, 6, 16, 18, 30). In addition, it has been suggested that these sites may preferentially supply specific antibody-secreting cell precursors to the adjacent genital tract which shares the same

![FIG. 4. IgA1 and IgG antibody levels to homologous rectal and cervical isolates from female patients in cervical mucus (A) and in serum (B). Differences between groups were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.](image-url)
lymphoid drainage (5, 16). Therefore, it seemed likely that persons infected at both the rectum and genital sites might be expected to display enhanced antibody responses to the infecting organism, both in the genital tract and perhaps also in remote secretions. Rectal infections with N. gonorrhoeae were common among the female patients of this study. This allowed us to examine whether more pronounced antigenococcal antibody responses were generated by gonococcal infection at a site known to contain organized inductive lymphoid tissue. There was a small effect of rectal infection on the levels of isolate specific IgG in cervical mucus. IgG antibodies decreased from the time of treatment to 2 weeks thereafter in patients with only cervical infections but not in patients with concomitant rectal infections. In contrast to the genital tract, rectal infection did not alter the levels of antigenococcal antibodies of any isotype or specificity in saliva. Overall, we found little difference in antibody levels in patients with cervical compared with cervical and rectal infections, suggesting that rectal infection was no more efficient than the genital tract infection for inducing humoral responses to N. gonorrhoeae.

The development of an antigenococcal vaccine has been based on the presumption that there is an antibody response to N. gonorrhoeae but the organism evades that response. Although antigenococcal antibodies are present in serum and secretions of many subjects regardless of infections, we find that the antibody levels to a representative gonococcal strain and to the patients' infecting isolates are low and that while some antibody responses to infection are detectable, these responses are weak at best. Moreover, we have not observed any clear indications of immune memory toward gonococci as evidenced by the failure of previous exposure to this organism to generate more pronounced antibody responses. It is unlikely that the poor antibody responses in both male and female patients to N. gonorrhoeae was simply due to an absence of known inductive sites in the genital tract, since female patients with rectal infections did not show any marked enhancement of the antigenococcal responses. Based on these results, we propose that gonococci avoid inducing humoral immune responses during uncomplicated natural infections. If correct, this hypothesis suggests that gonococci use an as yet undefined mechanism of protection which may subvert the natural immune response. A possible corollary of these observations is that the use of alternative approaches for generating antibodies in the genital tract against appropriate gonococcal antigens may have protective value. These results suggest that vaccination endeavors should therefore be directed toward exploiting novel concepts and strategies of mucosal or systemic immunizations; examples include nasal immunization, which has been shown to generate antibody responses in genital secretions (49–51), use of alternative adjuvants to enhance humoral responses (11–13), and DNA-based vaccines which exploit different mechanisms of antigen presentation than conventional vaccines (7).
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