Salmonella enterica Serovar Pullorum Persists in Splenic Macrophages and in the Reproductive Tract during Persistent, Disease-Free Carriage in Chickens
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Salmonella enterica serovar Pullorum is worldwide a poultry pathogen of considerable economic importance, particularly in those countries with a developing poultry industry. In addition to the characteristic high mortality rates among young chicks, one of the features of Salmonella serovar Pullorum infection is that it persists for long periods in convalescent chicks in the absence of clinical disease. This can lead to colonization of the reproductive tract of chickens and at sexual maturity can result in infected progeny through transovarian transmission to eggs. The sites of Salmonella serovar Pullorum persistence in convalescent birds are not known, and the mechanisms of persistence are not understood. Here we show that Salmonella serovar Pullorum can persist in both the spleen and the reproductive tract for over 40 weeks following experimental infection in chickens. During the period of sexual maturity, Salmonella serovar Pullorum colonized both the ovary and the oviduct of hens and led to 6% of laid eggs being infected by Salmonella serovar Pullorum. The colonization of several different sites of the reproductive tract suggests that Salmonella serovar Pullorum may employ more than one mechanism of egg infection. Persistence occurred despite a strong humoral response, suggesting an intracellular site of infection. By use of a Salmonella serovar Pullorum strain containing a plasmid stably expressing green fluorescent protein, we demonstrated that the main site of carriage in the spleen is within macrophages. This raises interesting questions about the biology of Salmonella serovar Pullorum, including why there is an increase in bacterial numbers when birds become sexually mature and in particular how Salmonella serovar Pullorum avoids clearance by macrophages and whether it modulates the immune system in other ways.

Salmonella enterica can be divided into two broad groups on the basis of pathogenesis and infection biology. One group consists of a large number of serovars, including Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis, that can colonize the alimentary tract of food animals or cause gastrointestinal disease in a range of hosts including humans. The other group comprises a small number of serovars that cause systemic typhoid-like disease in a restricted range of host species, such as Salmonella serovar Typhi in humans, Salmonella enterica serovar Dublin in cattle, and Salmonella enterica serovars Pullorum and Gallinarum in poultry. Several of these serotypes may, after clinical disease, persist in the tissues for long periods (6). Salmonella serovar Typhi and Salmonella serovar Dublin both localize in the gall bladder and spleen, and carriers may shed organisms for several years following infection (24, 41). Salmonella serovar Pullorum localizes in the reproductive tract of chickens and as a consequence may be transmitted vertically to chicks by transovarian transmission of the bacteria into developing hatching eggs (33). However, the mechanisms by which Salmonella serovar Pullorum and other serotypes persist within the host and the reasons for the absence of immune clearance are not known. Salmonella serovar Pullorum is the causative agent of pullorum disease in poultry (33). Pullorum disease is an acute systemic disease that results in a high mortality rate in young chicks but rarely causes such severe clinical disease in adult birds, though it can result in loss of weight, decreased laying, diarrhea, and lesions and abnormalities of the reproductive tract (33). Control measures have virtually eradicated Salmonella serovar Pullorum from intensively reared commercial flocks in Europe and North America; there were just two reported isolations of Salmonella serovar Pullorum from poultry in the United Kingdom in 1998 (2). Both pullorum disease and fowl typhoid, caused by Salmonella serovar Gallinarum, have shown a tendency to return where free-range rearing of poultry has gained increasing popularity and continue to cause substantial economic losses of livestock in areas such as Asia and South America where the intensification of the poultry industry is in its infancy (27, 33). In these countries, high ambient temperatures and open-sided housing restrict the efficacy of hygiene measures because of environmental contamination. Wild and game birds may also act as a potential reservoir for Salmonella serovar Pullorum, which was isolated from game birds in the United Kingdom on 20 occasions in 1997 and 1998 (2). Pheasant chicks are also susceptible to pullorum disease (26). The importance of vertical transmission of infection between generations through eggs has long been recognized (32, 33, 36). Watanabe et al. (36) found high levels...
of maternal antibody to *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum in the eggs from some infected hens. These eggs were more likely to prevent multiplication of the bacteria within the eggs, leading to an increased chance of embryo survival and the hatching of an infected chick. Little is known regarding how *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum infects eggs or persists in the reproductive tract, other than that *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum appears to contaminate eggs in the ovary or oviduct following ovulation (33).

In this study, we aimed to investigate the long-term persistence of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum in convalescent birds and vertical transmission to eggs, defining the sites of localization. We also determined specific antibody responses to *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum during a persistent infection. Using a *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum strain containing a plasmid expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP), we aimed to identify the main cell types associated with bacterial persistence in convalescent birds.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains.** A spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutant of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum 449/87 (kindly provided by C. Wray, Veterinary Laboratory Agency, Weybridge, Surrey, United Kingdom) was grown from stocks maintained at -70°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 30% (vol/vol) glycerol. Bacteria were cultured for 18 h in LB broth at 37°C in an orbital shaking incubator at 150 rpm.

The *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum strain was transformed with the plasmid pBRD940 containing an ampicillin resistance marker and the gene for GFP under the control of the ntrB promoter (kindly provided by L. Zhang Barber, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom). The use of the ntrB promoter allows the stable expression of heterologous proteins within *Salmonella* under anaerobic conditions (8) and has been found previously to give high levels of expression of heterologous proteins by *Salmonella* within eukaryotic cells including macrophages (13).

**Experimental animals.** Commercial brown-egg layers were obtained as 1-day-old chicks from a commercial poultry supplier. Birds were reared in wire cages and fed and watered ad libitum on a vegetable protein-based diet (SDS, Manea, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom). Prior to the experiment, birds were checked for the absence of *Salmonella* infection by taking a cloacal swab, incubating it in selenite broth (Oxoid, Unipath, Basingstoke, Hampshire, United Kingdom) for 18 h, and then plating onto Brilliant Green (BG) agar (Oxoid) containing 20 μg of sodium nalidixate/ml and 1 μg of novobiocin (Sigma Chemical Co., Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom/ml) (31).

**Experiment 1: course of infection with *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum.** At 1 week of age, birds were infected orally with 10⁷ organisms of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum in an 0.2-ml volume of LB broth. Birds were killed at 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 24, and 42 weeks postinfection, five birds being taken at each time point. Birds were bled prior to killing and at 1 day postinfection to obtain serum for determination of specific antibody response to *Salmonella*. Uninfected commercial layers were also raised similarly to act as controls and were bled at the same time as the infected birds. At postmortem examination, spleen, liver, bone marrow, breast muscle, heart tissue samples, and cecal contents were taken aseptically for 18 h and then plated onto selective BG agar containing 20 μg of sodium nalidixate/ml and 1 μg of novobiocin (Sigma Chemical Co., Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom/ml) (31).

**Experiment 2: determination of persistence in splenic macrophages.** As *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum was found to persist within the spleen for over 40 weeks in some birds following infection in experiment 1, it was decided to determine the main cell types involved in carriage and persistence despite a strong antibody response, suggesting an intracellular site. On the basis of the close interaction between *Salmonella* and the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS) (reticuloendothelial system) in chickens (5), it was decided to specifically determine the rate of carriage within macrophages within the spleen.

Chickens were infected as described above with *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum 449/87(pBRD940), and birds were killed at 1 day, 3 days, 1 week, 5 weeks, and 10 weeks postinfection. At postmortem examination, spleens were taken as described above, and bacterial counts were determined on BG agar containing 20 μg of sodium nalidixate/ml and 50 μg of ampicillin/ml. Macrophages were isolated from spleen and liver on the basis of their adherent properties (10). Spleen and liver tissue samples were removed aseptically into Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) and gently teased to form a cell homogenate. Cells were counted using a hemocytometer and adjusted to a concentration of 5 × 10⁶/ml. This had been previously determined in a pilot experiment to be the optimum cell concentration to isolate macrophages from chicken spleen. Cells were seeded either in 24-well culture dishes (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) for determination of numbers of intracellular bacteria or onto cover slips for visualization of the intracellular bacteria. Cells were then incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 4 h to allow the adherence of macrophages. After incubation, cells were washed vigorously four times with DMEM to remove nonadherent cells. The remaining adherent cells were incubated for 1 h in DMEM containing 100 μg of gentamicin sulfate (Sigma Chemical Co.)/ml to kill any extracellular bacteria. Immunofluorescent staining with the mouse anti-chicken macrophage monoclonal antibody KUL01 (20) was carried out to determine the percentage of macrophages in the adherent cell population. Macrophages were found to be in excess of 95% of the cell population, with the majority of contaminating cells being thrombocytes, adherent nucleated cells that are the equivalent of platelets in the chicken (38). After 1 h of incubation with medium containing gentamicin, cells were washed three times with DMEM. To determine the intracellular numbers of bacteria, macrophages were lysed with 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline (Sigma Chemical Co.) for 20 min, and the lystate was plated on selective BG agar. To visualize intracellular bacteria expressing GFP, the cells were counterstained with wheat germ agglutinin conjugated with Texas Red-X (Molecular Probes, Eugene, Ore.) and then observed either by standard fluorescence microscopy or by confocal laser microscopy.

**Anti-*Salmonella* IgG response.** The serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody response to *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum was determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using sonicated killed *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum as coating antigen as described by Barrow et al. (4).

**RESULTS**

**Experiment 1: pathobiology and persistence of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum in chickens and transmission to eggs.** At postmortem, lesions characteristic of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum were found in the spleen, liver, and heart in two birds at 1 week postinfection and in all birds at 5 weeks postinfection, indicative of the acute systemic infection in the early stages of the experiment. This was accompanied by pronounced splenomegaly at 5 weeks postinfection. The number and severity of lesions decreased with time, indicating convalescence. At 20 weeks postinfection onwards, abnormalities and lesions of the ovaries and follicles were found in individual birds, with two birds also displaying signs of pericarditis at this time. Throughout the course of the experiment, 1 bird died out of 42. The bird died at 40 weeks postinfection, and at postmortem, this bird showed abnormality of the ovary and the spleen and liver cultured positive for *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum.

At 1 week following infection, *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum was recovered from all tissues in the majority of birds (Table 1), indicating a widespread systemic infection. However, by 5 weeks postinfection bacteria were no longer recovered from...
TABLE 1. Mean viable counts of *Salmonella* serovar **Pullorum** carriage in chickens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (wk) postinfection</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>No. positive</th>
<th>Mean count (SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eggs in oviduct</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower oviduct</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper oviduct</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian tissue</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eggs in shell</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 1.** Mean anti-*Salmonella* serovar **Pullorum** IgG titers in **A**. S. enterica *serovar Pulorum* infected (a = 5) and uninfected (a = 3) monovalent *serovar Pulorum*-infected birds. **B**. IgG titer in uninfected controls. d, day; w, week.

**TABLE 2.** Mean antibody titers against **Salmonella** serovar **Pullorum** and **Salmonella** serovar **Enteritidis** in chickens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (wk) postinfection</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>No. positive</th>
<th>Mean count (SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eggs in oviduct</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower oviduct</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper oviduct</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian tissue</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eggs in shell</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 2.** Mean antibody titers against **Salmonella** serovar **Pullorum** and **Salmonella** serovar **Enteritidis** in chickens.
controls. Decreased at 1 week postinfection and further by 5 weeks in the infection of commercial laying hens. At each time point, five birds were taken for postmortem analysis. Total viable counts of Salmonella serovar Pullorum bacteria per gram of splenic tissue; viable counts of intracellular Salmonella serovar Pullorum bacteria in splenic macrophages.

FIG. 2. Mean viable counts (± standard errors of the means) of Salmonella serovar Pullorum 449/87(pBRD940) bacteria per gram of tissue in spleen and splenic macrophages following experimental infection of commercial laying hens. At each time point, five birds were examined, with up to four bacteria per infected cell. This decrease in fluorescent bacteria seen by microscopy correlates with the fall in bacterial counts in the spleen and splenic macrophages. Salmonella bacteria expressing GFP were found visually in all birds positive by bacteriology with the exception of one bird at 5 weeks postinfection. This indicates that visualization of Salmonella expressing GFP can be used reliably to detect bacteria in vivo, even when, as is the case here, small numbers are involved.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that, following infection of young birds, which resulted in clinical disease, convalescence was characterized by persistence of Salmonella serovar Pullorum in very low numbers in the MPS, reproductive tract, and heart. The latter location may be associated with the lesions in the myocardium which characterize chronic cases of infection. It was interesting that, unlike the bacterial numbers in the heart, which continued to decline throughout the experiment, those in the spleen and reproductive tract increased as the birds reached sexual maturity. Although the isolation of Salmonella serovar Pullorum from the reproductive tract of poultry has been reported many times (32, 33, 36), this is, we believe, the first record of persistent infection in the MPS.

Given the association between Salmonella and intracellular survival in macrophages which can be regarded as safe sites for bacterial multiplication (1, 12), we sought to determine whether Salmonella serovar Pullorum persisted within avian macrophages in vivo. The separation of splenic macrophages on the basis of their adherence allowed us to demonstrate that Salmonella serovar Pullorum persists within splenic macrophages, and after the initial acute stage of the infection, where high levels of extracellular bacteria were found, these form the majority of the organisms persisting within the spleen. This was demonstrated by both quantitative bacteriology and fluorescence. Persistence occurred despite high levels of circulating specific antibody against Salmonella serovar Pullorum. The intracellular location of the bacteria means that, although an effective antibody response is generated and is likely to be important in clearing extracellular bacteria, the intracellular bacteria survive. Low levels of specific antibody were found in control birds at the start of the experiment. This is likely to be
maternal antibody, as commercial breeder birds and laying hens in the United Kingdom are now routinely vaccinated with a commercial killed *Salmonella* vaccine (11). Significant levels of specific IgG to *Salmonella* have been previously seen in the serum of the progeny of vaccinated breeder birds (21).

How *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum survives within macrophages is unclear. It seems likely that the type III secretion system encoded by *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 (SPI 2) may play a major role. SPI 2 is required for both survival in macrophages and virulence of *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium in the murine infection model (9, 14). One of the functions of SPI 2 is to inhibit NAPDH oxidase-dependent killing of *Salmonella* (35). Macrophage killing of *Salmonella* by respiratory burst has been shown to be one of the main mechanisms by which chickens genetically resistant to systemic salmonellosis clear the infection (P. Wigley, S. Hulme, N. Bumstead, and P. Barrow, unpublished results). Therefore, it seems likely that inhibition of macrophage oxidase activity would be vital if persistence within macrophages is to occur. *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum may also modulate the immune system in other ways. The high antibody titer indicates that a strong humoral response is driven by *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum infection, but the role of cellular responses in infection is not known. Down-regulation of cellular responses could inhibit macrophage activation, preventing clearance of intracellular *Salmonella*. Measuring cytokine responses in the spleen may give an indication of the main cell types involved in *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum infection and their regulation. Determination of cytokine responses in chickens has been difficult due to lack of available antibodies or suitable bioassays. The recent development of quantitative reverse transcription-PCR methods to determine the levels of a number of cytokines will allow a greater understanding of immune responses in the chicken to bacterial infection (17). The fate of macrophages infected by *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum is also not known. It is possible that bacteria persist within macrophages for the life span of the macrophage, which is up to 70 days in mice (28), and then infect a new macrophage or are cleared at cell death. This would explain the gradual decline in both intramacrophage and total bacteria in the spleen up to 10 weeks postinfection. In the mouse infection model, *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium *purA* and *aroA* attenuated mutants have been shown to persist in the spleen and liver, gradually decreasing to clearance at 10 weeks postinfection, but serovar Typhimurium *aroA purA* double mutants and *purE* mutants persist longer (22). This supports the suggestion that all the bacteria are not cleared at macrophage death. Alternatively, macrophages could be lysed by *Salmonella*-mediated mechanisms and the intracellular bacteria could infect new cells in a constant cycle of lysis and invasion, or *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum may induce macrophage apoptosis through the SPI 1 type III secretion system as *serovar Typhimurium* has been shown previously to act in mice (37). Apoptotic macrophages containing *Salmonella* may be phagocytosed by macrophages acting to clear apoptotic cells and then persist in these fresh cells in a cycle of apoptosis induction and phagocytosis. Alternatively, as *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum was found in the bone marrow in the early stages of infection, splenic macrophages at the end of their life span may be replaced by circulating monocytes originating from the bone marrow, where they have been infected during their development.

The mechanisms by which *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum colonizes the reproductive tract and is transmitted vertically are not known. Although colonization of the reproductive tract has been described previously (33), the data shown here indicate that bacteria persist in very low numbers prior to birds coming into lay. As the birds come into lay, numbers of bacteria isolated from the reproductive tract, spleen, and liver increase. This suggests that changes in production of gonadal steroid hormones associated with the bird reaching sexual maturity may play a role in this increase. A possible explanation of the changes in the reproductive tract is that the population of macrophages in both the ovary and the oviduct increases at sexual maturity in laying hens (7, 42). The increase in the ovary appears to be mediated by estrols such as diethylstilbestrol (7), while the increases in the oviduct, particularly in the stroma of the shell gland, are mediated by progesterones (42). It is possible that the influence of gonadal hormones may lead to an influx of persistently infected macrophages to the reproductive tract. The theory is supported by the higher rate and counts of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum bacteria recovered from the lower oviduct (Table 1), since the highest levels of macrophages in young laying hens were found in the shell gland that is located in this region. Alternatively, the increase in bacterial numbers may be more simply due to alteration of immune function by the sex hormones. Relatively little is known regarding the effects of gonadal steroids on the chicken immune system. At high concentrations, gonadal steroids appear to have an immunosuppressive effect. At lower concentrations, the hormones appear to have a regulatory role, acting both to increase and to suppress immune activity in a variety of ways (19), though little is known of their effects on bacterial infections. However, the numbers of both lymphocytes and macrophages in the reproductive tract at sexual maturity (39) and the previously reported increases in numbers of these cells and in antibody production in the reproductive tract in experimental *Salmonella* serovar Enteritidis infection (40) suggest that chickens have a strong immune response in the reproductive tract. Therefore, a method of avoiding immune clearance, such as intracellular survival in macrophages, would be required by *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum to persist in the ovaries and oviduct. The colonization of the reproductive tract by *Salmonella* requires extensive further study to explain vertical transmission of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum and the contamination of table eggs with *Salmonella* serovar Enteritidis. This is likely to prove difficult, as the numbers of colonizing bacteria are very low before the birds come into lay, and even following sexual maturity, the numbers are too low to easily use flow cytometry or immunocytochemical techniques. Even though the use of *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum bacteria expressing GFP was successful in determining the location within macrophages in the spleen, the low numbers of macrophages in the reproductive tract compared with those in the spleen made this technique of little use in detecting the sites of carriage in the oviduct. A possible alternative is the use of *in situ* PCR to determine the location of the bacteria, as the amplification steps should increase sensitivity of detection. Nevertheless, considerable technical hurdles will need to be overcome. The mechanisms by which *Salmonella* serovar Pullorum is transmitted to eggs are
also still unclear. Recovery of Salmonella serovar Pullorum from the ovary and developing yolk suggests that transmission to the yolk in the ovary is the most likely route. However, recovery of Salmonella serovar Pullorum from the upper oviduct suggests that transmission to the developing albumen may also occur, and the recovery from the lower oviduct suggests that contamination through the shell gland and even trans-shell contamination may also occur. The lack of information as to which parts of the eggs are infected by Salmonella serovar Pullorum does not support or rule out any of these routes.

Disease-free long-term persistence by S. enterica serovars that typically produce typhoid infection in a host-restricted manner in immunologically mature, healthy adult animals has practical implications. In addition, the phenomenon is immunologically very interesting. Salmonella serovar Typhi is well known to localize in the gall bladder for up to several years, producing secondary cases by fecal contamination. However, no suitable animal models of this are available. Despite attempts to reproduce gall bladder localization in rabbits (34), there is no information as to whether this is the only or main site of localization. Salmonella serovar Dublin is known to persist in a variety of sites in convalescent calves, including the gall bladder and the spleen (41). In addition, localization in the udder may lead to long-term transmission in milk (23). Salmonella serovar Enteritidis produces typhoid-like disease in mice and systemic disease in young chickens, and there is considerable epidemiological and experimental evidence of egg transmission, which has consequences for human health through food-borne salmonellosis, in addition to problems associated with vertical transmission to progeny (3, 15, 18, 30). In this case, it has been suggested that Salmonella serovar Enteritidis attaches to and invades ovarian granulosa cells, which has been demonstrated in vitro, leading to subsequent infection of the membrane of the developing egg (29). However, other studies have indicated that yolk, albumen, and shells are all contaminated with Salmonella serovar Enteritidis, suggesting that other routes such as transovarial transmission and fecal contamination may play an important role in egg infection (15, 16). However, unlike Salmonella serovar Pullorum, which may infect hatched eggs from birds infected at a very young age in which the bacteria persist in the tissues until sexual maturity, Salmonella serovar Enteritidis does not appear to persist in the tissues but colonizes the reproductive tract of older birds, leading to egg infection (15), probably through an ascending infection of the cloaca.

Despite the fact that persistent carriage leading to vertical transmission is known to be an important component of the infection cycle and epidemiology in Salmonella serovar Pullorum, nothing is known of the immunological parameters of this phenomenon. Because of the relative ease of working with Salmonella serovar Pullorum in poultry compared with Salmonella serovar Dublin in cattle or Salmonella serovar Typhi in humans, it represents a useful model system for studying disease-free persistence. This area of infection biology has been reviewed recently, and it was considered that initial investigations should attempt to define the localization of the bacteria and the cells involved and begin to attempt to determine why immunological clearance does not take place (6, 25). In this study, we have shown that Salmonella serovar Pullorum can persist in both the reproductive tract and the spleen of chickens for over 40 weeks following infection. Carrier birds can transmit the infection vertically through infected eggs. After an initial, mild, systemic disease, birds recover, showing little signs of clinical disease, and produce a strong antibody response. Salmonella serovar Pullorum evades the immune response by surviving intracellularly within macrophages. This is of interest both in terms of the biology of salmonellosis and to the poultry industry worldwide, where Salmonella serovar Pullorum remains an important pathogen and the vertical transmission of pullorum disease remains a serious economic threat to livestock. In addition to the use of Salmonella serovar Pullorum as a model of disease-free persistence, it also is a model to develop in further detail techniques suitable to studying the localization of Salmonella serovar Enteritidis in the reproductive tract and transmission to eggs.
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