Inhibition of Hydrophobic Protein-Mediated Candida albicans Attachment to Endothelial Cells during Physiologic Shear Flow
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Adhesion interactions during hematogenous dissemination of Candida albicans likely involve a complex array of host and fungal factors. Possible C. albicans factors include changes in cell surface hydrophobicity and exposed antigens that have been shown in static adhesion assays to influence attachment events. We used a novel in vitro shear flow assay system to investigate host-pathogen interactions and the role of fungal cell surface hydrophobicity in adhesion events with human endothelial cells under simulated physiologic shear. Endothelial monolayers were grown in capillary tubes and tested with and without interleukin-1β activation in buffered medium containing human serum. Hydrophobic and hydrophilic stationary-phase C. albicans yeast cells were infused into the system under shear flow and found to adhere with widely varying efficiencies. The average number of adherent foci was determined from multiple fields, sampled via video microscopy, between 8 and 12 min after infusion. Hydrophobic C. albicans cells demonstrated significantly more heterotypic binding events (Candida-endothelial cell) and greater homotypic binding events (Candida-Candida) than hydrophilic yeast cells. Cytokine activation of the endothelium significantly increased binding by hydrophobic C. albicans compared to unactivated host cells. Preincubation of hydrophobic yeast cells with a monoclonal antibody against hydrophobic cell wall proteins significantly blocked adhesion interactions with the endothelial monolayers. Because the antibody also blocks C. albicans binding to laminin and fibronectin, results suggest that vascular adhesion events with endothelial cells and exposed extracellular matrix may be blocked during C. albicans dissemination. Future studies will address the protective efficacy of blocking or redirecting bloodstream fungal cells to favor host defense mechanisms.

While multiple host and fungal factors contribute to development of disseminated candidiasis (reviewed in references 39 and 44), the capacity of Candida albicans to adhere to many different host tissues is broadly considered a virulence trait to initiate invasive activity (12, 29). The dissemination process likely begins by fungal cells gaining access to the bloodstream through gastrointestinal perosorption, by seeding from a biofilm-fouled intramedic device, or through trauma-related inoculation (10, 23, 49). Exit from the vasculature is thought to initiate invasive activity (12, 29). The dissemination process of disseminated candidiasis is broadly considered a virulence trait to provide a fungal virulence strategy for evasion of immune responses and for selective adhesion interactions with host cells.

Our interest in defining the role of CSH on adhesion interactions with endothelial cells during vascular dissemination led
us to use a novel assay system (ProteoFlow; LigoCyte Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bozeman, Mont.) that was developed for studying leukocyte interactions with vascular endothelium under simulated physiological shear (3). With system adaptations for host-pathogen interactions, analysis of \( C. \) \textit{albicans} binding showed rapid interactions with human endothelial cells under physiologic shear. Both \( C. \) \textit{albicans} CSH status and the endothelial activation status influenced the number of host-pathogen interactions. Hydrophobic \( C. \) \textit{albicans} binding in the vascular modeling system could be blocked by one of the same anti-hydrophobic protein monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) that blocked attachment to ECM proteins (40). These studies provide new information about the dynamics of \( C. \) \textit{albicans}-host adherence phenomena that may well have important implications in design of novel therapeutic approaches against candidiasis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

\textit{C. albicans} isolates and culture conditions. \textit{C. albicans} \textit{ATCC} 2816 (54), \textit{C. albicans} \textit{ATCC} 90029 (55), and \textit{C. albicans} \textit{LGH} 100 (1) and \textit{C. albicans} \textit{ATCC} 90029 were maintained as –80°C glycerol stocks and were subcultured aerobically at 23 and 37°C in 2% glucose–0.5% yeast extract–1% peptone broth (GYP) in 0.055 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.2)-buffered yeast nitrogen base plus amino acids (Difco) containing 2% glucose (YNBG2), or in antibiotic medium 3 (Difco) with 2% glucose (AM3-2G). Stationary-phase cultures were harvested from a third subculture (1) and washed three times in cold, sterile distilled \( \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \). The concentration was determined, and CSH was assessed by the hydrophobic microsphere assay (28). Stationary-phase yeast cultures grown at 23°C were hydrophobic (typical CSH values ≥ 92%), while those grown at 37°C were hydrophilic (typical CSH values ≤ 8%). Harvested yeast aliquots were held on ice as pellets and used within 4 h. We also determined for each yeast population the sphere-to-cell unit (S:C) ratio, which is a measurement reflecting the abundance of single blastoconidia (24). For example, a mother-daughter combination would be two spheres but one contiguous cell unit. S:C ratios of ≥2:1 reflect stationary-phase yeast cultures and were important for establishing the amount of \textit{Candida-Candida} adhesion observed in these assays. MAb reagents. The anti-\textit{Candida} MAbs and control MAbs used in this study are listed in Table 1. The hydrophobicity and cell wall location of the \textit{Candida} antigens are previously noted in work describing separations of cell wall components by hydrophobic interaction chromatography and generation of polyclonal antiserum against the hydrophobic protein fractions for tracking in vivo antigens are previously noted in work describing separations of cell wall components (24). For example, a mother-daughter population the sphere-to-cell unit (S:C) ratio, which is a measurement reflecting the abundance of single blastoconidia (24). For example, a mother-daughter combination would be two spheres but one contiguous cell unit. S:C ratios of ≥2:1 reflect stationary-phase yeast cultures and were important for establishing the amount of \textit{Candida-Candida} adhesion observed in these assays. MAb reagents. The anti-\textit{Candida} MAbs and control MAbs used in this study are listed in Table 1. The hydrophobicity and cell wall location of the \textit{Candida} antigens are previously noted in work describing separations of cell wall components by hydrophobic interaction chromatography and generation of polyclonal antiserum against the hydrophobic protein fractions for tracking in vivo antigens are previously noted in work describing separations of cell wall components (24). For example, a mother-daughter population the sphere-to-cell unit (S:C) ratio, which is a measurement reflecting the abundance of single blastoconidia (24). For example, a mother-daughter combination would be two spheres but one contiguous cell unit. S:C ratios of ≥2:1 reflect stationary-phase yeast cultures and were important for establishing the amount of \textit{Candida-Candida} adhesion observed in these assays.

### TABLE 1. MAbs reagents used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Isotype, prepn</th>
<th>Antigen specificity</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAb 6C5</td>
<td>IgG2a, serum free</td>
<td>38-kDa hydrophobic protein, ( C. ) \textit{albicans}</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAb 5D8</td>
<td>IgG2a, serum free</td>
<td>37-kDa hydrophobic protein, ( C. ) \textit{albicans}</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL246</td>
<td>IgG1, serum free</td>
<td>E- and L-selectins</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPC-10</td>
<td>IgG2a, purified from ascites fluid</td>
<td>β-2,6-Linked fructosan</td>
<td>Sigma Chemical Co.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In vitro shear analysis of \( C. \) \textit{albicans} adhesion to HUVECs. An in vitro flow system (ProteoFlow; LigoCyte Pharmaceuticals) to analyze adhesion events under simulated physiologic shear across mammalian cells or tissue components was used as described previously (33), with modifications for the \textit{Candida} work as follows. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were harvested and prepared as previously described (3) or obtained commercially (Clonetics, San Diego, Calif.). HUVEC monolayers were grown on the luminal surface of glass capillary tubes. Some monolayers were pre-activated for 1 h with interleukin-1β (IL-1β; 10 ng/ml; Genzyme Diagnostics, Cambridge, Mass.) to upregulate expression of cellular adhesion molecules like E-selectin (reviewed in reference 33), rinsed, and placed in fresh medium for 2 h prior to the assay. Each capillary tube was inspected, and only those having satisfactory monolayer development (>75%) along the tube length were used for the assays. \( C. \) \textit{albicans} yeast cells were suspended in medium alone (HEPES-buffered Hanks’ balanced salts solution, plus Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, containing 5% human serum) or suspended in medium containing the test or control MAbs (60 µg/ml) and incubated in an ice-water slurry for 10 min. Antibody concentrations for the serum-free preparations were selected based on past inhibition studies involving ECM (40) and are expressed as total micrograms of protein per milliliter in the final shear assay volume. Yeast cell suspensions were infused into the ProteoFlow system under high flow rates (4 to 5 dynes/cm\textsuperscript{2}) at a final concentration of 0.5 × 10\textsuperscript{7} to 1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{7} cells/ml of medium (maintained at 37°C). The ProteoFlow system integrates an inverted microscope equipped with stage heater, Hoffman optics, and high-resolution video capture equipment. Video recording was initiated, and after 1 min, the flow rate was adjusted to 1 to 2 dynes/cm\textsuperscript{2}, which is at the lower end of the 2 to 20-dynes/cm\textsuperscript{2} physiological range for vascular beds (reviewed in references 36 and 45). Introduction of test cells or compounds under the high rather than the lower flow rate guards against bolus-driven interactions occurring under physiologic shear and promotes even distribution of test cells in the system. Video data capture of the \textit{Candida} binding was done within 5 and 12 min from the time of yeast cell infusion by recording multiple, nonoverlapping fields (n ≥ 10 fields per capillary) along the HUVEC monolayer to document numbers of attached yeast cells. For recording each field of view, the microscope was adjusted through multiple focal planes to ensure distinction of yeast bound to the HUVEC surface. Video records were analyzed offline, and the internal time stamp on each video frame was used to identify the respective fields of view.

Evaluation of \( C. \) \textit{albicans} binding. Two types of binding events were observed for \( C. \) \textit{albicans} in the ProteoFlow system. Heterotopic binding events were defined as \textit{Candida-to-HUVEC} adhesion interactions that produced focal attachment sites. The average number of foci per n fields of view was calculated for each assay. Homotypic binding events were defined as \textit{Candida-to-Candida} interactions that occurred when a yeast cell from bulk fluid flow attached to an already bound yeast cell at a focal attachment site. To record the amount of homotypic binding events under physiologic shear, each focus in a field of view was evaluated for the number of blastoconidia attached at that site and recorded as ranked values of 1, 2, 3, 4, to 9, 10 to 15, and ≥16 blastoconidia per focal site. The ranks with three or more attached blastoconidia were considered the result of homotypic binding based on the S:C ratios of the \textit{Candida} populations used for these shear experiments. To compare the amounts of homotypic binding between treatment and control assays, the average number of foci in the ≥3 blastoconidial ranks for n fields was determined. In some assays, the average number of total blastoconidia per field was estimated from ranked data, using values of 7, 12.5, and 16 spheres for the number of bound blastoconidia in the three larger ranks.

SigmaPlot version 4.01 and SigmaStat version 2.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill.) were used for most graphing (and exported to Excel 97 [Microsoft]) and all statistical analyses of experiments that were performed at least in duplicate. Student t tests (two tailed) were used for normally distributed data sets; those failing normality or equal variance were analyzed with the Mann-Whitney rank sum test, the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance on ranks, or the Tukey or Dunn method for multiple comparisons where noted in the text.

### RESULTS

Adaptation of in vitro shear system for adhesion studies with \textit{Candida}. The ProteoFlow system was tested as a means of investigating host-pathogen adhesion interactions under simulated physiologic shear. Several methodological variables were...
addressed before the shear assay could be used to evaluate *C. albicans* binding to endothelial cells under shear flow.

Preliminary studies showed that the data capture could be performed either by monitoring a single field of view over time or by sampling multiple fields within a given period during the assay. The second data capture method was chosen to provide multiple sample fields for statistical evaluation of adhesion interactions. Shear assay tests performed with assay medium containing 5% serum, a typical level utilized in leukocyte studies (3), revealed similar *Candida* binding levels between certain human donor serum and fetal bovine serum. For all assays reported here (representative data from at least three replicates), a single human donor was selected based on immunoadsort assays for low reactivity to hydrophobic and hydrophilic yeast cell wall antigens (data not shown). Serial samples from the donor were monitored to ensure comparable, low anti-*Candida* reactivity.

The HUVEC monolayers were activated on a staggered schedule to ensure similar time intervals before use of the monolayers in adhesion studies. In experiments with HUVECs from single donors, differences in the average heterotypic binding supported by HUVECs were noted (data not shown). Such donor differences in adhesion interactions with *C. albicans* were anticipated, as observed in other endothelial adhesion studies (51). All data presented in this report were obtained in shear assays involving pooled donor HUVECs.

In general, the preliminary studies revealed that the binding of *Candida* cells out of bulk fluid flow to attachment sites on endothelial cells occurs very rapidly. Offline analysis demonstrated that yeast cells could be moving with fluid flow in two video frames and then be completely stopped in the next two frames (30 frames/s). For both hydrophobic and hydrophilic yeast cell populations, the attachment occurred rapidly. Bound *Candida* cells occasionally (estimated <5% of foci) detached and rejoined bulk fluid flow.

**Influence of CSH status on attachment to activated HUVECs.** The impact of *C. albicans* CSH status on adhesion events with IL-1β-activated HUVECs was tested under simulated physiologic shear. Assay results show that hydrophobic cells bind significantly ($P < 0.001$) more than hydrophilic cells for *C. albicans* isolates grown in defined media (Fig. 1). The total height of each bar shows the average number of focal attachments per field ($n > 10$ fields per assay) produced by hydrophobic and hydrophilic yeast cells. This average foci value represents the average amount of heterotypic binding (*Candida*-HUVEC) observed in an assay, because each focus started with a heterotypic binding event. Results shown for the three *Candida* isolates in Fig. 1 typify overall findings that hydrophobic cells show significantly greater heterotypic binding than hydrophilic cells to endothelial monolayers.

Greater heterotypic binding by hydrophobic cells was also observed when *C. albicans* isolates were grown in complex media. For example, hydrophobic *C. albicans* A9wt yeast grown in GYEP bound significantly better ($P < 0.001$, two-tailed Student t test) than hydrophilic cells (average foci per field of 26.1 ± 13.7 [standard deviation (SD)] compared to 5.4 ± 2.1 [SD], respectively). Hydrophobic *C. albicans* ATCC 90029 hydrophobic cells grown in AM3-2G also bound significantly better ($P < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney rank sum test) than hydrophilic yeast cells (average foci per field of 14.2 ± 6.2 [SD] compared to 4.3 ± 2.1 [SD], respectively). These values for the average binding of yeast grown in complex media compare favorably with the same isolate grown in defined medium (YNB2G) as presented in Fig. 1.

The stacked portions of each bar in Fig. 1 show the relative proportion of foci in the 1, 2, or ≥3 blastocinidial ranks, where the ≥3 blastocinidial rank indicates the extent of homotypic binding (*Candida-Candida*). The S:C ratios (described in Materials and Methods) for these cultures were 1.18:1 for hydrophobic and 1.09:1 for hydrophilic A9wt cells, 1.30:1 for hydrophobic and 1.16:1 for hydrophilic LGH1095 cells, and 1.50:1 for hydrophobic and 1.26:1 for hydrophilic ATCC 90029 cells. These low S:C ratios indicate that the yeast cell populations used for the adhesion assays were predominantly singlet blastocinidia with few mother-daughter combinations and rare, if any, contiguous triplet spheres as a cell unit. Results show that hydrophobic yeast cells support significantly more homotypic binding events than hydrophilic yeast cells under simulated physiologic shear ($P < 0.001$ for comparison of the average foci in the ≥3 blastocinidial ranks for hydrophobic versus hydrophilic cells for each *C. albicans* isolate shown Fig. 1 [Mann-Whitney rank sum Test]). The tendency of hydrophobic cells to show more homotypic binding than hydrophilic cells was consistent in numerous in vitro shear experiments (at least eight replicates) regardless of the growth medium chosen.

In some experiments with hydrophilic cells, we stopped the flow while viewing a field with no attached yeast at the end of the 8- to 12-min sampling period. Yeast cells from bulk flow were allowed to gravity settle onto the HUVEC monolayer for 5 to 10 min, but none remained in the field of view once flow was restored.
Effect of IL-1β activation of endothelial cells on C. albicans attachment. Adhesion of hydrophobic C. albicans A9 yeast cells was tested on HUVEC monolayers that were unactivated or activated with the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1β. The average binding on activated HUVECs was \(23.6 \pm 6.9\) (SD) foci per field \((n = 14)\), compared to \(12.0 \pm 3.8\) (SD) foci \((n = 14)\) for unactivated monolayers. The difference in heterotypic binding interactions was significant \((P < 0.001,\) Student \(t\) test). Evaluation of the homotypic binding interactions indicated that activated HUVECs supported an average of \(13.2 \pm 4.6\) (SD) foci in \(\geq 3\) blastoconidial ranks, whereas unactivated HUVECs showed \(4.4 \pm 1.4\) (SD) foci. The difference in homotypic binding values was also significant \((P < 0.001,\) Mann-Whitney rank sum test).

Effect of MAb treatment on C. albicans attachment under shear flow. MAbs 6C5 and 5D8 recognize hydrophobic proteins of 38 and 37 kDa, respectively, and effectively inhibit C. albicans cell attachment to ECM proteins in static adhesion assays \((40)\). To test whether these antibodies would inhibit adhesion to HUVEC monolayers under shear, we preincubated hydrophobic yeast cells with the anti-Candida MAb or the control IgG2a antibody and infused the suspension into the system. Results (Fig. 2) of in vitro shear analysis show that MAb 6C5 caused significant inhibition of hydrophobic yeast cell binding to IL-1β-activated endothelial cells compared to both the control yeast-only and control IgG2a treatment groups \((P < 0.001\) for each pairwise multiple comparison, Tukey test). In contrast, pretreatment with MAb 5D8 did not significantly inhibit hydrophobic C. albicans yeast cell binding to activated HUVECs compared to control treatment groups.

The effect of MAb 6C5 pretreatment on the amount of homotypic binding and the average number of total blastoconidia bound per field was evaluated in similar experiments, except that a different IgG antibody (MAb EL246) was used as a control for serum-free growth and processing as for the MAB 6C5 preparation. Results (Table 2) show that MAb 6C5 significantly decreased both the average number of foci with \(\geq 3\) blastoconidia and total bound blastoconidia compared to control yeast only \((P < 0.001\) for both \(t\) tests). Inhibition of homotypic binding by treatment with MAb 6C5 was also significantly different from that of control MAB EL246 treatment \((P = 0.003\) for average foci and \(P = 0.001\) for total blastoconidia, \(t\) tests). The homotypic binding differences between yeast only and EL246 pretreatment for both average foci and total blastoconidia were not significant \((P = 0.114\) and \(P = 0.06,\) respectively, for \(t\) tests).

**TABLE 2. Effect of MAb 6C5 on homotypic binding events under simulated shear flow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>No. of fields of view</th>
<th>Blastoconidia/field(^a)</th>
<th>Foci with (\geq 3) blastoconidia/field(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yeast only</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.7 ± 45.7</td>
<td>11.8 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast + MAb 6C5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.5 ± 21.9(^c)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast + MAb EL246</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>55.1 ± 30.4</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Means ± SD estimated from ranked data as described in Materials and Methods.  
\(^b\)Mean ± SD. The S:C ratio was 1.14:1 for the C. albicans LGH1095 culture in the assay.  
\(^c\)Significantly different \((P \leq 0.003)\) from values for the yeast-only control group and for the MAB EL246 treatment group as calculated by Student’s \(t\) test.

**DISCUSSION**

Functional analysis of host-pathogen adhesion interactions occurring during vascular dissemination presents various limitations for in vitro modeling. Previous adhesion studies for *Candida* spp. or other microorganisms have generally been done either under static conditions with target host cells or under flow conditions with parallel plate chambers and no host cells (for examples, see references 6, 14 to 16, 41, and 48). Both types of assays miss reconstituting the interface between a host fluid and a host tissue with appropriate physiological shear forces, such as the high shear vascular interface in capillary beds or the peristaltic shear interface present across intestinal epithelial cells. To address these limitations, we used the ProteoFlow system to investigate adhesion interactions of *C. albicans* with endothelial monolayers under simulated physiologic shear. Prior application of the in vitro shear system has been instrumental in characterizing complex host-host adhesion interactions and in distinguishing shear-dependent and shear-independent interactions that happen during lymphocyte homing and leukocyte recruitment to endothelial surfaces \((3, 31)\).

The in vitro shear data on adhesion interactions and the effects of blocking compounds correlate well with in vivo experimental evidence for various host-host cell interactions \((3)\).

*C. albicans* binding interactions with endothelial cells under shear flow revealed the capacity to form rapid, tight adhesions with host cells. This type of binding behavior by *C. albicans* is similar to the rapid adhesion interactions between leukocytes and activated α4 integrin receptors on endothelial cells \((2, 4)\). *Candida* cells also acted like leukocytes in their ability to undergo homotypic binding events. Rolling adhesion behavior for *C. albicans* cells, analogous to selectin-mediated leukocyte rolling on activated HUVECs \((3)\), was not observed in any of the assays.
Both a fungal variable and a host variable that influence vascular adhesion events under physiological shear forces, were identified. CSH status of the C. albicans yeast cells influenced adhesion to the human endothelial cells, with hydrophobic C. albicans cells showing greater heterotypic and homotypic binding capabilities than hydrophilic yeast cells. The relatively short assay times (< 15 min in total) for the shear assays help ensure that the yeast cells maintain their original CSH values (24). Because stationary-phase 37°C yeast cultures are not 100% hydrophilic cells (typical CSH values ≤ 8%), the few yeast cells binding from hydrophilic cultures may actually be hydrophobic cells present in the cultures. For example, 143 total blastoconidia bound (Fig. 1, 18 fields of view) in the hydrophilic ATCC 90029 assay could be amply accounted for by hydrophobic cells in the hydrophilic culture (total adherent yeast much less than 8% of 2.1 × 10⁶ yeast infused). With both hydrophilic and hydrophobic cell surfaces expressed in vivo (21), our study suggests that hydrophobic cells would have an advantage for broader distribution during vascular dissemination events. Ex vivo adhesion assay differences in binding by hydrophobic versus hydrophilic yeast cells to various tissues would also support broader distribution based on CSH status (25).

The host variable demonstrated by the results is that activation of HUVECs with the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1β supported significantly more binding by hydrophobic C. albicans cells. IL-1β activation of the HUVEC layer increases surface expression of cell adhesion molecules including selectins (e.g., E-selectin [3]), as well as integrin receptors such as intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and ICAM-2 (reviewed in references 7 and 53). Although ICAM-2 is expressed constitutively on the endothelial cells (33), cytokine activation increases levels of both ICAM-1 and ICAM-2 well above baseline. The increase in yeast cell binding observed here may be due to upregulation of these host adhesion molecules upon exposure to IL-1β. These results contrast with a report by Gustafson et al. where tumor necrosis factor activation of the HUVEC monolayer did not increase binding by Candida (22).

The reason may be that static-versus-stimulated physiologic shear assays reveal a difference in shear-dependent and shear-independent host-pathogen interactions. Adhesion differences have been reported for yeast cells in static assays compared to ones performed under bulk liquid flow across various synthetic substrata (reviewed in reference 5, 6, 42, and 43).

The amount of homotypic binding shown for C. albicans correlates with expression of yeast cell hydrophobicity and with IL-1β activation of HUVECs. The later suggests that endothelial cell-derived components that increase during inflammation could provide a molecular bridge for the observed yeast cell-yeast cell binding rather than a direct yeast-to-yeast interaction. The Candida homotypic binding behavior revealed with the ProteoFlow system may be analogous to coadhesion events (41). The impact of homotypic binding events on Candida pathogenesis is unknown, but the formation of vascular microcolonies could amplify a local infectious burden and influence phagocytic clearance of fungal cells during dissemination.

Pretreatment of the hydrophobic C. albicans cells with MAb 6C5, but not MAb 5D8, decreased both heterotypic and homotypic binding events with activated endothelial cells. In previous studies, both antibodies inhibited hydrophobic C. albicans interactions with laminin and fibronectin (40). The differential capacity of MAb 6C5 to inhibit adhesion in these studies suggests that the 38-kDa hydrophobic protein antigen may play a direct role in mediating attachment to endothelial cells during dissemination. However, based on hydrophobicity theories describing forces acting through primary and secondary interaction distances (reviewed in reference 26), the blocking effect of an anti-hydrophobic protein MAb could result from direct blocking of an adhesin function, by steric hindrance of an adhesin, or by changing the hydrophobic interactive capacity of the yeast cell surface. The abundance and relative exposure of the 6C5 and 5D8 antigens on hydrophobic C. albicans surfaces require further characterization before an endothelial adhesin role for the 6C5 hydrophobic protein can be defined. Another approach in defining the inhibition mechanism would be to investigate the blocking effect of antibodies that recognize hydrophilic cell wall components displayed on hydrophobic C. albicans cells. This approach will be tested once such an anti-hydrophilic protein antibody is available.

Our current hypothesis is that the greater virulence of hydrophobic cells compared to hydrophilic cells is due to the prominent role of hydrophobic interactions in yeast cell attachment to vascular endothelium and exposed ECM. The evidence presented here based on the physiologic shear assay supports this hypothesis and further suggests that inhibition of hydrophobic interactions could affect pathogenesis by modulating the distribution of fungal cells during vascular dissemination and possibly favoring host defense mechanisms.
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