Recruitment of Cytoskeletal and Signaling Proteins to Enteropathogenic and Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli Pedestals
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Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) is a human pathogen that attaches to intestinal epithelial cells and causes chronic watery diarrhea. A close relative, enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), causes severe bloody diarrhea and hemolytic-uremic syndrome. Both pathogens insert a protein, Tir, into the host cell plasma membrane where it binds intimin, the outer membrane ligand of EPEC and EHEC. This interaction triggers a cascade of signaling events within the host cell and ultimately leads to the formation of an actin-rich pedestal upon which the pathogen resides. Pedestal formation is critical in mediating EPEC- and EHEC-induced diarrhea, yet very little is known about its composition and organization. In EPEC, pedestal formation requires Tir tyrosine 474 phosphorylation. In EHEC Tir is not tyrosine phosphorylated, yet the pedestals appear similar. The composition of the EPEC and EHEC pedestals was analyzed by examining numerous cytoskeletal, signaling, and adapter proteins. Of the 25 proteins examined, only two, calpain and CD44, were recruited to the site of bacterial attachment independently of Tir. Several others, including ezrin, talin, gelsolin, and tropomyosin, were recruited to the site of EPEC attachment independently of Tir tyrosine 474 phosphorylation but required Tir in the host membrane. The remaining proteins were recruited to the pedestal in a manner dependent on Tir tyrosine phosphorylation or were not recruited at all. Differences were also found between the EPEC and EHEC pedestals: the adapter proteins Grb2 and CrkII were recruited to the EPEC pedestal but were absent in the EHEC pedestal. These results demonstrate that although EPEC and EHEC recruit similar cytoskeletal proteins, there are also significant differences in pedestal composition.

Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) is a gram-negative pathogen that causes chronic, watery diarrhea in humans, primarily young children and infants (25). It belongs to a family of pathogens that cause focused actin accumulation beneath the site of bacterial attachment. Another member of this family is enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), the causative agent of hemolytic-uremic syndrome (often referred to as “hamburger disease”). EPEC attaches to the host intestinal epithelial cell in clusters, or microcolonies, in a process referred to as localized adherence. EHEC, however, does not form microcolonies during infection.

Following initial adherence to the epithelial cells, EPEC and EHEC secrete virulence factors, Esps (E. coli-secreted proteins), via a specialized type III secretion system (18). Several of the Esps are delivered directly into the host cell, including EspB, EspD, and Tir (22, 42, 43). The Esps and the secretion system are encoded in a chromosomal pathogenicity island (SPI-1). Secretion of the Esps is delivered directly into the host cell, including cytoskeletal proteins, via a specialized type III secretion system (18). Several of the cytoskeletal proteins are recruited to the site of EPEC attachment independently of Tir. These include actin, α-actinin, ezrin, cortactin, talin, filamin, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP), villin, neural-Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (N-WASP), and the actin-related protein 2 and 3 (Arp2/3) complex (1, 5, 11, 14, 20, 40). N-WASP and the Arp2/3 complex are essential for pedestal formation (20). α-Aktin has recently been shown to bind Tir directly at its N terminus independently of Tir phosphorylation (14) and may function to link Tir directly to the actin cytoskeleton. Little, however, is known about the cytoskeletal proteins recruited to the EPEC pedestal. The remaining proteins were recruited to the pedestal in a manner dependent on Tir tyrosine phosphorylation or were not recruited at all. Differences were also found between the EPEC and EHEC pedestals: the adapter proteins Grb2 and CrkII were recruited to the EPEC pedestal but were absent in the EHEC pedestal. These results demonstrate that although EPEC and EHEC recruit similar cytoskeletal proteins, there are also significant differences in pedestal composition.
toskeletal composition of the EHEC pedestal. Cortactin, α-actinin, and actin are the only proteins to date shown to be specifically recruited to the EHEC pedestal (5, 17).

In this study, 25 cytoskeletal, signaling, and adapter proteins were screened for recruitment to EPEC and EHEC pedestals, and the specific role of Tir and its tyrosine phosphorylation was also examined. A comparison of the EPEC and EHEC pedestal composition illustrates that although the pedestals are similar, there are also significant differences.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and bacterial growth.** HeLa cells, a human epithelial cell line (CCL2; American Type Culture Collection), were grown in Dulbecco's minimal Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. The EPEC strains used in this study were E2348/69 (Δtir with a tir deletion), and Δtir complemented with pACYC184ΔtirY474F. The EHEC strain used was 86-24 (serotype O157:H7). All EPEC and EHEC strains were grown in Luria-Bertani broth at 37°C in overnight cultures without shaking.

**Immunofluorescence.** HeLa cells were seeded onto 12-mm-diameter coverslips at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells/ml. The following day, they were infected with 1 μl of an overnight EPEC culture (for 3 h) or EHEC (for 4 h) per ml of DMEM at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The DMEM was then changed, and the remaining adherent bacteria were allowed to infect for two more hours. Following infection, the coverslips were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 2.5% paraformaldehyde. The coverslips were washed extensively after fixing, and the cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Following permeabilization, the cells were washed with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, blocked with 10% normal goat serum in PBS, and then probed with either monoclonal Tir (2A8), paullin (Transduction Labs), VASP (Transduction Labs), gelsolin (Sigma), Shp1 (Transduction Labs), ezrin (Sigma), talin (Sigma), p130cas (Sigma), cortactin (Upstate Biotechnology), zyxin (a kind gift from J. Wehland), calpain (Signal Transduction Labs), tropomyosin, α-actinin to have a similar recruit-ment pattern independent of Tir tyrosine phosphorylation (14). The other proteins had a staining similar to that of uninfected cells, as seen with CrkII staining (Fig. 3F). α-Actinin has been shown to directly bind the N terminus of Tir, but its role in pedestal formation was unknown (13, 14). Overexpression of α-actinin in EPEC-infected HeLa cells resulted in a twofold increase in pedestal length over that of uninfected cells or cells transfected with vector alone (data not shown).

**RESULTS**

**EPEC recruits several cytoskeletal and signaling proteins to the pedestal.** HeLa cells infected with EPEC formed elongated pedestals ranging between 1 and 3 μm in length. They were prepared for immunofluorescence and probed for cytoskeletal and signaling proteins. Of the proteins tested, the following were found to be in the pedestal: CrkII, Grb2, ADF/cofilin, LPP, p130cas, Shc, gelsolin, CD44, calpain, zyxin, and vinculin (Fig. 1A to K). Other host proteins that were not recruited include β1 and α5 integrin, pp60src, FAK, and Shp (Fig. 1 and data not shown).

**CD44 and calpain are recruited independently of Tir delivery.** HeLa cells were infected with EPECΔtir, which delivers EspS to the host cell but is incapable of forming pedestals due to the absence of Tir. Of all the proteins tested, only CD44 and calpain were localized to the site of bacterial adherence, indicating that these proteins are recruited independently of Tir (Fig. 2A to D). All other proteins had a staining pattern similar to that for uninfected cells (data not shown). To address the function of CD44 in the pedestal, CD44-deficient Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts were infected with EPEC for 5 h and prepared for immunofluorescence. Elongated EPEC pedestals were formed in the absence of CD44 as determined by actin and Tir staining and were indistinguishable from those formed in CD44-containing cells (data not shown).

**Gelsolin, tropomyosin, ezrin, α-actinin, and talin are recruited to EPEC independently of Tir tyrosine phosphorylation.** HeLa cells were infected with EPECΔtir/tirY474F, an EPEC strain capable of delivering Tir to the host but lacking the tyrosine residue that is phosphorylated in the host cell. Phosphorylation of EPEC Tir tyrosine 474 is critical for pedestal formation. Of the proteins tested, only gelsolin, tropomyosin, ezrin, and talin were recruited to the site of EPEC adherence without Tir tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 3A to D). A previous study has shown α-actinin to have a similar recruitment pattern independent of Tir tyrosine phosphorylation (14). The other proteins had a staining similar to that of uninfected cells, as seen with CrkII staining (Fig. 3F). α-Actinin has been shown to directly bind the N terminus of Tir, but its role in pedestal formation was unknown (13, 14). Overexpression of α-actinin in EPEC-infected HeLa cells resulted in a twofold increase in pedestal length over that of uninfected cells or cells transfected with vector alone (data not shown).

**EHEC does not recruit the adapter protein CrkII or Grb2 to its pedestal.** HeLa cells were infected with EHEC, and pedestals were examined for recruitment of cytoskeletal and signaling proteins. Of the proteins tested, only CrkII and Grb2 differed, being recruited to EPEC but not EHEC pedestals (Fig. 4). All other proteins were recruited similarly beneath both EPEC and EHEC, indicating the similar but not identical cytoskeletal composition of these pedestals.

**DISCUSSION**

Since the initial survey of cytoskeletal proteins recruited to the site of EPEC attachment was performed (11), our knowledge of EPEC and the cytoskeleton has expanded significantly. The identification of Tir, a bacterial protein, as the receptor for EPEC intimate adherence has allowed researchers to dissect pedestal formation even further through genetic manipulation of Tir (22). Elongated pedestal formation is not only dependent on EPEC Tir but on its tyrosine phosphorylation by a currently unidentified kinase. Additionally, the discovery that EHEC Tir is not tyrosine phosphorylated warrants comparison of these two pedestals (8, 9).

Pathogenic *E. coli* may be used as a model system to study...
signaling to the actin cytoskeleton across the plasma membrane in response to external stimuli. Indeed, there are many parallels between EPEC pedestal formation and the formation of focal adhesions. Focal adhesions are found at sites of eukaryotic cell attachment to the extracellular matrix (ECM). This attachment is mediated through a family of integral membrane proteins called integrins, which link the ECM to the cytoskeleton. Many of the cytoskeletal and signaling proteins that were examined in this study are also involved in focal adhesion formation (Table 1). Both \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) integrins were screened in this study, but they were not found in the EPEC or EHEC pedestal. This was not unexpected, as a previous report suggested that \( \beta \) integrins play no role in EPEC infection (26). However, it is interesting that so many focal adhesion proteins were localized to the pedestal in the absence of \( \beta \) integrins. This suggests that Tir might function much like an integrin. There are several lines of evidence that support this hypothesis. First, both Tir and \( \beta \) integrin span the plasma membrane and, upon binding of their extracellular ligand, signal to the actin cytoskeleton. Secondly, Tir binds \( \alpha \)-actinin and talin directly, as do \( \beta \) integrins (13, 14, 34). This interaction occurs at the N terminus of Tir independently of Tir tyrosine phosphorylation. Intimin also binds \( \beta \) integrins directly, although the function of this interaction is unclear (12). The Tir intimin binding area (or intimin binding domain) is homologous to the ECM binding domain of integrins (21), which may explain why intimin can bind \( \beta \) integrins. Additionally, there is a high degree of homology between \textit{Yersinia} invasins and \textit{E. coli} intimins (29). \textit{Yersinia} invasin binds \( \beta \) integrin with a very high affinity during invasion of host epithelial cells (16), much like intimin binding to Tir (27).

In addition to \( \alpha \)-actinin and talin, several other proteins found in focal adhesions are recruited to the EPEC pedestal, including zyxin, LPP, vinculin, VASP, and p130cas. \( \alpha \)-Actinin and talin mediate direct linkages with \( \beta \) integrins and actin (14, 34, 36). Both also function to bind and cross-link actin (19). Vinculin does not directly bind to integrins but links actin filaments to integrins through other proteins, such as talin (4). VASP targets profilin and F-actin to the site of focal adhesions (37). To date, profilin has not been seen in the pedestal either by indirect immunofluorescence or by green fluorescent protein-profilin transfactions (D. L. Goosney, unpublished observation). Zyxin and its homologue, LPP, function in focal adhesions and cell-cell contacts (35, 38). Both shuttle between the cytoplasm and the nucleus and have transcriptional activation capacity (32, 35). The primary difference between them in cultured epithelial cells is that zyxin colocalizes with stress fibers as well as contact sites, whereas LPP is found only in the sites of contact (35). Both proteins bind and presumably target VASP to focal adhesions.

p130cas is a multidomain adapter-type protein found in focal adhesions. In addition to being a substrate for FAK and src, it can interact with the adapter molecule Crk (3, 15). It is surprising that pp60src and FAK were not localized to the pedestal during EPEC or EHEC infection. This could be simply due to problems detecting the proteins with the antibodies available or could suggest that another signaling pathway is being used by the pathogens to initiate pedestal formation.

FIG. 2. CD44 and calpactin are recruited to the site of EPEC adherence independently of Tir translocation. HeLa cells were infected with EPEC\(^{\Delta tir} \) for 5 h. EPEC\(^{\Delta tir} \) microcolonies were labeled by DAPI (A, C). CD44 (B) and calpactin (D) are recruited beneath EPEC\(^{\Delta tir} \) in a honeycomb pattern. Bars, 5 \( \mu \)m. Arrows denote sites of CD44 or calpactin recruitment beneath adherent EPEC microcolonies.
Alternatively, Tir tyrosine phosphorylation may be occurring only transiently; therefore, recruitment of the kinase may be missed in this screening.

Small GTP-binding proteins are essential for focal adhesion and stress fiber formation. Rho activation during adhesion results in activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (31, 44), which plays a role in restructuring the focal adhesion. Several reports indicate that EPEC does not use the small GTPases during pedestal formation (2, 10). Interestingly, N-WASP is recruited to EPEC pedestals via its GTPase binding domain (20). Deletion of this domain results in lack of focusing of N-WASP at the tip. Preliminary studies indicate that a compactin- and ToxB-insensitive GTPase, Chp, or Chp-like protein may be the protein responsible for directing pedestal formation, as it localizes to the tip of the pedestal (20).

Several other proteins were recruited to the pedestal in addition to those normally found in focal adhesions—CD44, calpactin, ezrin, ADF/cofilin, gelsolin, Shc, CrkII, Grb2, and tropomyosin—illustrating differences between the two structures. CD44 is a membrane receptor for hyaluronic acid, whereas calpactin (p11) acts together with annexin II at the plasma membrane to function in membrane fusion and host cell exocytosis. Both CD44 and the annexin II-p11 complex colocalize in lipid rafts (33), which act to concentrate signaling proteins in various cellular functions, including signal transduction and protein sorting. Although CD44 and calpactin are recruited independently of Tir, they may be brought to the site of EPEC attachment through other Esps or unknown bacterial factors and may function in earlier signaling events during EPEC infection. CD44 itself does not function in pedestal formation, as EPEC-infected CD44-deficient cell lines still form an elongated pedestal. It is tempting to speculate that CD44 and calpactin may function in lipid rafts during initial EPEC signaling.

That CD44 was recruited but is not functional in the pedestal is an important caveat. It demonstrates that not every protein recruited to the site of EPEC attachment is necessarily functional in pedestal formation. Such proteins may function in other aspects of the infection process (for example, host recognition or signaling to the nucleus).
Once Tir is delivered to the host cell, it is tyrosine phosphorylated in EPEC but not in EHEC. We addressed the role of EPEC Tir tyrosine phosphorylation by characterizing cytoskeletal proteins recruited to the site of bacterial attachment independently of this phosphorylation event. It has been previously shown that α-actinin and talin bind to the N terminus of Tir independently of its tyrosine phosphorylation in the cell (13, 14; Goosney, unpublished). In this study, we show that
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**FIG. 4.** EHEC does not recruit the adapter proteins CrkII and Grb2 to the EHEC pedestal. HeLa cells were infected with EHEC for 6 h. Upon translocation of Tir to the cell (A, C), CrkII (B) and Grb2 (D) were not rearranged as seen during EPEC infection (see Fig. 1). Bars, 5 μm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Presence in EPEC pedestals</th>
<th>Presence in EHEC pedestals</th>
<th>Tir dependence</th>
<th>Tir PY dependence</th>
<th>Location in pedestal</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actin-associated proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arp2/3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>This study; 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Actinin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cofilin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortactin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td>This study; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ezrin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study; 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelsolin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-WASP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td>This study; 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paxillin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p130cas</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study; 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropomyosin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study; 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VASP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td>This study; 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinculin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study; 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zyxin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membrane proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α5 integrin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β1 integrin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>This study; 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adapter proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrkII</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tip and length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grb2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Along length</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kinases/phosphatases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pp60src</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shp</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*+, protein recruitment to the site of EPEC or EHEC adherence. –, no rearrangement of the cytoskeletal protein during EPEC/EHEC infection as determined by immunofluorescence.
ezrin, talin, gelsolin, and tropomyosin are also recruited in the absence of tyrosine phosphorylation. α-Actinin, talin, and ezrin are all proteins that link the actin cytoskeleton to the plasma membrane. As mentioned previously, talin and α-actinin link to integrins in focal adhesions and bind Tir directly in pedestals. Ezrin links the cytoskeleton to the plasma membrane in structures such as microvilli and microspikes. These three proteins may be involved in mediating a stable anchor from EPEC to the host cell cytoskeleton.

Gelsolin is a Ca
\(^{2+}\)
-sensitive, F-actin-severing protein that also caps barbed ends of actin filaments and functions to increase free pointed ends (41). Gelsolin may be recruited early to the site of EPEC attachment to provide EPEC with a source of free-end filaments from which to build the pedestal. Tropomyosin is an actin binding protein that can be targeted to sites of active actin rearrangements by gelsolin (24). The wide range of proteins recruited either dependently or independently of Tir tyrosine phosphorylation suggests that Tir may have more than one function in the host cell—recruiting proteins that serve to stabilize Tir interactions with the cytoskeleton and recruiting those that can provide new actin filaments for pedestal formation.

Given the differences in recruitment between phosphorylated and unphosphorylated Tir, we also investigated the composition of the EHEC pedestal. EHEC triggers an elongated pedestal similar to that of EPEC but without Tir tyrosine phosphorylation. All proteins were recruited and localized to the tip or the length of the pedestal in the same manner as EPEC, with the exception of the adapter proteins Grb2 and CrkII. Adapter proteins mediate protein-protein interactions through their multiple SH2 and SH3 binding domains. Grb2 and CrkII were recruited along the length of the EPEC pedestal but were not in the EHEC pedestal. Both are comprised of two SH3 domains and one SH2 domain, which allow them to form multimeric complexes involved in signaling and cytoskeletal rearrangements. Grb2 was recently identified as an activator of the N-WASP–Arp2/3 cascade (6). It is tempting to speculate that EPEC uses one of these adapters to recruit N-WASP and Arp2/3 to the pedestal.

This is the first reported difference between EPEC and EHEC pedestals and indicates that the role of tyrosine phosphorylation may be related to recruitment of adapter proteins to the site of bacterial adherence. EHEC may build a slightly different pedestal independent of known host adapters, perhaps by delivering its own bacterial adapter to the host cell. Alternatively, one or more adapters may interact with the tyrosine-phosphorylated residue of EPEC to initiate pedestal formation, whereas this interaction is bypassed in EHEC pedestals.

The results presented here provide significant insight into how the EPEC and EHEC pedestals are formed during infection. CD44 and calpain were recruited independently of Tir in the host cell, suggesting that they are recruited before pedestal formation occurs. Other proteins, including α-actinin, ezrin, talin, gelsolin, and tropomyosin, are recruited to the site of EPEC attachment independently of Tir tyrosine phosphorylation and may link Tir directly to the cytoskeleton. Tir tyrosine phosphorylation may then recruit additional factors, such as N-WASP and the Arp2/3 complex, which would target actin-polymerizing machinery to the plasma membrane, initiating full pedestal formation. Although EHEC recruited most of the same proteins as EPEC, there were some key differences, namely in the adapter proteins Grb2 and CrkII. EPEC may require these adapter proteins to bind tyrosine-phosphorylated Tir and recruit additional factors to the pedestal, whereas EHEC may bypass this step as it does not require tyrosine phosphorylation of Tir. The similarities of both pedestals with focal adhesions suggest that they are a useful tool for characterizing localized actin rearrangements at the plasma membrane.
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