Stx2-Specific Human Monoclonal Antibodies Protect Mice against Lethal Infection with *Escherichia coli* Expressing Stx2 Variants
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Infection with *Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains is responsible for causing hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS), and systemic administration of Shiga toxin (Stx)-specific human monoclonal antibodies (HuMAbs) is considered a promising approach for prevention or treatment of the disease in children. The goal of the present study was to investigate the ability of Stx2-specific HuMAbs to protect against infections with STEC strains that produce Stx2 variants. Dose-response studies on five HuMAbs, using the mouse toxicity model, revealed that only the three directed against the A subunit were protective against Stx2 variants, and 5C12 was the most effective among the three tested. Two HuMAbs directed against the B subunit, while highly effective against Stx2, were ineffective against Stx2 variants. In a streptomycin-treated mouse model, parenteral administration of 5C12 significantly protected mice up to 48 h after oral bacterial challenge. We conclude that 5C12, reactive against the Stx2 A subunit, is an excellent candidate for immunotherapy against HUS and that antibodies directed against the A subunit of Stx2 have broad-spectrum activity that includes Stx2 variants, compared with those directed against the B subunit.

Infection with *Shiga toxin-producing* *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains is associated with hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) (2, 24, 27), the leading cause of acute renal failure in young children (11). Shiga toxins (Stx) are cytotoxins and are major virulence factors of STEC. There are two immunologically distinct Stx types, known as Stx1 and Stx2, of which Stx1 is largely homogeneous, whereas the Stx2 group is highly heterogeneous and consists of at least 10 Stx2 gene variants (10, 14, 23, 31, 32, 37, 41, 50). Stx2 is the most prevalent genotype identified in STEC isolated from patients with HUS (9, 40), and Stx2c is the most common Stx2 variant associated with HUS (9). Stx2 variants other than Stx2c are found frequently in asymptomatic STEC carriers but can often cause uncomplicated diarrhea (9) and rarely cause HUS (14, 33, 38, 45). Stx2f, identified in *E. coli* from pigeons, has been identified only once in humans, in a patient with diarrhea in Canada (10). In addition to pathogenicity to humans, Stx2 is more toxic to mice and piglets than Stx1. Stx2 is about 400 times more lethal to mice than Stx1 when administered systemically (44). STEC strains producing Stx2 alone cause more severe neurologic symptoms in gnotobiotic piglets than STEC strains producing both Stx1 and Stx2, or Stx1 alone (8).

The nomenclature of Stx2 is confusing; Stx2vha and Stx2vhb (18), which are closely related to Stx2c (42), were originally identified as vtx2ha and vtx2hb (14). They were later shown to be activated by intestinal mucus (21) and named Stx2d (22). However, the Stx2d we refer to in the present study is the Stx2d cluster defined by Pierard et al. (37), which comprises Stx2d-OX3a (32), Stx2d-Ount (37), and Stx2d-O111 (33). An Stx molecule consists of a monomeric A subunit and a pentameric B subunit. Among STEC strains with different Stx2 variants, genetic differences in either the A or the B subunit or in both often confer antigenic and functional differences. The amino acid sequence identities of the A subunits of variants Stx2c (42), Stx2vha (14), Stx2vhb (14), Stx2d-OX3a (32), Stx2d-Ount (37), Stx2d-O111 (33), Stx2e (39), and Stx2f (47) with the A subunit of Stx2 are 100, 99, 99, 95, 93, 95, 94, and 71%, respectively. For the B subunit the amino acid sequence homologies are 96, 96, 87, 88, 88, 87, and 82%, respectively.

The two current therapeutic approaches for HUS involve neutralization of Stx either in the gut or in the bloodstream. The two approaches attempted for Stx inactivation in the gut are (i) utilization of glycoconjugate polymers carrying PK-trisaccharide sequences that serve as a receptor of Stx (1, 4, 5, 17) and (ii) use of recombinant bacteria displaying a Stx-specific glycolipid (globotriose or globotetraose) receptor (29, 30). We believe that systemic administration of Stx-specific neutralizing antibodies is currently the most promising approach for prevention or treatment of Stx-mediated systemic complications, including HUS (7) and edema disease in pigs (15). Murine Stxl- and Stx2-specific monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) have been shown to neutralize both toxins in vitro and in vivo (13, 28, 43). However, murine MAbs are not considered appropriate for human use. Reshaping of a murine antibody against Stx2 into a humanized form has recently been shown to completely protect mice against a lethal challenge with STEC when the antibody is administered within 24 h after infection (51). The disadvantage of a humanized antibody is that it still has mouse components and reduced affinity (12).

Mukherjee et al. have recently generated a panel of 50 human MAbs (HuMAbs) against Stx1 and Stx2 in transgenic...
mice (25, 26), from which we have selected a panel of 5 Stx2-specific HuMAbs that were shown to be highly protective for piglets, even when administered 12 h after an oral challenge with Stx2-producing STEC. In the present study, we used the mouse toxicity model (13, 25, 26, 28, 43) and the streptomyacin-treated mouse model of STEC infection (22, 48, 49) to investigate the abilities of these five HuMAbs to protect against Stx2 variants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacteria.** Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) O91:H21 strain B2F1, which produces Stx2 variants Stx2vha and Stx2vhb (14), was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC 51435). A streptomyacin-resistant clone of wild-type B2F1 was produced by serially passaging B2F1 on a Luria-Bertani (LB) broth agar plate containing 30 to 100 μg of streptomycin/ml. EHEC O157 strain E32511 (producing both Stx2vha and Stx2vhb) (42) and 93-8059 (producing Stx2vha only) were obtained from Andrew MacKenzie (Child and Youth Clinical Trial Network, Ottawa, Canada).

**Crude preparation of Stx.** A culture supernatant of B2F1 was used as a source of Stx2vha (Stx2vha + Stx2vhb). A colony of wild-type B2F1 grown in 3 ml of LB broth for 7 h in a shaker at 37°C was transferred to a sterile flask containing LB broth at a dilution of 1:500 and incubated overnight in a shaker at 37°C. The culture was centrifuged at 1,750 g for 30 min, and the supernatant was filtered by passage through a 0.22-μm-pore-size filter. Similarly, a culture supernatant of E32511 was used as a source of Stx2c and Stx2d, and a culture supernatant of 93-8059 was used as a source of Stx2.

**Stx2-specific HuMAbs.** Production of 37 hybridomas secreting Stx2-specific HuMAbs has been described elsewhere (25). Three HuMAbs against the A subunit (3E9, 2F10, and 5C12) and two against both the A and B subunits (5H8 and 6G3) have been shown to be the most efficient at neutralizing Stx2 in vitro and in vivo (25). These were selected for the present study. All five HuMAbs were of the human immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) (IgG1[kappa]) isotype. HuMAb-containing ascites fluid was prepared by injecting hybridoma cells into the peritoneal cavities of pristane (Sigma-Aldrich Co.)-primed ICR SCID mice (Taconic, Germantown, N.Y.).

**Quantitation of Stx2-specific HuMAbs by ELISA.** The human IgG1(kappa) concentration of each HuMAb in mouse ascites was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Briefly, 96-well plates were coated overnight at 4°C with 100 μl of the mouse MAb JDC-1 (IgG1 isotype) against human IgG1 (BD PharMingen) at 5 μg/ml. Plates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline–0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T) and blocked with 100 μl of 2% nonfat dry milk powder in PBS-T/well at 37°C. After a wash, ascites samples diluted 1:100 in PBS-T were serially diluted twofold in duplicate rows of the plate (100 μl/well). A human IgG1(e) (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) standard was similarly titrated on each plate from a starting concentration of 1 μg/ml. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 h and washed again. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, Ala.), which was affinity purified and cross-adsorbed with human IgA, IgM, and IgD, was added at 100 μl/well at a dilution of 1:1,000. After incubation at 37°C for 1 h and a wash, plates were developed with a substrate solution (0.2% o-phenylenediamine–0.05% hydrogen peroxide in citric acid-phosphate buffer [pH 5.0]). The chromogenic reaction was stopped by using 50 μl of 2 M sulfuric acid, and absorbance was read at 490 nm. By using the linear portion of the IgG1(e) standard curve, the total IgG1(e) content of each HuMAb in ascites was determined and expressed as milligrams or micrograms of IgG1(e) per milliliter of ascites fluid.

**HeLa cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay.** An in vitro HeLa cell cytotoxicity assay was used to evaluate the ability of each HuMAb to neutralize the toxic effects of Stx2vha + Stx2vhb exerted against HeLa cells. Briefly, HeLa cells were plated at 1.4 × 10⁴/well on 96-well plates in McCoy’s 5A medium (Mediatech, Inc., Herndon, Va.) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Harlan Bioproducts for Science, Inc., Madison, Wis.) and incubated overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂. A culture supernatant of B2F1 containing Stx2vha + Stx2vhb was titrated on HeLa cells to determine a dilution that killed ~70% of HeLa cells. Dead cells were removed by a wash with PBS, and crystal violet was used to stain viable cells (16). A mixture of the culture supernatant at a dilution that killed ~70% of HeLa cells and the HuMAb (5 μg/ml) or IgG1(e) (5 μg/ml) as an isotype control (Sigma) was precultured for 1 h at 37°C under 5% CO₂, then added to the cells, and incubated overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂. A rabbit anti-Stx2 serum at a dilution of 1:400 was used as a positive control. The assay was similarly performed with a culture supernatant of EHEC O157 strain 93-8059 (a Stx2 pro- ducer), which served as another control. Plates were developed by crystal violet staining, and absorbance (optical density) was read at 690 nm. The percent neutralization of Stx2vha, Stx2vhb, and Stx2-mediated HeLa cell cytotoxicity by the HuMAb was then determined. Similarly, the HeLa cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay was performed utilizing culture supernatants of E32511 and 93-8059.

**Mouse toxicity model.** The mouse toxicity model (13, 25, 26, 28, 43) was used to determine the most efficacious HuMAb for neutralizing the effects of Stx2vha + Stx2vhb in vivo. Dose-response studies were performed with groups of 10 3- to 4-week-old female Swiss Webster mice (Taconic) to determine the amount of Stx2vha + Stx2vhb in the B2F1 culture supernatant required to induce 100% mortality in untreated animals. A volume of 160 μl of the B2F1 culture supernatant was sufficient (data not shown). The efficacies of HuMAbs were evaluated by administering every Stx2-specific HuMAb intraperitoneally (i.p.) to each of 10 3- to 4-week-old Swiss Webster mice at a dose of 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, or 20 μg/mouse in 200 μl of PBS, followed 18 h later by i.p. administration of 160 μl of the B2F1 culture supernatant. A control group of 10 mice received human myeloma IgG1(e) (20 μg/mouse; Sigma), and another control group received 200 μl of PBS alone. Both control groups were also challenged with 160 μl of the B2F1 culture supernatant. Mice were observed twice daily for survival.

**Streptomyacin-treated mouse model of STEC infection.** A streptomyacin-treated mouse model of STEC infection (22, 48, 49) was used to investigate the time-dependent efficacy of the most efficacious Stx2-specific HuMAb following infection with B2F1. Four-week-old DBA/2J mice were given drinking water containing 5 mg of streptomycin/ml for 24 h and were then denied food for 12 to 18 h. The mice received 10⁹ CFU of a streptomyacin-resistant clone of B2F1 (0.1 ml) in 200 μl PBS at room temperature and then washed and developed with the 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) peroxidase-substrate system (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories, Inc., Gaithersburg, Md.). Analysis of the TMB reaction was determined by spectrophotometry under reducing conditions and electrophoretically transferred to a 0.2-m pore-size nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.). After transfer, the membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk powder in PBS-T at room temperature for 1 h, washed, and incubated with each HuMAb (2.5 μg/ml of PBS-T) at room temperature for 1 h. Human IgG1(e) (Sigma) was used as a control. After a wash, strips were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Southern Biotech) at a dilution of 1:10,000 for 1 h at room temperature and then washed and developed with the 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) peroxidase-substrate system (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories, Inc., Gaithersburg, Md.).

**Statistical analysis.** The grouped survival data were analyzed by the Mantel-Cox test and by using the PROC Freq procedure of SAS statistical software. Resulting P values of <0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Reactivity in immunoblotting.** HuMAbs 5C12, 3E9, and 2F10 reacted with the A subunits of Stx2 and Stx2vha + Stx2vhb (Fig. 1). HuMAbs 6G3 and 5H8 reacted strongly with the B subunit and mildly with the A subunit of Stx2 but did not react with any of the subunits of Stx2vha + Stx2vhb.

**Neutralization of Stx2vha- and Stx2vhb-mediated HeLa cell cytotoxicity.** Each of the Stx2-specific HuMAbs was effective at neutralizing the activity of Stx2 present in the culture supernatant of 93-8059; however, differences in relative potency were observed (5H8 and 6G3 showed the highest potency, followed, in descending order, by 5C12, 2F10, and 3E9) (Fig. 2). Similarly, consistent relative differences were observed among the Stx2 A-subunit-specific HuMAbs with respect to
neutralization of Stx2vha + Stx2vhb present in the culture supernatant of B2F1 and neutralization of Stx2c present in the culture supernatant of E32511 (5C12 and 2F10 showed approximately equal potencies, while that of 3E9 was lower). In contrast, the B-subunit-specific HuMAb 5H8 did not neutralize Stx2vha + Stx2vhb or Stx2c, and 6G3 neutralized them at very low levels. Although 5C12 neutralized Stx2 completely, residual cytotoxicity was observed with Stx2vha + Stx2vhb and Stx2c; this might have been due to the presence of toxic factors other than Stx2 variants in the culture supernatants of B2F1 and E32511, since the rabbit anti-Stx2 serum also neutralized Stx2 completely but did not completely neutralize the Stx2 variants.

Neutralization of Stx2vha + Stx2vhb in vivo. Considering the identical in vitro neutralization patterns of the Stx2-specific HuMAbs against Stx2c and Stx2vha + Stx2vhb (Fig. 2), further studies to examine the relative potency of each HuMAb in vivo were performed only against Stx2vha + Stx2vhb, by utilizing the mouse toxicity model. At each dose, Stx2 A-subunit-specific HuMAbs 5C12, 2F10, and 3E9 significantly protected mice, as evidenced by comparison with the PBS control (average survival, 2.30 ± 0.35 days) and the HuMAb IgG1(κ) control (average survival, 2.35 ± 0.34 days) (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3). In contrast, Stx2 B-subunit-specific HuMAbs 5H8 and 6G3 did not protect mice significantly at any dose level. HuMAbs 2F10 and 3E9 exhibited very similar dose-dependent effects on relative average survival; they did not differ significantly from each other at any dose level except 10 μg/mouse (P < 0.0001). In contrast, 5C12 did not show dose dependency; it protected 90% of the mice even at the lowest dose administered (1.25 μg/mouse). 5C12 provided better protection than 2F10 and 3E9, differing significantly from them at all dose levels except for 3E9 at doses of 10 and 2.5 μg/mouse. At the lowest dose (1.25 μg/mouse) tested, 5C12 was far superior to 2F10 and 3E9 (P < 0.0001).

Time-dependent efficacy of 5C12 in B2F1-infected mice. To test for the time-dependent efficacy of 5C12, mice were orally infected with 1010 CFU of B2F1, and HuMAb 5C12 was administered at 0 to 72 h after infection (Fig. 4). All control mice infected and treated i.p. at the same time with control human IgG1(κ) died, with an average survival time of 6 days. In contrast, 5C12 administered 0, 12, 24, or 48 h following infection protected 80% (P = 0.0001), 70% (P = 0.0002), 90% (P < 0.0001), or 60% (P = 0.001) of the mice, respectively (Fig. 4). However, 5C12 administered 72 h following infection protected only 20% of the mice, which was not a significant effect.

DISCUSSION

The main goals of the present study were (i) to identify the most effective Stx2-specific HuMAb by using the mouse toxicity model and (ii) to determine the protective ability of the selected HuMAb against Stx2c, the most prevalent Stx2 variant associated with HUS (9), by using the streptomycin-treated mouse model of oral STEC infection (22, 48, 49). Like others (18), we were unsuccessful in adapting the streptomycin-treated mouse model for strain E32511, which produces both Stx2 and Stx2c (data not shown). Consequently, we have used strain B2F1, described by other investigators (22, 48, 49, 51), which expresses both Stx2vha and Stx2vhb (14). B2F1 was ideal because the B subunits of Stx2c, Stx2vha, and Stx2vhb are identical and differ from the B subunit of Stx2 by 2 amino acids (14, 42). In addition, unlike the A subunit of Stx2c, which is identical to that of Stx2, the A subunits of Stx2vha and Stx2vhb differ by 3 amino acids from that of Stx2. Therefore, testing Stx2vha and Stx2vhb against our Stx2 A- and B-subunit-specific HuMAbs determined not only the influence of amino acid differences in the B subunit, but also that for the A subunit, on the relative protective abilities of the HuMAbs. Moreover,
STEC strains producing Stx2vha/H11001/ Stx2vhb have also been associated with HUS (14). We first evaluated the neutralizing abilities of the five selected HuMAbs against Stx2 variants (Stx2c and Stx2vha/ Stx2vhb) in vitro by HeLa cell cytotoxicity neutralization assay, followed by dose-response studies in the mouse toxicity model (13, 25, 26, 28, 43). Of the five HuMAbs tested (three A-subunit and two B-subunit specific), 5C12 was the most effective, and therefore it was selected for further evaluation in the streptomycin-treated mouse model of infection (22, 48, 49). 5C12 was administered at various time points after bacterial challenge, since treatment of patients with STEC infection is expected to occur after exposure to infection, at the onset of bloody diarrhea. Studies with piglets have already shown that these HuMAbs are protective even when given after an oral bacterial challenge with Stx2-producing STEC (25). This is the first report, however, which shows that administration of a specific HuMAb against Stx2 (5C12 at 2.1 mg/kg) can significantly protect mice when given as long as 48 h after bacterial challenge. In contrast, a study using the same mouse model and strain B2F1 has shown that the Stx2-specific humanized MAb TMA-15, given at a dose of 1.0 mg/kg, protects mice when given as long as 24 h after bacterial challenge (51). It is possible that the differences in length of protection afforded by 5C12 and TMA-15 are due to differences in their respective affinities. Although concentration of Stx2 variants in the blood were not determined in the present study, Yamagami et al. (51) have reported that serum Stx2 variant levels are highest in mice at 48 h after STEC infection (51). This suggests that 5C12 can significantly protect mice even when the maximum levels of Stx2 variants are present in the bloodstream. The time window of 48 h for immunotherapeutic intervention has direct implications for children at risk of developing HUS (e.g., those presenting with bloody diarrhea or excreting STEC) and for individuals in contact with them. The development of rapid and sensitive diagnostic methods has made it possible to detect STEC infections almost a week before symptoms of HUS become apparent (34, 35).

The three amino acid differences between the A subunits of Stx2vha and Stx2vhb, on the one hand, and the A subunit of Stx2, on the other (14), did not significantly affect the binding of any of the Stx2 A-subunit-specific HuMAbs; all of them neutralized Stx2vha + Stx2vhb both in vitro and in vivo. How-
ever, the Stx2 B-subunit-specific HuMAbs (5H8 and 6G3) failed to neutralize Stx2vha + Stx2vhb, suggesting that one or both of the amino acid changes in the B subunits of Stx2vha and Stx2vhb considerably affected the neutralizing capabilities of 5H8 and 6G3. Since the B subunits of Stx2vha and Stx2vhb are identical to the Stx2c B subunit, 5H8 and 6G3 also failed to neutralize Stx2c in vitro. However, Stx2 A-subunit-specific HuMAbs neutralized Stx2c in vitro, because Stx2 and Stx2c have identical A subunits. The failure of 6G3 and 5H8 to neutralize Stx2c in vitro and their stronger immunoblot-reactivity with the B subunit than with the A subunit of Stx2 unequivocally show that the neutralization activities of these two HuMAbs are due to their binding with the B subunit and not the A subunit.

Given that STEC can produce any combination of Stx1, Stx2, and/or Stx2c (9), an ideal therapeutic formulation should, in our view, include HuMAbs specific for Stx1, Stx2, and Stx2c. Mukherjee et al. have recently reported production of protective Stx1-specific HuMAbs (26) for inclusion in such a formulation. Since it appears from this study that A-subunit-specific activity with the B subunit than with the A subunit of Stx2 for Stx1, Stx2, and Stx2c.

Mukherjee et al. have recently reported production of protective Stx1-specific HuMAbs (26) for inclusion in such a formulation. Since it appears from this study that A-subunit-specific activity with the B subunit than with the A subunit of Stx2, as opposed to those directed against the B subunit, have broad-spectrum activity that includes Stx2 variants.
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