Effects of the Enterococcus faecalis hypR Gene Encoding a New Transcriptional Regulator on Oxidative Stress Response and Intracellular Survival within Macrophages
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In order to identify regulators of the oxidative stress response in Enterococcus faecalis, an important human pathogen, several genes annotated as coding for transcriptional regulators were inactivated by insertional mutagenesis. One mutant, affected in the ef2958 locus (designated hypR [hydrogen peroxide regulator]), appeared to be highly sensitive to oxidative challenge caused by hydrogen peroxide. Moreover, testing of the hypR mutant by using an in vivo-in vitro macrophage infection model resulted in a highly significant reduction in survival compared to the survival of parent strain JH2-2. Northern blot analyses were carried out with probes specific for genes encoding known antioxidant enzymes, and they showed that the ahpCF (alkyl hydroperoxide reductase) transcript was expressed less in mutant cells. Mobility shift protein-DNA binding assays revealed that HypR regulated directly the expression of hypR itself and the ahpCF operon. Our combined results showed that HypR appeared to be directly involved in the expression of ahpCF genes under oxidative stress conditions and suggested that this regulator could contribute to the virulence of E. faecalis.

In their environments, bacteria have to sense and cope with different growth-restricting physicochemical stresses. In order to survive, microorganisms developed strategies for adaptation and resistance against multiple hostile conditions. During this adaptation, the expression of several stress-implicated genes was modified. This expression is usually under transcriptional initiation control by alternative sigma factors like σB and σA, which are general stress response regulators in Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis, respectively (17, 24). Furthermore, a σB homologous gene has also been identified in some nonsporulating gram-positive bacteria, like Listeria monocytogenes and Staphylococcus aureus (42, 43).

Enterococcus faecalis, a non-spore-forming gram-positive microorganism, can cause serious diseases and is one of the most common bacteria responsible for hospital-based infections (18). Nevertheless, despite the recognition of the clinical importance of enterococcal infections, their pathogenic mechanisms are not well understood (15). Surprisingly, no σB-like sigma factor gene has been found in the E. faecalis chromosome sequence (26) or in the closely related species Lactococcus lactis (3), and little information is available about the regulation of gene expression during stress conditions. Workers in our laboratory have identified some general stress proteins in E. faecalis, but the corresponding regulators of the genes are still unknown (14, 29). Furthermore, Le Breton and coworkers have recently analyzed nine two-component system regulators.

When transcriptional and mutational approaches were used, eight of these regulators appeared to be implicated in stress responses in E. faecalis. However, none of the mutants were more sensitive to oxidative stress (25, 41).

Oxidative stress is one of the common stresses encountered by bacteria in many environments, especially during the infection process due to the immune response. Under such conditions, many genes encoding antioxidant enzymes are induced in order to shield the microorganisms against reactive oxygen species. The two main specific regulators implicated in the oxidative stress response, OxyR and SoxS, were first described in E. coli (8, 16, 34, 40) and Salmonella enterica serovar typhi-murium (7). PerR, a functional analogue of OxyR, has been characterized as the major regulator of the inducible peroxide stress response in B. subtilis (5, 6, 19).

The oxidative stress response of E. faecalis has been analyzed in our laboratory. Physiological experiments revealed that this bacterium was able to strongly resist a hydrogen peroxide treatment and developed significant H2O2 cross-protection (10). By using two-dimensional electrophoresis, 23 H2O2-induced proteins have been detected (10). Moreover, several genes involved in the oxidative stress response, such as the ahpCF (alkyl hydroperoxide reductase), npr (NADH peroxidase), sodA (superoxide dismutase), and katA (catalase) genes, are present in the chromosome of E. faecalis, and recently, the catalase activity of this bacterium has been demonstrated (11). However, until now, no oxidative stress-specific regulator has been described in E. faecalis. In this work, we proved that a mutation in the ef2958 gene, now designated hypR, sensitized E. faecalis to an H2O2 treatment and greatly affected survival in murine peritoneal macrophages. Transcriptional analysis re-
revealed that the hypR and ahpCF genes were repressed in the mutant, thus providing the first evidence of a new oxidative stress regulon in E. faecalis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions.** The E. faecalis strain used in this study was JH2-2 (21, 44). E. coli XL1Blue (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.) was used as a recipient strain for internal fragment cloning, and pUCB300 was used as a cloning and integrational vector (12). Cultures of E. faecalis were grown at 37 °C without shaking in 20-ml glass tubes containing 10 ml of semisynthetic medium (Becto Folic AOAC medium; Difco, Detroit, Mich.) supplemented with 0.5% (wt/vol) glucose. The plates were incubated at 37 °C without shaking in 20-ml glass tubes containing 10 ml of semisynthetic medium (Bacto Folic AOAC medium; Difco, Detroit, Mich.) supplemented with 0.5% (wt/vol) glucose. For plate counting, a sample was taken, immediately diluted in 0.9% NaCl, and poured onto M17 (38) agar (1.5% [wt/vol] agar [Difco]) supplemented with 0.2% glucose. The cultures were grown at 37 °C for 48 h. E. coli strains were cultured with vigorous agitation at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani medium (31) with erythromycin (300 \( \mu \)g/ml) when required.

**General molecular methods.** Restriction endonucleases, alkaline phosphatase, and T4 DNA ligase were obtained from Roche (Mannheim, Germany) and were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each PCR was carried out in a 25-\( \mu \)l reaction mixture containing 5 \( \mu \)g of chromosomal DNA of E. faecalis by using Ready To Go PCR beads (Pharmacia Biotech, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom). The annealing temperature was 5 °C below the melting temperature of the primers, and the PCR products were purified with a QIAquick kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, Calif.) before they were cloned into the Smal site of the vector. E. coli and E. faecalis were transformed by electroporation by using a Gene Pulser apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.) as described by Dowler et al. (9). Plasmids were purified by using QIAprep Miniprep (QIAGEN). Other standard techniques were carried out as described by Sambrook et al. (31).

**Construction of the hypR (previously c925S) insertional mutant.** To construct an insertional mutant with a disruption in the E. faecalis hypR gene, a 342-bp fragment of the hypR gene (obtained with the hypint5 and hypint3 primers [Table 1]) was ligated with the insertional vector pUCB300 which had been digested with Smal. The resulting plasmid obtained after transformation of E. coli XL1Blue was used to transform competent cells of E. faecalis JH2-2. Erythromycin-resistant colonies were selected on agar plates containing 150 \( \mu \)g of erythromycin per ml. Integration were verified by PCR and Southern blot analysis.

**H\(_2\)O\(_2\) challenge conditions.** Wild-type and mutants cells (grown as described above) were harvested at an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5 by centrifugation and were resuspended in 0.9% NaCl with 20 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The cultures were placed into a 37 °C water bath, and at the desired time, samples were taken for plate counting. Numbers of CFU were determined after 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. Each data point below is the average of the data for at least three experiments with duplicate plating. The level of survival at any given time point was determined by determining the ratio of the number of CFU after treatment to the number of CFU at zero time.

**RNA isolation, mapping of the transcriptional start site, and Northern blot analysis.** Total RNA of E. faecalis was isolated from exponentially growing cells or stressed cells (30 min at pH 4.8, adjusted with lactic acid or with 2.4 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\), which has been shown to be the best adaptation conditions for E. faecalis [10]) by using an Rneasy Midi kit (QIAGEN). The transcriptional start point of hypR was determined by using a RACE 5′/3′ kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Membrane-bound nucleic acids were hybridized at a temperature 5 °C below the melting temperature with radioactively labeled probes that were prepared by PCR amplification of an internal fragment of each targeted gene with Taq DNA polymerase and by using \([\alpha-\text{32P}]d\text{ATP}\). The primers used are listed in Table 1. The membranes were then exposed to a storage phosphor screen (Packard Instrument Company, Canberra, Australia) for 5 h. The intensity levels of transcripts were determined by using the Optiquant image analysis software (Packard Instrument Company). This software calculates the number of digital light units per square millimeter.

**Overproduction and purification of HypR.** E. faecalis HypR was overproduced and purified as a hybrid protein with a His\(_6\) tag fused to its N terminus. First, the hypR gene was amplified by PCR (by using the hypint5 and hypint3 primers [Table 1]) and cloned into the pQE30 vector (QIAGEN). The protein was overproduced in E. coli M15(pREP4) carrying pQE30hypR. E. coli was grown in 200 ml of 2× TY medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 \( \mu \)g/ml) and kanamycin (25 \( \mu \)g/ml) to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5. HypR was induced by addition of 1 mM isopropyl-\( \beta\)-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 2 h at 37°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed in 20 ml of buffer I (50 mM Tris HCl [pH 7.5], 50 mM Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 15% glycerol), harvested again by centrifugation, resuspended in 5 ml of buffer I, and then disrupted by one passage through the One Shot Cell Disruptor system (Constant System, Northants, United Kingdom) at 2.15 × 10\(^6\) Pa. The lysate was centrifuged at 13,000 \( \times \) g for 30 min at 4°C, and the soluble fraction of proteins was recovered. His-tagged HypR was purified by using Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid resin (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% poly-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
<th>Position in the corresponding gene (nucleotide no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hypR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypint5</td>
<td>TAGCGAATAAACAGTCCAC</td>
<td>220 to 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypint3</td>
<td>AACCTGTCTGTGCAAAAAGA</td>
<td>599 to 581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyprac1</td>
<td>CATGCAAATGTTTGGCCCGG</td>
<td>78 to 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyprac2</td>
<td>GTACGCCATAATCTTCTCT</td>
<td>136 to 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyprac3</td>
<td>CATAGCCTTTTTTTCGCCG</td>
<td>305 to 286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypot5</td>
<td>GCCGAATCCATGGAATACGATGATGACATCACATTTCGACC</td>
<td>1 to 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypot3</td>
<td>GCCGAAACCTTTACGCGGCG</td>
<td>884 to 849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyp5</td>
<td>CGTGGCAAGAAGATCTTCTAC</td>
<td>-272 to -252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyp3</td>
<td>GTTGGCGCTGCAGCCACTAATCG</td>
<td>69 to 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**npr gene**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
<th>Position in the corresponding gene (nucleotide no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>npr5</td>
<td>TGTTAGCTATATGACCTGGC</td>
<td>170 to 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>npr3</td>
<td>CGATTTTTCGACCAAGCC</td>
<td>678 to 659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ahpCF operon**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
<th>Position in the corresponding gene (nucleotide no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ahpC5</td>
<td>TGTTAGCCTATACGACATGG</td>
<td>36 to 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahpC3</td>
<td>CGTTTTTCGTCAGCCGCTTC</td>
<td>263 to 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pahp5</td>
<td>GATCTGGTCGGATGAGT</td>
<td>-306 to -287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pahp3</td>
<td>CAGAGGAGAAGAATACGGC</td>
<td>139 to 120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Position 1 corresponds to the A of the ATG start codon.
b The BamHI restriction site is underlined.
c The KpnI restriction site is underlined.

**TABLE 1. Primers used in this study**
acrylamide gel electrophoresis and visualized by Coomassie brilliant blue stain-
ing.

**Gel mobility shift assay.** DNA fragments (about 300 bp) corresponding to the different promoter regions analyzed were amplified and labeled by PCR with [α-32P]dATP. The promoter region for npr has been identified by Ross and Claiborne (30), and for ahpCF and sodA the transcriptional start sites have been mapped (unpublished data). Each labeled DNA was incubated with purified HypR (7.8 ng to 4.0 μg) in interaction buffer [40 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 200 μg of bovine serum albumin per ml, 2 mM CaCl2, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 20 μg of poly(dI-dC) per ml] at room temperature for 30 min. The DNA-HypR mixtures were electrophoresed on a 12.5% polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x Tris-borate-EDTA at 180 V. Gels were dried and analyzed by autoradiography.

**Assays of survival in mouse peritoneal macrophages.** Survival of *E. faecalis* in mouse peritoneal macrophages was tested by using an in vivo-in vitro infection model as described previously (12). Briefly, the *E. faecalis* hypR mutant and JH2-2 were grown aerobically at 37°C in brain heart infusion (BHI) for 16 h, while *E. coli* DH5α was grown in Luria-Bertani broth and used as a negative control. Then the bacteria were pelleted and resuspended in an adequate volume of phosphate-buffered saline for injection. Male BALB/c mice (10 weeks old; Harlan Italy S.r.l., San Pietro al Natisone, Udine, Italy) were infected with 10⁷ to 10⁹ cells of each strain by intraperitoneal injection. After a 6-h-infection period, the peritoneal macrophages were collected by peritoneal lavage, centrifuged, and suspended in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM glutamine, 10% bovine fetal serum, and 1× nonessential amino acids supplemented with vancomycin (10 μg/ml) and gentamicin (150 μg/ml). The cell suspension was dispensed into 24-well tissue culture plates and incubated at 37°C under 5% CO2 for 2 h. After exposure to antibiotics to kill extracellular bacteria (i.e., at 8 h postinfection), the infected macrophages were washed, and triplicate wells of macrophages were lysed with detergent. After dilution with BHI broth, the lysates were plated on BHI agar to quantitate the viable intracellular bacteria. The remaining wells containing infected macrophages were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with the antibiotics for the duration of the experiment. The same procedure was performed at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection. In the meantime, at 8, 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection, supernatant fluid was removed from each well, and extracellular bacteria were quantitated by counting on BHI agar plates. To assess viability, macrophages were detached from tissue culture wells with cell scrapers and stained with trypan blue, and the viable macrophages were counted with a hemacytometer. All experiments were performed three times, and the results were subjected to statistical analysis by using one-way analysis of variance with a Bonferroni correction posttest with the SPSS statistical software.

**Complementation of the hypR mutant.** To complement the hypR gene in trans, a 1,156-bp PCR fragment containing hypR and its promoter (obtained with primers Phyp5 and hypo5 [Table 1]) was cloned into the low-copy-number plasmid pNZ273 (Cm”) (27). The resulting vector (pNVhyp) was then transformed into the hypR mutant. Proper construction was verified by sequencing. In order to compare the phenotypes of the complemented strain and the wild type, plasmid pNZ273 was introduced into strain JH2-2 and the hypR mutant strain to render them chloramphenicol resistant. Assays of survival in mouse peritoneal macrophages were carried out as described above.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of an *E. faecalis* mutant strain sensitive to H2O2 challenge.** An in silico analysis by using the *E. faecalis* V583 genome sequence provided by The Institute for Genomic Research was carried out in order to find polypeptides homologous to bacterial regulators known to be involved in the oxidative stress response. Then our homology searches revealed that five main transcriptional regulators, members of the LysR family (encoded by ef0644, ef1656, ef1710, ef1815, and ef2958), were slightly homologous to *E. coli* OxyR (17 to 23.1% identity). Mutants with mutations in the corresponding genes were constructed in the JH2-2 strain by insertional mutagenesis and then tested with oxidative stresses. Only mutants affected in the ef2958 locus, which encoded the protein that exhibited the highest level of homology to OxyR, appeared to be 335-fold more sensitive after 30 min in the presence of 20 mM H2O2 than the wild-type strain (Fig. 1). It is noteworthy that the growth rate of the ef2958 mutant was identical to that of the wild-type strain (data not shown). Furthermore, the rates of survival after an H2O2 challenge following a 30-min adaptation with a 2.4 mM H2O2 pretreatment were the same for the two strains (data not shown). Moreover, no difference in survival was observed between the wild type and the ef2958 mutant after oxidative stress caused by incubation for 30 min with 200 mM t-butyl hydroperoxide, 10 mM cumene hydroperoxide, or 500 mM menadione or after other challenges, including an acid pH (pH 3.7 for 30 min), heat shock (62°C for 30 min), or incubation with bile salts (0.3% bile salts for 15 s), arguing that there is specificity of this regulator for coping with H2O2 in nonadapted cells. Therefore, the locus was designated hypR (hydrogen peroxide regulator), and in subsequent experiments we focused on this putative transcriptional regulator.

**Effect of the hypR mutation on the expression of genes involved in the oxidative stress response.** In order to characterize the HypR regulon and to correlate the phenotype of the mutant with the regulation of genes involved in the oxidative stress response, transcriptional analyses were carried out. A previous study reported that OxyR purified from *E. coli* was able to bind to the promoter region of the NADH peroxidase gene (*npr*) from *E. faecalis* (30). In order to analyze if HypR, which is weakly related to OxyR, regulates the *npr* gene in *E. faecalis*, Northern blotting was performed with RNA isolated from the wild-type and hypR mutant strains. Experiments were carried out with total RNA extracted from cells in the exponential growth phase (control) and from bacteria cultured with 2.4 mM H2O2 and at pH 4.8 (adjusted with lactic acid), which were used as an internal control. A signal corresponding to the expected size of the *npr* transcript (approximately 1.5 kb) was observed (Fig. 2). Our results and quantification of the level of transcription revealed that a mutation in the hypR locus did not significantly modify the *npr* expression in any situation exam-
We confirmed that both ahpC and hypR were down regulated at the same level in the hypR mutant under H2O2 stress conditions, while no significant difference was observed with the sodA and npr genes (data not shown).

Protein-DNA binding test for HypR. To determine whether HypR really functions as a DNA binding protein, His6-tagged HypR was produced and purified, and gel retardation experiments were performed with different 32P-labeled promoters. First, we tested the interaction of HypR with the promoter region of the hypR locus itself. Indeed, HypR is included in the LysR family represented by transcriptional regulators which are able to control positively a wide range of genes and are known to be autorepressors (32). To precisely locate the promoter, the transcriptional start point of the hypR gene was determined. Note that a Northern blot analysis with a specific probe did not hybridize with the hypR gene (by using the npr5 and npr3 primers [Table 1]).

Effect of the hypR mutation on survival within mouse peritoneal macrophages. Gentry-Weeks et al. (13) demonstrated that E. faecalis was able to persist for an extended period in mouse peritoneal macrophages by using a well-established infection model which consisted of infecting BALB/c mice intraperitoneally, recovering infected macrophages 6 h later, and then monitoring over a 72-h period the survival of intracellular bacteria within peritoneal macrophages maintained in vitro.

In order to assess if the hypR gene affected the ability of E. faecalis to resist killing by macrophages, the intracellular survival of the E. faecalis hypR mutant and the intracellular survival of the wild-type strain were monitored by determining the numbers of viable bacteria inside infected mouse peritoneal macrophages over the 72-h time course (Fig. 6). In these studies, the nonpathogenic strain E. coli DH5α was used as a negative control, since this strain is known to be susceptible to killing by mouse peritoneal macrophages (13).

No significant difference was observed in the levels of the E. faecalis strains recovered 8 h postinfection, suggesting that the
two strains possessed similar abilities to infect macrophages (Fig. 6). However, *E. faecalis* JH2-2 was superior to *E. faecalis* hypR in the ability to survive intracellularly at the 24-, 48-, and 72-h time points (*P < 0.0001*). Interestingly, the number of viable *E. faecalis* hypR organisms decreased more rapidly over the 72-h time course; there was an initial reduction of 2 log units between 8 and 24 h postinfection and a similar reduction between 24 and 48 h postinfection, followed by a decline of 1 log unit between 48 and 72 h postinfection. In contrast, *E. faecalis* JH2-2 exhibited a reduction of approximately 0.5 log unit over the 72-h period. As expected, *E. coli* DH5α was efficiently killed by the macrophages (Fig. 6).

**Complementation of hypR gene.** To confirm that the effect on survival within macrophages of the *E. faecalis* hypR mutant was due to the loss of a functional hypR gene, we complemented the mutant with plasmid pNVhyp, which contained a fragment encoding the regulator. As shown in Fig. 7, this construction restored the survival to nearly the wild-type level, indicating that the phenotype can be complemented with the hypR function provided in trans. The hypR mutant and strain JH2-2 transformed with the pNZ273 empty vector were used as controls.

### DISCUSSION

Whereas the regulation of the oxidative stress response in *E. coli* or *B. subtilis* is well known, little information is available for non-sporing-forming gram-positive bacteria like *E. faecalis*. OxyR was first defined in *E. coli* and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium as an activator that conferred increased resistance to *H₂O₂* and led to elevated expression of *H₂O₂*-inducible proteins, such as hydroperoxidase I and alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (7). In *B. subtilis*, the major regulator of the inducible peroxide stress response genes was designated PerR (5, 6). The PerR regulon includes proteins such as alkyl hydroperoxide reductase or catalase (19). Thus, the main challenge of this work was to identify in *E. faecalis* transcriptional regulators specifically involved in such responses. An in silico analysis was performed to obtain, among the approximately 200 proteins annotated as potential regulators in *E. faecalis*, one or more putative candidates likely to be implicated in the oxidative stress response. We then constructed mutants affected in genes coding for five transcriptional regulators of the LysR family of *E. faecalis* (41). These proteins showed weak homology to OxyR from *E. coli*. One of the mutants (Ef2958, with the protein most homologous to OxyR) was much more sensitive to the *H₂O₂* treatment than the wild-type strain, and the corresponding gene was designated hypR. The fact that the presence of several paralogs was not able to compensate for the hypR mutation phenotype proved the crucial role of this gene in the *H₂O₂* oxidative stress response of *E. faecalis*. It should be noted that one characteristic of *E. faecalis* is the ability to generate superoxide and *H₂O₂*. For the OG1RF strain cultivated in an oxygenated buffer, the amount produced was 17.2 nmol of *O₂*-/min/10 CFU. Under these conditions, the cells also produced 23 nmol of *H₂O₂*/min/CFU that was generated by spontaneous disproportionation of *O₂* (20). These values are very low compared to the 20 mM *H₂O₂* used in our experiments carried out without agitation.

Our study showed that HypR is a new transcriptional regulator involved in oxidative stress. Indeed, in spite of weak homology, HypR appeared to be the polypeptide most closely related to OxyR from *E. coli*. Whereas OxyR contains two cysteines (at positions 199 and 208) necessary to sense oxidative stress and to convert it to the oxidative active form, no cysteine is present in the amino acid sequence of HypR (35, 45). It is also noteworthy that the HypR sequence did not exhibit significant homology with other previously characterized transcriptional regulators. Moreover, previous investigations revealed that OxyR from *E. coli* bound in a specific manner the promoter region of the NADH peroxidase gene (*ahpCF*) from *E. faecalis* (30), which is not the case with HypR. Furthermore, a mutation in the HypR locus did not significantly modify the *ahpCF* expression in our stress conditions. Taken together, our results revealed that HypR and OxyR are not functionally related, and these observations argue that HypR is a new oxidative stress response regulator.

The transcriptional and DNA-protein binding test approaches allowed us to define the first members of the HypR regulon. This regulon contained hypR itself and the *ahpCF* operon, encoding alkyl hydroperoxide reductase. The latter operon was down regulated in the HypR mutant background only under oxidative stress conditions. The *ahpCF* operon is under OxyR control in gram-negative bacteria and is regulated
by PerR in *B. subtilis*. The alkyl hydroperoxide reductase was originally identified as an enzymatic activity responsible for the increased resistance of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium oxyR mutant cells to various alkyl hydroperoxides (1, 22). Later, it was shown that this enzyme is the primary scavenger of endogenous hydrogen peroxide in *E. coli* (33). Thus, it may be a good candidate to explain the higher sensitivity of the *E. faecalis* hypR mutant to H$_2$O$_2$ stress. However, inactivation of the *ahpC* gene in *B. subtilis* leads to development of expression from peroxide regulon promoters, and, as a result, the mutant displayed increased resistance to H$_2$O$_2$ (4). Thus, it will be interesting to see what role the *ahpCF* operon plays in the hydrogen peroxide stress response in *E. faecalis*.

As expected, the protein-DNA binding tests allowed us to confirm that HypR seems to regulate its own expression. These experiments also showed that HypR may directly control the expression of the alkyl hydroperoxide reductase. Interestingly, it has been reported that putative Per or Fur boxes are found upstream from the *ahpCF* operon of *E. faecalis* (39), suggesting that there is complex regulation of this operon. However, from our Northern blots, it seems that the *ahpCF* operon is not inducible by hydrogen peroxide stress, as is the case in the PerR-regulated homologous structure of *B. subtilis* (2).

HypR appears to be the first specific transcriptional regulator involved in the oxidative stress response of *E. faecalis*, and further study is needed to address whether the *hypR* gene in *E. faecalis* also regulates other virulence factors. This response, which relies upon the production of enzymes that inactivate reactive oxygen species generated by the oxidative burst, may explain the ability of *E. faecalis* to survive inside human neutrophils and macrophages. It has been hypothesized that survival and sequestration within macrophages may contribute to the pathogenesis of *E. faecalis* infections (23).

Recently, the resistance to killing by neutrophils and macrophages was investigated in *E. faecalis* strains bearing aggregation substance (AS), one of the few virulence factors postulated for *E. faecalis* (28, 36). These studies demonstrated that the AS promotes the intracellular survival of *E. faecalis* within phagocytic cells, suggesting that the AS may be a virulence factor used by some strains of *E. faecalis*. In addition, interesting work Murray’s group found that another virulence factor, the enterococcal polysaccharide antigen, confers some protection against human phagocytic killing (37). In contrast, studies by Gentry-Weeks et al. (13) indicated that other virulence factors, such as cytolisin or gelatinase, had no effect on

**FIG. 5.** Electrophoretic mobility shift assay of HypR binding to the promoter region of *hypR* and *ahpCF*. (A) Effect of HypR concentration. Several half-dilutions of purified HypR were incubated with a $^{32}$P-labeled *hypR* promoter region obtained with the Phyp5 and Phyp3 primers (Table 1). Lanes 1 to 10 contained 4 µg, 2 µg, 1 µg, 0.5 µg, 0.25 µg, 0.125 µg, 62.5 ng, 32 ng, 16 ng, and 8 ng of HypR, respectively. (B and C) Specificity of the HypR-DNA interaction. Purified HypR was incubated with a $^{32}$P-labeled *hypR* (B) or *ahpCF* (C) promoter region obtained with the Pahp5 and Pahp3 primers (Table 1). DNA fragments were incubated without protein (lane 1), with HypR (lane 2), with an unlabeled competitor (lane 3), and with a nonspecific DNA fragment (lane 4).

**FIG. 6.** Time course of intracellular survival of the *E. faecalis* and *E. coli* DH5α strains within murine peritoneal macrophages. The data are the means ± standard deviations for the number of viable intracellular bacteria per 10$^5$ macrophages in three independent experiments with three wells. ■, *E. faecalis* JH2-2; ▲, hypR mutant; ○, *E. coli* DH5α.
intracellular survival in mouse peritoneal macrophages. Quantification of viable, intracellular bacteria in infected macrophages revealed that the viability rates for the E. faecalis vector pNZ273; hypR mutant carrying the empty vector pNZ273; hypR mutant carrying plasmid pNVhyp.
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