Activation of Toll-Like Receptor 9 by DNA from Different Bacterial Species
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Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR-9) recognizes unmethylated CpG dinucleotides which are abundant in prokaryotic DNA and yet are rare in eukaryotic DNA. Little is known about the significance of TLR-9 in terms of recognition of different bacterial DNA species. In this study HEK293 cells stably transfected with human TLR-9 were used to analyze the immunostimulatory properties of 15 bacterial DNA preparations. In addition, genome data were analyzed for the frequency of unmethylated cytosine-guanosine ([CG]) dinucleotides. We observed that DNA samples of different bacteria showed considerable differences in their potential to stimulate TLR-9. This correlated with the frequency of [CG] dinucleotides. Based upon data from our experiments the estimate of immunostimulatory bacterial DNA concentrations translated to as high as 10^9 bacteria/ml. Application of the transfection reagent DOTAP resulted in a more efficient delivery of DNA into the cell, and this went along with increased TLR-9 activation. The data indicate that bacterial DNA preparations from different species differ in their capacity to activate TLR-9, which is dependent on the individual [CG] content. Moreover, increased intracellular delivery results in a marked enhancement of immunostimulation.

Innate immunity recognizes conserved microbial structures that are referred to as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (15). This is achieved by use of pattern recognition receptors, of which Toll-like receptors have been identified to play a crucial role. Prototypical substances constituting microbial patterns are components of the prokaryotic cell wall, e.g., lipopolysaccharide or lipoteichoic acid. However, it has been recognized early that also DNA possesses immunostimulatory potential when Tokunaga et al. identified DNA-containing fractions of mycobacteria to mediate immune modulation (23, 25). Later it was shown that this is due to the relative abundance of unmethylated cytosine-guanosine ([CG]) dinucleotides (11). Indeed, vertebrate DNA shows a suppression of [CG] dinucleotide frequency and, moreover, is characterized by an increased rate of C-5 methylation of cytosine residues (24). TLR-9 has been identified as the DNA-recognizing receptor (7).

It was noted early that stimulation by bacterial DNA could be mimicked by the use of [CG]-containing synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides (CpG-ODNs) (11). Since CpG-ODNs turned out to possess a considerable potential for immune modulation and since these compounds were easy to synthesize, subsequent work in the TLR-9 field has mainly focused on the use synthetic ODNs. It is intriguing that, despite the fact that basic principles in bacterial DNA/TLR-9 recognition have been known for years, information concerning the meaning of TLR-9 for infections or for the recognition of bacteria is still extremely limited.

Differences in the C/G composition (implying differences in [CG] content) are typical for different genera of bacteria. Since TLR-9 recognizes [CG]-containing DNA motifs, it can be speculated that DNA from different bacteria should vary in the TLR-9 activating capacity. In one study, DNA from four bacterial species had been analyzed, with Escherichia coli showing the highest activation and [CG] content (16). However, only a limited number of bacterial species have been tested, and the readout system was prone to activation by contaminants. Other studies analyzing side effects in plasmid vaccination showed that immunostimulation by plasmid DNA vectors correlated with [CG] content and that methylation (9) or elimination (27) of these motifs decreased immunostimulation. The data can be taken to hypothesize that the frequency of [CG] might be the basis for differences in immunostimulation by bacterial DNA. With the identification of more and more whole genomes of bacteria, it has become feasible to calculate for the real [CG] dinucleotide content in bacterial DNA.

Another question regarding the stimulation of TLR-9 has been whether sufficient concentrations of bacterial DNA can be reached to activate the receptor during infections. It is known that TLR-9 becomes activated within a lysosomal compartment and that DNA has to be taken up previously (1, 5, 13). As has been suggested for CpG-ODN/TLR-9 and RNA/TLR-7/-8, increasing the delivery efficiency seems to increase immunostimulation (6, 26). Whether this holds true for bacterial DNA from different species is not known.

In the present study we sought to analyze the effects of DNA preparations of 15 different bacteria in a TLR-9 specific reporter system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents. Phosphorothioate-modified CpG-ODN 2006 (TCGTCGTTTGTGTGTTTTG) and control ODN 2006GC (TGCTGCTTTGTGCTTTTG).
TGCTT), as well as phosphodiester CpG-ODN 1668 (TCCATGACGTTCTGATG) were custom synthesized by TIB Molbiol (Berlin, Germany). Highly purified lipopolysaccharide was prepared from Salmonella enterica serovar Minnesota (HL63, smooth form) and was a gift from U. Seydel, Bochum, Germany. Transfection reagent η-[(1,2,3-dioleoyl)l]-N,N,N-trimethylammonium propan methylsulfate (DOTAP) was from ROTH (Karlsruhe, Germany).

1. **Cells and culture conditions.** RAW 264.7 cells, a murine macrophage cell line, were kindly provided by R. Schumann, Berlin, Germany. HEK293 cells were obtained from S. Bauer, Munich, Germany. Cells were cultured in Clicks/RPMI 1640 supplemented with either 5% fetal calf serum (FCS; for RAW264.7) or 10% FCS (HEK293 cells), 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol, and antibiotics (penicillin G and streptomycin). HEK-TLR9 cells were obtained by transfecting HEK293 cells with a human TLR-9-green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression plasmid (obtained from T. Espevik, Trondheim, Norway), and selection for stable expression in 0.8 mg of G418/ml. Cells were cloned and analyzed for CpG-DNA responsiveness.

**Bacteria.** Bacteria were obtained from an in-house library. The following strains were used: Campylobacter jejuni (patient isolate), Corynebacterium efficiens (DSM 44549), Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 51292), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 700603), Legionella pneumophila (wild-type corby strain, K Heuner, Wuerzburg, Germany), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (ATCC 27294), Neisseria meningitidis (patient isolate), Propionibacterium acnes (ATCC 6919), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853), Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi (MCCM 01631), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29213), Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 12228), Streptococcus pneumoniae (patient isolate), and Yersinia enterocolitica (MCCM 01839).

**DNA preparation.** Bacteria were grown in 30 ml of Luria-Bertani medium, in brain heart infusion, or on solid Schaedler plates and subsequently pelleted. Bacterial DNA was prepared suspending the bacteria in 20 mM Tris-HCl–0.2 mM EDTA (pH 8.0). Lysozyme (20 mg/ml) was added for 30 min at 37°C. Next, the samples were incubated in 100 mM NaCl–10 mM Tris-HCl–25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) with proteinase K (0.2 mg/ml) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (0.5%) at 50°C overnight. DNA was purified from the lysate by repeated extraction with phenol-chloroform-isooamyl alcohol, precipitated with sodium acetate, and ethanol and then dissolved and stored at −20°C in aliquots. DNA content and purity were measured spectrophotometrically.

**Western blotting.** A total of 5 × 10^4 cells were lysed for 30 min on ice in 250 μl of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4]; 1% Igepal; 0.25% sodium deoxycholate; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin [1 μg/ml each]; 1 mM Na3VO4; 1 mM NaF). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 4°C for 10 min at 11,000 × g. Equal amounts of lysates were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electrotransferred to polyvinylidine difluoride membranes. Membranes were stained with anti-GFP antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence system (Amersham, Freiburg, Germany).

**Flow cytometry.** TLR-9-GFP-transfected cells were analyzed for GFP expression on a FACS flow cytometer (Partec, Muenster, Germany).

**Cell stimulation and cytokine measurement.** A total of 2 × 10^5 HEK-TLR9 or 1.5 × 10^5 RAW264.7 cells were stimulated in 96-well plates in duplicates overnight. Cell-free supernatants were analyzed for cytokine secretion by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; OptEIA; BD Pharmingen, Heidelberg, Germany).

**Quantitative PCR.** A total of 5 × 10^4 HEK-TLR9 cells were incubated with 10 μg of bacterial DNA/ml for 4 h. Cells were washed intensely four times and genomic DNA (host and bacteria) was prepared with Qiamp DNA kit (QIA-GEN, Hilden, Germany). Quantitative PCR for GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and eubacterial 16S rRNA was performed on three dilutions of the DNA samples as described previously (17). 16S rRNA amplicons were normalized to GAPDH.

**Bioinformatics.** Genome data were analyzed with a software tool (GScan) developed by T. Maille (Marburg, Germany) for this purpose. Sequence data were examined for the frequency of various nucleotide motifs by a string search.

### RESULTS

**Generation of a reporter system specific for CpG-DNA.** In order to evaluate differences in the immunostimulatory capacity of bacterial DNA samples, we decided to transfect CpG-DNA-unresponsive HEK293 cells with an expression plasmid for human TLR-9 fused to GFP. Initial experiments with transfections failed to give satisfactory results. Therefore, we generated six stably transfected cell clones of which one was used for further experiments. HEK-TLR9 cells expressed the transgene efficiently at the mRNA level (data not shown), as well as at the protein level as determined by flow cytometry (Fig. 1a). Moreover, the fusion protein had the expected size of ca. 140 kDa (Fig. 1a, inset). Flow cytometry using a TLR-9 specific antibody detected the same cells marked by GFP expression (data not shown). Functionally, HEK-TLR9 cells were sensitive to CpG-DNA stimulation using the synthetic ODN #2006 but did not respond to the control ODN #2006GC or to lipopolysaccharide (Fig. 1b). Also, ligands for TLR-1, -2, -3, and -6 did not activate the cells (data not shown). We observed that the experiments showed marked variance...
concerning quantitative interleukin-8 (IL-8) production between different experiments over time but showed no qualitative differences. To account for this, we used plateau concentrations of CpG-ODN #2006 as an internal standard in each experiment, which was set to 100% stimulation.

**Bacterial DNA activates TLR-9 in a concentration-dependent manner.** To determine the stimulatory range for bacterial DNA, HEK-TLR9 cells were incubated with DNA preparations of different bacterial species. DNA from *E. coli* induced significant release of IL-8 starting from 10 μg/ml and increasing up to 100 μg/ml (Fig. 2a). DNA from *E. faecalis* was effective at concentrations above 30 μg/ml but produced throughout less IL-8 than observed with DNA from *E. coli.*
Although DNA was purified carefully, LPS contamination could not be excluded completely. To exclude that LPS, although itself nonactive (Fig. 1c), might exert costimulatory effects, we stimulated HEK-TLR9 cells with CpG-ODN #2006 (Fig. 2b) or DNA from *E. faecalis* and *E. coli*, respectively. Still, DNA from *E. faecalis* indeed were more active than for *E. coli*, but differences were not significant. Moreover, analyzing uptake of DNA from all bacteria tested confirmed that only minor differences were not significant. Qualitatively, the same results were obtained when $f_{CG}$ (indicative of the activating core motif for human cells [TCG]) or $f_{PuPuCGPyPy}$ (exact murine CpG motif) was plotted against IL-8 secretion (data not shown, $R^2 = 0.78$ and 0.75).

### Intracellular delivery of bacterial DNA increases stimulation of TLR-9.

CpG-DNA has to be taken up in cells in order to stimulate intracellularly expressed TLR-9. We tested whether delivery of bacterial DNA by the transfection reagent DOTAP affects stimulation of TLR-9. Using eubacterial 16S rRNA PCR to measure uptake of bacterial DNA, we observed first that DNA samples from *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* indeed were taken up into HEK-TLR9 cells (Fig. 4a). Uptake of *E. faecalis* DNA seemed to be slightly more efficient than for *E. coli*, but differences were not significant. Moreover, analyzing uptake of DNA from all bacteria tested confirmed that only minor differences occurred which could not explain the differences in immunostimulation (data not shown). Using DOTAP packaged DNA there was a great increase in the uptake of bacterial DNA (Fig. 4b).

### Bacterial species differ in their potential to stimulate TLR-9 via DNA.

To account for differences in DNA preparation, as well as interassay variance, we next purified DNA from five independent cultures of 15 different bacterial species. Concentration and purity of the DNA samples was determined spectroscopically. The optical density ratio $OD_{260/280}$ was in the range of 1.76 to 2.07. Genomic DNA was further analyzed quantitatively and qualitatively by gel electrophoresis, which showed only minor fragmentation due to the purification process (data not shown). The preparations were subsequently tested on HEK-TLR9 cells for IL-8 induction (Fig. 3a). We observed marked differences in the immunostimulation by DNA samples of the different species. Although *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Campylobacter jejuni* showed marked differences in terms of immunostimulation, we next tested whether the absolute frequency of [CG] dinucleotides might correlate with the differences in TLR-9 activation (Fig. 3b). We observed that an increase in $f_{CG}$ went along with increased immunostimulation. *M. tuberculosis* and *P. aeruginosa*, which showed the best IL-8 inducing activity had also the highest frequency of [CG], while the low activating species were marked by a low $f_{CG}$. Based upon the experimental data the nature of the correlation could not be deduced exactly (coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.81$). Qualitatively, the same results were obtained when $f_{TCG}$ (indicative of the activating core motif for human cells [TCG]) or $f_{PuPuCGPyPy}$ (exact murine CpG motif) was plotted against IL-8 secretion (data not shown, $R^2 = 0.78$ and 0.75).

### [CG] dinucleotide content of bacterial DNA correlates with TLR-9 activity.

Bacteria differ in their frequency of cytosine and guanosine ($f_{C+G}$): thus, differences in the frequency of [CG] dinucleotides ($f_{CG}$) are also probable. We analyzed published whole-genome data of the tested bacteria for their nucleotide composition (Table 1). First, we observed that most often $f_{CG}$ correlated with $f_{C+G}$, indicating that the dinucleotide [CG] showed a frequency as expected from the individual C+G content (this is indicated by a relative frequency of $\approx 1.0$). However, there was an overrepresentation (pCG $> 1.23$) of [CG] in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and an underrepresentation (pCG $< 0.78$) in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Campylobacter jejuni*. Also, the murine genome showed a severe underrepresentation of [CG]. Differences in $f_{CG}$ in general went along with differences in the frequency of [TCG], as well as the optimal murine CpG motive [Pu-Pu-C-G-Py-Py].

As we compared equal concentrations of the DNA samples in terms of immunostimulation, we next tested whether the absolute frequency of [CG] dinucleotides might correlate with the differences in TLR-9 activation (Fig. 3b). We observed that an increase in $f_{CG}$ went along with increased immunostimulation. *M. tuberculosis* and *P. aeruginosa*, which showed the best IL-8 inducing activity had also the highest frequency of [CG], while the low activating species were marked by a low $f_{CG}$.

### Tabular Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome (analyzed bp [Mb])</th>
<th>$f_{C+G}$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_{CG}$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_{TCG}$ (%)</th>
<th>$f_{PuPuCGPyPy}$ (%)</th>
<th>$p_{CG}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em> (3.5)</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em> (4.4)</td>
<td>65.61</td>
<td>12.74</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corynebacterium efficiens</em> (3.1)</td>
<td>63.14</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Propionibacterium acnes</em> (2.6)</td>
<td>60.01</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em> (4.9)</td>
<td>57.48</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em> (4.9)</td>
<td>52.22</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neisseria meningitidis</em> (2.3)</td>
<td>51.53</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> (5.5)</td>
<td>50.58</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia enterocolitica</em> (4.6)</td>
<td>47.27</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mus musculus</em> (3.4)</td>
<td>42.49</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus pneumoniae</em> (2.2)</td>
<td>39.70</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Legionella pneumophila</em> (3.4)</td>
<td>38.24</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus faecalis</em> (3.2)</td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em> (2.8)</td>
<td>32.84</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em> (2.5)</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Campylobacter jejuni</em> (1.6)</td>
<td>30.55</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Absolute frequency $f_x$ = number of base $x$/number of total nucleotides.

$^b$ Relative frequency $p_{CG} = f_{CG}/f_{C+G}$. 

$v^2$ 104

$^b$ Relative frequency $p_{CG} = f_{CG}/f_{C+G}$. 

$\text{VOL. 74, 2006 BACTERIAL-DNA-MEDIATED ACTIVATION OF TLR-9 943}$

### References

- [Murine CpG Motif](http://iai.asm.org/)
- [DNA and LPS Interaction](http://iai.asm.org/)
- [Bacterial DNA and Immune Response](http://iai.asm.org/)
- [TLR-9 Activation](http://iai.asm.org/)

**Downloaded from http://iai.asm.org on October 15, 2017 by guest**
is in the range of a few femtograms/germ. From this it becomes obvious that bacterial DNA concentrations of 10 to 100 μg/ml translate to 10⁹ to 10¹⁰ bacteria/ml, which are rather high numbers. Since DOTAP increased delivery and immunostimulation of bacterial DNA in HEK-TLR9 cells (Fig. 4a), we examined whether this holds also true for macrophages. Indeed, DOTAP delivery of DNA from the gram-positive bacteria *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* (to avoid influences from residual LPS) increased activation of RAW264.7 macrophages and shifted dose-response curves to lower DNA concentrations (Fig. 4c). DOTAP packaged DNA was effective at concentrations as low as 0.3 to 1 μg/ml, which would be equivalent to 10⁷ to 10⁸ bacteria/ml. At higher concentrations of DOTAP the activity dropped, which was due to the induction of cell death (data not shown). DOTAP also increased the stimulation by synthetic phosphodiester CpG-oligodeoxynucleotides (100 nM CpG-ODN 1668, 1.1 ± 0.3 ng of TNF-α/ml; plus DOTAP, 15.2 ± 2.2 ng/ml, n = 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have found evidence supporting the hypothesis that bacterial species differ in their DNA-dependent immunostimulatory capacity because of different [CG] frequencies.

The present study is based on the use of a TLR-9/DNA specific reporter system that exclusively measured activation by the DNA fraction. This is important since we and others have observed that rather high concentrations of DNA are necessary to stimulate TLR-9 (18, 19), and thus even minute amounts of contaminating TLR ligands might lead to wrong results if cells with a more complete set of TLRs are used. Therefore, we have adopted the well-known HEK-TLR complementation system for our purposes, as already reported by others for CpG-ODNs (2, 22). This system was exclusively responsive to CpG- but not GpC-ODNs. Among possible contaminants LPS is of special concern due to its high immunostimulatory capacity, and substimulatory doses have been shown to synergize powerfully with DNA (4). In our system neither LPS alone nor LPS in the coincubation setting with CpG-ODN or bacterial DNA influenced the experimental readout. Additionally, we analyzed the DNA preparations in HEK293 cells transfected with TLR-2, TLR-4, or NOD2 (data not shown). Samples from gram-negative bacteria showed residual TLR-4 activation indicative of minor LPS contamination, but all samples were inactive for TLR-2 or NOD2 stimulation. Of note, the HEK-TLR9 system did only work with stable transfectants but not with transient transfection. This might be due to an intrinsic stimulation during the transfection process since plasmid DNA has been reported to activate TLR-9 (20).

Upon analyzing TLR-9 activation by DNA from different species, we observed a wide range of stimulatory activity. Despite some variance the results could consistently be reproduced. In line with our results, a study from Neujahr et al. (using a different system and only four bacteria) also observed an improved activity of *E. coli* DNA over *S. aureus* DNA (16). In our study we for the first time were able not only to analyze TLR-9 activation but also to correlate this with genome sequence data. We analyzed genome data for frequencies of

**FIG. 4.** Increased intracellular delivery of bDNA improves stimulation of TLR-9. (a) HEK-TLR9 cells were stimulated with 10 μg of bacterial DNA/ml, which was delivered by the transfection reagent DOTAP as indicated. Cells were analyzed for uptake of bacterial DNA by means of quantitative eubacterial PCR. 16S rRNA gene amplicons were normalized to GAPDH (mean values plus the SD; controls, n = 6 experiments; DOTAP, n = 4). (b) Additionally, IL-8 was measured in the supernatant (mean values plus the SD, n = 3; medium, 15.1 ± 0.9 pg/ml). (c) RAW264.7 macrophages were stimulated with bacterial DNA from *E. faecalis* (triangles) or *S. aureus* (circles) either without (filled symbols) or with (open symbols) DOTAP. Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) was measured by ELISA (means of duplicates of one of two experiments).
Thus, DNA sequences derived from adenoviruses (10) or composed DNA vaccination (9, 27). It has also been suggested that some DNA sequences had in inhibitory sequences within the analyzed DNA samples. Based upon these results the stimulatory potential of DNA is in the range of 1 to 100 μg/ml. One can calculate that this translates to rather high numbers of bacteria. Thus, stimulation of TLR-9 in real infections might be difficult to be achieved, yet synergism with other TLRs has been reported (3, 4, 28). Moreover, recently it was reported that increased delivery of RNA synergism with other TLRs has been reported (6, 26). In over, recently it was reported that increased delivery of RNA.

Based upon these results the stimulatory potential of DNA is in the range of 1 to 100 μg/ml. One can calculate that this translates to rather high numbers of bacteria. Thus, stimulation of TLR-9 in real infections might be difficult to be achieved, yet synergism with other TLRs has been reported (3, 4, 28). Moreover, recently it was reported that increased delivery of RNA synergism with other TLRs has been reported (6, 26). In over, recently it was reported that increased delivery of RNA.
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