Precolonized Human Commensal *Escherichia coli* Strains Serve as a Barrier to *E. coli* O157:H7 Growth in the Streptomycin-Treated Mouse Intestine\(^7\)
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Different *Escherichia coli* strains generally have the same metabolic capacity for growth on sugars in vitro, but they appear to use different sugars in the streptomycin-treated mouse intestine (Fabich et al., Infect. Immun. 76:1143–1152, 2008). Here, mice were precolonized with any of three human commensal strains (*E. coli* MG1655, *E. coli* HS, or *E. coli* Nissle 1917) and 10 days later were fed 10^5 CFU of the same strains. While each precolonized strain nearly eliminated its isogenic strain, confirming that colonization resistance can be modeled in mice, each allowed growth of the other commensal strains to higher numbers, consistent with different commensal *E. coli* strains using different nutrients in the intestine. Mice were also precolonized with any of five commensal *E. coli* strains for 10 days and then were fed 10^6 CFU of *E. coli* EDL933, an O157:H7 pathogen. *E. coli* Nissle 1917 and *E. coli* EFC1 limited growth of *E. coli* EDL933 in the intestine (10^6 to 10^7 CFU/gram of feces), whereas *E. coli* MG1655, *E. coli* HS, and *E. coli* EFC2 allowed growth to higher numbers (10^6 to 10^7 CFU/gram of feces). Importantly, when *E. coli* EDL933 was fed to mice previously co-colonized with three *E. coli* strains (MG1655, HS, and Nissle 1917), it was eliminated from the intestine (<10 CFU/gram of feces). These results confirm that commensal *E. coli* strains can provide a barrier to infection and suggest that it may be possible to construct *E. coli* probiotic strains that prevent growth of pathogenic *E. coli* strains in the intestine.

When a bacterial species indefinitely persists in stable numbers in the intestine of an animal, without repeated introduction of the bacterium to that animal, the animal’s intestine is said to be colonized with that bacterium. The mammalian intestine is colonized with thousands of species (50), collectively known as the indigenous intestinal microbiota. Once established, the intestinal microbiota is quite stable, and most invading microorganisms fail to colonize. This phenomenon, referred to as colonization resistance (60), can be explained in part by a lag phase caused by both short-chain fatty acids and hydrogen sulfide, which are metabolic end products of the metabolism of the indigenous microbiota (22, 31). Thus, if the numbers of an invading bacterium are small, they may be completely eliminated from the intestine before exiting the lag phase. However, even when the numbers of an invading bacterial species are large, the complete intestinal microbiota, in most instances, still prevents its establishment, suggesting that colonization resistance cannot be completely explained by an extended lag phase (21, 23, 60).

An analogy can be drawn between the mammalian intestine and a chemostat (23, 38). Two different microorganisms cannot coexist in a chemostat when competing for a single limiting nutrient; the one that utilizes that nutrient even slightly more efficiently will eventually outcompete the other (18). However, if two microorganisms utilize different growth-limiting nutrients in a chemostat, they can coexist and maintain stable populations (18, 58). Work with continuous-flow cultures in chemostats designed to mimic the intestine (21, 23) led to the theory that being physically attached to the intestinal wall allows a bacterial species to remain in the intestine despite growing at a rate lower than the washout rate from the intestine. Moreover, the theory predicts that two bacterial strains competing for the same limiting nutrient can coexist in the intestine if the metabolically less-efficient one is attached to the intestinal wall (23). In addition, the data obtained from continuous-flow cultures show that if an established bacterium and an invading one are equally fit to compete for the same limiting nutrient, the invading bacterium will be eliminated by the established bacterium if it is attached to the intestinal wall, because large wall populations can reduce the limiting nutrient concentration to the point that an invader will not be able to multiply in the lumen of the intestine at a rate fast enough to resist washout (19, 22). Thus, according to the theory, the mammalian intestine can be thought of as a chemostat in which thousands of species of bacteria are in equilibrium, many being physically attached to the host intestinal wall in large numbers, and all competing for resources from a mixture of limiting nutrients.

In support of the theory, when healthy human volunteers are fed *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from their own feces, those strains do not colonize (1). However, despite colonization resistance, there appears to be a continuous succession of com-
mensal *E. coli* strains in the mammalian intestine. In fact, an average of five different *E. coli* strains can be found at any one time in the feces of individual humans (2). Some strains are present for months to years, while others persist only transiently, i.e., for a few days. It therefore appears that, for commensal *E. coli* strains, while colonization resistance is a powerful mechanism, it is not completely effective. As such, it is therefore possible that pathogenic *E. coli* strains take advantage of incomplete colonization resistance among commensal *E. coli* strains to initiate infection of the human intestine.

Why is colonization resistance incomplete for commensal *E. coli* strains in the human intestine? One possibility is that there are different epithelial cell receptors for different *E. coli* strains, thereby allowing an invading commensal strain to resist washout by first binding to the epithelium and then competing strains, thereby allowing an invading commensal strain to resist different epithelial cell receptors for different *E. coli* strains. How-ever, whether the streptomycin-treated mouse intestine displays incomplete colonization resistance among different *E. coli* strains has not been examined. In the present study, we show that in this regard the mouse intestine mimics the human intestine, i.e., when the streptomycin-treated mouse intestine is precolonized with a commensal strain, it displays colonization resistance against invasion by the same strain but never to high numbers. Moreover, we show that although several different human commensal *E. coli* strains individually display incomplete colonization resistance against *E. coli* EDL933 (an O157:H7 strain) to various degrees, simultaneous precolonization of the streptomycin-treated mouse intestine with three different commensal *E. coli* strains results in a completely effective barrier to subsequent *E. coli* EDL933 invasion.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains.** Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The original *E. coli* strain K-12 was obtained from a stool sample from a convalescing diphtheria patient in Palo Alto, CA, in 1922 (4). The sequenced *E. coli* MG1655 strain (CGSC 7740) was derived from the original K-12 strain, having only been cured of the temperate bacteriophage lambda and the F plasmid by means of UV light and acridine orange (4). It has an IS1 element in the flhDC promoter (6). The *E. coli* MG1655 strain used in the present investigation is the sequenced MG1655 strain, but lacking the IS1 element in the flhDC promoter (Table 1).

---

**TABLE 1. Bacterial strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>E. coli</em> strain</th>
<th>Genotype/phenotype</th>
<th>Referred to in text as:</th>
<th>Source/reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MG1655 (−IS1) Strr</td>
<td>No IS1 element in the flhDC promoter; resistant to streptomycin</td>
<td>MG1655 and MG1655</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG1655 (−IS1) Strr Na1r</td>
<td>Spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutant of MG1655 (−IS1) Strr</td>
<td>MG1655 and MG1655</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Human commensal strain</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>James Nataro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Strr</td>
<td>Spontaneous streptomycin-resistant mutant of HS</td>
<td>HS and HS Strr</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Strr Na1r</td>
<td>Spontaneous streptomycin-resistant mutant of HS Strr Na1r</td>
<td>HS and HS Strr Na1r</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nissle 1917 Strr</td>
<td>Spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutant of Nissle 1917</td>
<td>Nissle 1917</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nissle 1917 Strr Na1r</td>
<td>Spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutant of Nissle 1917 Strr</td>
<td>Nissle 1917 Strr Na1r</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC1</td>
<td>Human commensal strain</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Michael Donnenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC1 Strr</td>
<td>Spontaneous streptomycin-resistant mutant of EFC1</td>
<td>EFC1 and EFC1 Strr</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC2</td>
<td>Human commensal strain</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Michael Donnenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC2 Strr</td>
<td>Spontaneous streptomycin-resistant mutant of EFC2</td>
<td>EFC2 and EFC2 Strr</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-18 Strr Na1r</td>
<td>Spontaneous nalidixic acid-resistant mutant of F-18</td>
<td>F-18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EDL933** uses three sugars not used by *E. coli* MG1655 (D-galactose, D-mannose, and D-ribose), whereas *E. coli* MG1655 uses two sugars not used by *E. coli* EDL933 (sialic acid and D-glucuronic acid) (17).  }

## Bacterial strains

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The original *E. coli* strain K-12 was obtained from a stool sample from a convalescing diphtheria patient in Palo Alto, CA, in 1922 (4). The sequenced *E. coli* MG1655 strain (CGSC 7740) was derived from the original K-12 strain, having only been cured of the temperate bacteriophage lambda and the F plasmid by means of UV light and acridine orange (4). It has an IS1 element in the flhDC promoter (6). The *E. coli* MG1655 strain used in the present investigation is the sequenced MG1655 strain, but lacking the IS1 element in the flhDC promoter (Table 1).
(26). E. coli HS is a human commensal strain isolated from a laboratory scientist at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (39). E. coli Nissle 1917 is a human commensal strain that has been used as a probiotic agent since the early 1920s (55), and E. coli EDL933 is an O157:H7 strain isolated from an outbreak caused by contaminated beef in 1982 (55). E. coli EFC1 and E. coli EFC2 were each isolated from the feces of a healthy human (45).

Media and growth conditions. LB broth Lennox (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI), LB agar Lennox (Difco), and MacConkey agar (Difco) were prepared according to the package instructions. SOC medium was prepared as described by Datsenko and Wanner (15). For colonization experiments, E. coli strains were grown overnight in LB broth Lennox from an inoculum of about 10^8 CFU/ml to about 10^9 CFU/ml. Cultures (10 ml) were incubated at 37°C with shaking in 125-ml tissue culture bottles. For testing the growth of strains in M9 minimal medium (43) containing lactose as the sole carbon source and energy source, overnight cultures grown in LB broth Lennox were washed twice in M9 minimal medium (no carbon source), 100 μl of the washed cultures was transferred to 10 ml of M9 minimal medium containing lactose (0.4%, wt/wt) (Bacto-Lactose [Difco]), and cultures were incubated at 37°C with shaking overnight in 125-ml tissue culture bottles. Growth was monitored spectrophotometrically (A_600) using a Pharmacia Biotech Ultraspec 2000 UV/visible spectrophotometer.

Construction and characterization of E. coli Nissle 1917 Str^{-}Δ lacZ. E. coli Nissle 1917 Str^{-}Δ lacZ was constructed by annealing exchange mutagenesis using a chloramphenicol cassette, as described by Datsenko and Wanner (15). Primers used to construct the mutants were as follows: forward, 5′-GTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATCCTCCTAGT-3′. The construct was verified by PCR and sequencing. As expected, the E. coli Nissle 1917 Str^{-}Δ lacZ mutant failed to grow in M9 minimal medium containing lactose (0.4%, wt/wt) as the sole carbon source. The 2,700-bp deletion in the lacZ gene begins 76 bp downstream of the lacZ start codon and ends 341 base pairs upstream of the TAP stop codon. The primers used for confirming the size of the deletion by sequencing were as follows: forward, 5′-ATGTTCGAGACAGTGCTTGGC-3′ (reverse, 5′-CCGATATCCGAGATGTTGGA-3′). For sequencing, PCR products were purified with a QIAGEN Qiaquick PCR Purification kit, following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR products were submitted to the Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center at the University of Rhode Island. After completion of the cycle sequencing, samples were purified with Agencourt's CleanSEQ SPRI reagent and separated on an Applied Biosystems 3130xl genetic analyzer (50-cm capillary array with POP7 polymer).

Mouse colonization experiments. Streptomycin-treated mice have been used since 1954 to overcome the colonization resistance encountered in conventional animals (8). In large part, colonization resistance is overcome in streptomycin-treated mice by the loss of facultative anaerobes from the microbiota and by the observed decrease in the concentrations of short-chain fatty acids and hydrogen sulfide. The streptomycin-treated CD-1 strain is used here to test for competition in the intestine between streptomycin-resistant, wild-type E. coli strains. Since the numbers of a strain of E. coli in mouse feces are a reflection of their numbers in the mouse large intestine (14, 36), fecal counts were used to judge the relative colonizing abilities of various E. coli strains. All the strains used in this study are spontaneous streptomycin-resistant mutants, resistant to greater than 2 mg/ml of streptomycin sulfate. E. coli MG1655 Str^{-}, E. coli HS Str^{-}, and E. coli EDL933 Str^{-} all contain the same point mutation in rpsL previously reported for high-level streptomycin resistance in E. coli (24), in which amino acid 44 has been changed from lysine (5′-AAA-3′) to threonine (5′-ACA-3′) (M. P. Leatham, unpublished data), thereby eliminating the possibility that differences observed in colonizing abilities among these strains could be due to mutations in different genes that confer streptomycin resistance. E. coli Nissle 1917 Str^{-} also has a point mutation in rpsL in amino acid 44, resulting in a change from lysine (5′-AAA-3′) to arginine (5′-AGA-3′) (Leatham, unpublished). In addition to being streptomycin resistant, some of the wild-type E. coli strains used in the colonization experiments are resistant to either chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, or rifampin, genetic markers that have no effect on the colonization abilities of the strains used in these studies (9, 44, 46).

Mice are given streptomycin sulfate in their drinking water (5 g/liter) over the entire course of these experiments, which selectively removes facultative anaerobic E. coli, enterococci, streptococci, lactobacilli, and anaerobic lactobacilli and bifidobacteria (32). Nevertheless, the overall populations of anaerobes, including Bacteroides and Eubacterium, in the cecal contents following streptomycin treatment are unchanged (32). Therefore, the streptomycin-treated mouse allows colonization by experimentally introduced E. coli strains and competition with large numbers of strict anaerobes, and thus it is our model of choice for studying competition among E. coli strains in the intestine (14, 36).

The specifics of the method used to compare the large intestine colonizing abilities of E. coli strains in mice have been described previously (41, 56, 57, 62). Briefly, three male CD-1 mice (5 to 8 weeks old) were given drinking water containing streptomycin sulfate (5 g/liter) for 24 h to eliminate resident facultative bacteria (42). Following 18 h of starvation for food and water, the mice were fed 1 ml of 20% (wt/vol) sucrose containing 10^6 CFU of LB broth Lennox-grown E. coli strains, as described in Results. After ingesting the bacterial suspension, both the food (Teklad mouse and rat diet; Harlan, Madison, WI) and streptomycin-water were returned to the mice, and 1 g of feces was collected after 5 h, 24 h, and on odd-numbered days at the indicated times. Mice were housed individually in cages without bedding and were placed in clean cages at 24-h intervals. Fecal samples (one gram) were therefore no older than 24 h. Each fecal sample was homogenized in 10 ml of 1% Bacto-Tryptone (Difco), diluted in the same medium, and plated on MacConkey agar plates with appropriate antibiotics. If appropriate, 1 ml of a fecal homogenate (sampled after the feces had settled) was centrifuged at 12,000 × g, resuspended in 100 μl of 1% Bacto-Tryptone, and plated on a MacConkey agar plate with appropriate antibiotics. This procedure increases the sensitivity of the assay from 10^6 CFU/gram of feces to 10^7 CFU per gram of feces. To distinguish the various E. coli strains in feces, dilutions were plated on lactose MacConkey agar containing streptomycin sulfate (100 μg/ml); streptomycin sulfate (100 μg/ml) and nalidixic acid (50 μg/ml); streptomycin sulfate (100 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (30 μg/ml); or streptomycin sulfate (100 μg/ml) and rifampin (50 μg/ml). Streptomycin sulfate, chloramphenicol, and nalidixic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Rifampin was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). All plates were incubated for 18 to 24 h at 37°C prior to counting. When necessary, i.e., to distinguish strains, 100 colonies from plates containing streptomycin were toothpicked onto MacConkey agar plates containing streptomycin and nalidixic acid or onto MacConkey agar plates containing streptomycin and chloramphenicol. Each colonization experiment was replicated at least twice, with essentially identical results. Pooled data from at least two independent experiments (a total of six mice) are presented in the figures.

Isolation and enumeration of E. coli strains from mouse intestinal mucus. E. coli HS, E. coli MG1655, and E. coli Nissle 1917 Δ lacZ were each fed to sets of three mice. On day 22 postfeeding, the mice were sacrificed, and the ileum, the rest of the small intestine, the cecum, and the colon were removed from each mouse. Each section of the intestine was washed extensively with HEPES-Hanks buffer (pH 7.2), and the mucus from each section of the intestine was scraped into 5 ml of HEPES-Hanks buffer (pH 7.2), as described previously (10). Each sample was homogenized by vortexing and then plated on MacConkey agar with appropriate antibiotics. Plates were incubated for 18 to 24 h at 37°C prior to counting. To distinguish strains, 100 colonies were toothpicked as described above. The number of CFU per intestinal section for each strain was calculated from the CFU per milliliter by multiplying by the total volume (in milliliters) of each mucus sample.

Colicin and microcin assays. Colicin and microcin activity was assayed as described by Patzer et al. (49). Briefly, bacteria to be tested for colicin or microcin activity were streaked on nutrient broth dipyridyl plates (nutrient broth [Difco], 8 g/liter; NaCl, 5 g/liter; Bacto agar [Difco], 15 g/liter; 2.2' dipyridyl [Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO], 0.2 mM) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Indocator strains were grown overnight in LB broth Lennox at 37°C with shaking in 125-ml tissue culture bottles. For colicin or microcin testing, 10^5 CFU or 10^6 CFU of an indicator strain was added to 3 ml of nutrient broth soft agar (nutrient broth, 8 g/liter; NaCl, 5 g/liter; Bacto agar, 15 g/liter), which was then poured onto a 20-ml nutrient broth dipyridyl plate. After the nutrient broth soft agar solidified, strains to be tested for colicin or microcin activity on the indicator strain were toothpicked onto the plate. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C, and zones of growth inhibition were measured.

RESULTS

Mice precolonized with a human commensal E. coli strain are resistant to subsequent intestinal colonization by the same strain. When healthy human volunteers are fed E. coli strains isolated from their own feces, those strains do not colonize (1). This is an example of colonization resistance (2). If colonization resistance occurs in the streptomycin-treated mouse for individual E. coli strains, it would be expected that if mice were precolonized with a human commensal E. coli strain for 10
days and were then fed low numbers of the same strain, the strain fed at day 10 would have a difficult time colonizing the mouse intestine. To test this hypothesis, mice were precolonized for 10 days with any of three human commensal strains: *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup>, *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup>, or *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup>. On day 10, the mice precolonized with *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> were fed 10<sup>6</sup> CFU of *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup>, the mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> were fed 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Rif<sup>f</sup>, and the mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> were fed 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup>. Precolonized *E. coli* MG1655 nearly eliminated the *E. coli* MG1655 that was fed to the mice on day 10 (Fig. 1A), precolonized *E. coli* HS nearly eliminated the *E. coli* HS that was fed to the mice on day 10 (Fig. 1B), and precolonized *E. coli* Nissle 1917 nearly eliminated the *E. coli* Nissle 1917 that was fed to the mice on day 10 (Fig. 1C). Thus, the streptomycin-treated mouse model of intestinal colonization also exhibits colonization resistance, as observed in humans (1). When a mouse is fed an *E. coli* strain that is already residing in its intestine, the new strain has great difficulty in colonizing.

**Mice precolonized with a human commensal *E. coli* strain cannot prevent subsequent intestinal colonization by a different human commensal *E. coli* strain.** It was not surprising that mice precolonized with a human commensal *E. coli* strain were resistant to colonization by the same strain fed to the mice 10 days later, since both the precolonized strain and the strain fed at day 10 are isogenic and presumably utilize all nutrients equally well, and the precolonized strain had the advantage of 10 days to adapt physiologically and genetically (26, 27, 37) to the intestinal environment. However, evidence is mounting that different human *E. coli* strains are different with respect to nutrient utilization in the mouse intestine (13, 17). In view of this evidence, it seemed possible that mice precolonized with one human commensal *E. coli* strain might allow subsequent intestinal colonization by a different human commensal *E. coli* strain. To this end, mice precolonized with *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> were fed 10<sup>6</sup> CFU of *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup>, mice precolonized with *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> were fed 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Rif<sup>f</sup>, mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> were fed 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of either *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> or *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Rif<sup>f</sup>, and mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Rif<sup>f</sup> were fed 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of either *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> or *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup>. Indeed, *E. coli* HS and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 were able to grow in the intestine from low numbers to about the level of precolonized *E. coli* MG1655 (Fig. 2A and B). *E. coli* MG1655 and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 were able to grow from low numbers to about the level of *E. coli* HS in the intestines of mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS (Fig. 2C and D), and *E. coli* MG1655 and *E. coli* HS were able to grow from low to relatively high numbers in the intestines of mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917, although they did not reach the level of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 (Fig. 2E and F). Since each of the precolonized commensal *E. coli* strains nearly eliminated its isogenic strain from the mouse intestine (Fig. 1), and since different *E. coli* strains use different nutrients for growth in the mouse intestine (13, 17), these data suggest that each different commensal *E. coli* strain either uses one or more nutrients not used by the other two commensal *E. coli* strains to grow in the mouse intestine or uses one or more nutrients better than each of the other two strains.

![Figure 1](http://iai.asm.org/)

**FIG. 1.** A precolonized *E. coli* strain prevents the same strain from colonizing the mouse intestine. Sets of three mice were fed 10<sup>6</sup> CFU of a human commensal strain and 10 days later were fed 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of the same strain. (A) *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> and, 10 days later, *E. coli* MG1655 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> (▲), (B) *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> (●) and, 10 days later, *E. coli* HS Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> (▲), (C) *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Nal<sup>f</sup> (●) and, 10 days later, 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Str<sup>r</sup> Rif<sup>f</sup> (▲). At the indicated times, fecal samples were homogenized, diluted, and plated as described in Materials and Methods. Data from two independent experiments (six mice) are shown. Bars represent the standard errors of the log<sub>10</sub> means of CFU per gram of feces for six mice.
FIG. 2. *E. coli* human commensal strains can colonize the intestines of mice precolonized with different human *E. coli* commensal strains. (A) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* MG1655 Strr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* HS Strr Nalr (▲). (B) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* MG1655 Strr Nalr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Strr Rifr (▲). (C) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* HS Strr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* MG1655 Strr Nalr (▲). (D) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* HS Strr Nalr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Strr Rifr (▲). (E) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Strr Rifr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* MG1655 Strr Nalr (▲). (F) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Strr Rifr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* HS Strr Nalr (▲). Data were collected and plotted as described in the legend to Fig. 1.
Growth of wild-type *E. coli* EDL933 in mice precolonized with either *E. coli* MG1655, *E. coli* HS, *E. coli* Nissle 1917, *E. coli* EFC1, or *E. coli* EFC2. Since commensal *E. coli* strains are normal members of the human intestinal microbiota, and a healthy human carries an average of five different *E. coli* strains in the intestine (2), it seems reasonable to assume that any human that becomes infected with an enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) strain was colonized with at least one commensal *E. coli* strain prior to becoming infected. EHEC strains, such as *E. coli* EDL933, grow from very low numbers to extremely high numbers in the intestines of humans that develop disease (34). In fact, many people shed high numbers of EHEC (10^6 to 10^8 CFU/gram of feces) for several weeks after the onset of diarrhea (35). In contrast, *E. coli* EDL933 dropped from about 10^5 CFU/gram of feces at 1 day postfeeding to <10 CFU/gram of feces 10 days later, a 10,000-fold decrease to an undetectable level. This difference represents a 50-fold reduction in *E. coli* EDL933 in mice precolonized with *E. coli* MG1655, *E. coli* HS, and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 compared to the level in mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917 alone (compare Fig. 3E and F). Clearly, the three commensal strains were far more effective in protecting against *E. coli* EDL933 growth in the mouse intestine than any of the commensal strains alone.

**Colicin and microcin production.** *E. coli* Nissle 1917 is known to produce microcins M and H47 (49), and many *E. coli* strains produce colicins. Therefore, the ability of the different commensal *E. coli* strains to limit *E. coli* EDL933 colonization to various degrees could be due in part to colicin or microcin production. However, when *E. coli* Nissle 1917, *E. coli* MG1655, and *E. coli* HS were tested for the ability to inhibit *E. coli* EDL933 growth on nutrient broth dipiryridyl plates (see Materials and Methods), none were able to do so. If *E. coli* Nissle 1917 microcins were active against *E. coli* EDL933, growth inhibition would have been seen, since *E. coli* Nissle 1917 inhibited the growth of *E. coli* MG1655 (~1.5-mm zone of inhibition). *E. coli* Nissle 1917 did not inhibit the growth of *E. coli* HS. Furthermore, *E. coli* MG1655 did not inhibit the growth of *E. coli* HS or *E. coli* Nissle 1917, and *E. coli* HS did not inhibit the growth of *E. coli* MG1655 or *E. coli* Nissle 1917. In further support of the validity of the assay, *E. coli* F-18, which produces ColV (30), inhibited the growth of *E. coli* MG1655 (~4.0-mm zone of inhibition), *E. coli* HS (~9.0-mm zone of inhibition), and to a very limited extent, the growth of *E. coli* EDL933 (~1.0-mm zone of inhibition). These results suggest that none of the commensal strains used in the colonization experiments produce an antimicrobial that limits the growth of *E. coli* EDL933.

**Location of *E. coli* HS, *E. coli* MG1655, and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 ΔlacZ along the length of the mouse gastrointestinal tract.** The ability of several *E. coli* strains, including *E. coli* EDL933, to grow in mouse intestinal mucus has been correlated with their ability to colonize the mouse large intestine, i.e., they grow rapidly in cecal mucus in vitro but far more slowly or not at all in cecal luminal contents (40, 48, 56, 57, 61). However, it was still possible that the ability of either *E. coli* HS, *E. coli* MG1655, or *E. coli* Nissle 1917 to grow from low to higher numbers in the intestines of mice precolonized with a different *E. coli* strain was due to the preference of each strain for a different location in the intestine, e.g., one strain might grow preferentially in the cecum and another in the ileum. To test this hypothesis, 22 days after feeding the mice 10^5 CFU of *E. coli* HS, *E. coli* MG1655, and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 ΔlacZ, the numbers of each strain in the ileal mucus, the mucus isolated from the rest of the small intestine, the cecal mucus, and the colonic mucus were determined. This experiment was conducted at the conclusion of the experiment shown in Fig. 3F. As shown in Table 2, although the numbers of each strain were highest in cecal and colonic mucus, there were considerable numbers of each strain in small intestine mucus and in ileal mucus. Moreover, the relative numbers of the strains in each mucus preparation reflected the relative numbers of the strains.
FIG. 3. *E. coli* EDL933 colonization of the mouse intestine precolonized with different commensal strains. (A) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* HS Strr Nalr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EDL933 Strr Rifr (▲). (B) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* MG1655 Strr Nalr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EDL933 Strr Rifr (▲). (C) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EFC2 Strr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EDL933 Strr Rifr (▲). (D) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EFC1 Strr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EDL933 Strr Rifr (▲). (E) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* Nissle Strr Nalr (■) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EDL933 Strr Rifr (▲). (F) Sets of three mice were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* HS Strr (■), *E. coli* MG1655 Strr Nalr (●), and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Strr ΔlacZ::cat (▲) and, 10 days later, were fed $10^5$ CFU of *E. coli* EDL933 Strr Rifr (▲). Data were collected and plotted as described in the legend to Fig. 1.
TABLE 2. *E. coli* HS, *E. coli* MG1655, and *E. coli* Nissle 1917 *lacZ*::cat in small intestine mucus, ileal mucus, cecal mucus, colonic mucus, and feces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Log_{10} CFU(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine mucus(^b)</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileal mucus</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecal mucus</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonic mucus</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The values are log_{10} means ± standard errors of the means for six mice. Mucus preparations were isolated on day 22 after feeding. The CFU value for each mucus preparation is corrected for the entire volume of the preparation. The fecal values are the CFU/gram of feces at 21 days after feeding.

\(^b\) Mucus from immediately below the stomach to the proximal ileum.

in the other mucus preparations and in feces (Table 2). It therefore appears that neither *E. coli* HS, *E. coli* MG1655, nor *E. coli* Nissle 1917 ΔlacZ has a preference for a specific site when competing with the others in the mouse intestine. It should also be mentioned that although *E. coli* EDL933 was not detectable in the mucus preparations in these experiments, it is also found in the mucus in each of the intestinal sections when it is the only *E. coli* strain fed to mice (44).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we demonstrate colonization resistance in mice precolonized with a specific human commensal *E. coli* strain and subsequently fed the same strain 10 days later, i.e., the strain fed at day 10 is nearly eliminated (Fig. 1). However, despite the fact that different human commensal strains compete with each other in all sections of the intestine (Table 2), it appears that colonization resistance is not effective when mice precolonized with one human commensal *E. coli* strain are fed 10⁷ CFU of a different human commensal *E. coli* strain 10 days later. That is, the strain fed at day 10 grows from low to higher numbers in the mouse intestine and persists in high numbers along with the precolonized strain (Fig. 2).

When the precolonized *E. coli* strain and the strain fed at 10 days are isogenic and utilize all nutrients equally well, the precolonized strain has the advantage of having had 10 days to adapt to the intestinal environment. The mechanisms involved in adaptation that result in colonization resistance are largely unknown. Frater argued that adhesion to the intestinal epithelium would impart a major advantage to the precolonized strain, resulting in the elimination of the invading strain (19, 20, 21). However, this explanation is unlikely in the present case, since the human commensal strain *E. coli* MG1655 does not associate with the intestinal epithelium (46) but still displays colonization resistance against itself (Fig. 1A). It has been shown that precolonized commensal *E. coli* strains can adapt genetically to the mouse intestine such that they become better colonizers of the mouse intestine than their parents by using nutrients more efficiently (26, 27, 37). Whatever the mechanism of adaptation of a precolonized strain to the mouse intestine, whether it be genetic, physiological, or both, it is clear that colonization resistance is effective when mice pre-colonized with a commensal *E. coli* strain are fed the same *E. coli* strain (Fig. 1) but ineffective when precolonized mice are fed a different *E. coli* strain (Fig. 2). Furthermore, since it appears that different *E. coli* strains have different nutritional programs for growth in the intestine (13, 17), it seems likely that nonisogenic strains fail to display colonization resistance for nutritional reasons. That is, when the precolonized *E. coli* strain and the *E. coli* strain fed at day 10 are strains isolated from different humans, and the strain fed at day 10 grows from low to higher numbers, without eliminating the precolonized strain, we hypothesize that it does so either by using one or more nutrients not being used by the precolonized strain or by outcompeting it for one or more nutrients; however, we fully recognize that *E. coli* colonization may be impacted by several other factors, including interaction with the indigenous microbiota (21, 50), innate immunity (12), and competition for iron (59).

As stated above, the results presented here are consistent with our previous finding that different *E. coli* strains have different nutritional programs in the mouse intestine (13, 14). In this vein, it will be of great interest to determine whether a specific commensal *E. coli* strain uses the same nutrients for growth when it is the only *E. coli* strain in the mouse intestine as it does when it grows from low to high numbers in mice precolonized with a different commensal *E. coli* strain. Of equal interest will be to determine whether a specific commensal *E. coli* strain uses the same or different nutrients for growth in the intestines of mice precolonized with different commensal *E. coli* strains, e.g., does *E. coli* Nissle 1917 use the same nutrients to grow from low to high numbers in mice precolonized with *E. coli* MG1655 as it does in mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS?

Precolonized *E. coli* Nissle 1917 allowed growth of both *E. coli* MG1655 and *E. coli* HS to between 10⁸ and 10⁹ CFU/gram of feces (Fig. 2E and F) but limited *E. coli* EDL933 to levels between 10⁷ and 10⁸ CFU/gram of feces (Fig. 3E). Therefore, it appears that in the mouse intestine *E. coli* Nissle 1917 allows commensal *E. coli* strains to grow to levels up to 1,000-fold greater than the levels of EHEC strain *E. coli* EDL933 that it allows. *E. coli* Nissle 1917 is a commensal strain that has been used as a probiotic agent to treat gastrointestinal infections in humans since the early 1920s (54). Several features of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 have been proposed to be responsible for its probiotic nature, including its ability to express two microcins (35), the absence of known protein toxins, its smooth lipopolysaccharide, and hence its serum sensitivity (7, 30), and the presence of six iron uptake systems (29). At the present time, we cannot rule out the possibility that *E. coli* Nissle 1917 inhibits the growth of *E. coli* EDL933 in the mouse intestine via a secreted inhibitory substance; however, as reported here, *E. coli* Nissle 1917 produces no inhibitory substance against *E. coli* EDL933 in microcin assays. Thus, we favor the hypothesis that the nutrients available to *E. coli* EDL933 and their concentrations in the intestine are far less in mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917 than are available to either *E. coli* MG1655 or *E. coli* HS, e.g., for reasons presently unknown, it may be that *E. coli* Nissle 1917 is able to outcompete *E. coli* EDL933, but not *E. coli* MG1655 or *E. coli* HS, for one or more major nutrients in the intestine.

It is interesting to note that *E. coli* EDL933 was able to grow...
from 10^5 CFU/gram of feces to 5 × 10^7 CFU per gram of feces in mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS (Fig. 3A) but the level dropped to 5 × 10^3 CFU/gram of feces in mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917 (Fig. 3E). How can these data be explained on a nutritional basis? It should be noted that *E. coli* Nissle 1917 was able to grow to the level of *E. coli* HS in mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS (Fig. 2D); although *E. coli* HS was able to grow from 10^5 CFU/gram of feces to 10^6 CFU/gram of feces in mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917, that level was still 100-fold lower than that of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 (Fig. 2F). Thus, it would appear that although *E. coli* HS can either use a nutrient(s) that *E. coli* Nissle 1917 does not use or uses it better, overall *E. coli* 1917 is the nutritionally superior strain in the intestine, most likely filling more nutritional niches than *E. coli* HS. If so, it is not surprising that *E. coli* EDL933 was able to grow much better in mice precolonized with *E. coli* HS than in mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917.

The fact that *E. coli* EDL933 growth in the intestine is severely limited, such that it persists in the intestine at a level of almost 5 orders of magnitude lower than *E. coli* Nissle 1917 in mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917, says nothing as to whether the same scenario in the human intestine would or would not lead to disease. It must be remembered that colonization is only the first step in infection and that the mouse intestine is strictly a model for *E. coli* EDL933 colonization, not pathogenesis. Hemorrhagic colitis in humans is characterized by hemorrhage and edema in the lamina propria and bloody diarrhea (28, 34). It is possible that although an EHEC strain in the human might also be initially limited to a low level of growth in the intestine due to limiting nutrient levels caused by the resident *E. coli* strain, as long as the relatively few EHEC cells are healthy and able to persist at that low level, they might be able to initiate the pathogenic process by damaging the mucosa. If so, blood would enter the intestine and expose the EHEC cells to a new rich source of nutrients, leading to increased EHEC growth and subsequent disease. If this scenario is true, one approach to preventing disease would be to precolonize humans with one or more *E. coli* strains that would not allow any growth of ingested EHEC cells, i.e., that would occupy all *E. coli* nutritional niches, thereby leading to complete EHEC elimination from the intestine prior to the onset of disease. That this approach to preventing EHEC colonization may have merit is shown by the fact that *E. coli* EDL933 fed at day 10 was eliminated (<10 CFU/gram of feces) from the intestines of mice precolonized with *E. coli* Nissle 1917, *E. coli* HS, and *E. coli* MG1655, rather than colonizing at a level of about 5 × 10^3 CFU/gram of feces for several days as mice precolonized with just *E. coli* Nissle 1917 (compare Fig. 3E and F).

The usual commentary in *E. coli* comparative genomics papers is that the genomic core genomes and hence the metabolomes of various *E. coli* strains are nearly identical (33, 55). If so, why is it that commensal *E. coli* strains fed to mice at day 10 can grow from low to high numbers in mice precolonized with different commensal *E. coli* strains, i.e., why do they use different nutrients? With rare exceptions, it is certainly not because those strains that do not use a particular nutrient in the intestine do not have the ability to use it, e.g., *E. coli* MG1655 uses sialic acid for growth in the intestine whereas *E. coli* EDL933 does not, but both strains can use sialic acid for growth in vitro (17). We think it is possible that the observed colonization differences in competition between different *E. coli* commensals, as well as between commensal *E. coli* strains and *E. coli* EDL933, may be manifestations of the differing efficiencies with which each strain can occupy specific nutritional niches. That is, both strains might compete for a specific nutrient, but not equally well, e.g., one strain might have more kinetically efficient pathways for uptake and catabolism of that nutrient or each strain might have the same pathways for uptake and catabolism of the nutrient, but those pathways might be more highly induced in one strain than in the other. Alternatively, it may be that non-core genes (5, 16) play a major role in choosing the nutrients that are used by different *E. coli* strains in the intestine. Further research designed to understand the mechanisms by which different *E. coli* strains choose specific nutrients for growth in the intestine should provide a nutritional framework for the rational design of *E. coli* commensal strains (i.e., probiotics) that can serve as the first line of defense in protecting humans against colonization by *E. coli* intestinal pathogens.
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