A group of tick-borne spirochetes belonging to *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* complex causes a frequent and multisystem illness known as Lyme disease or Lyme borreliosis (41, 49). The bacteria exist in a complex enzootic infectious cycle consisting of *Ixodes scapularis* ticks and a mammalian reservoir host, usually small rodents. As the infected tick successfully attaches onto a host, the pathogen begins to multiply within the feeding tick gut. A fraction of resident spirochetes then cross the gut epithelial barrier and gain entry into the hemocoel, swiftly migrate to the salivary glands, and finally invade the host dermis (13, 14, 16, 42). *B. burgdorferi* replicates locally in the dermis and then spreads to distant skin areas or hematogenously disseminates to distant organs, including joints, heart, or brain tissues, causing varied clinical manifestations such as arthritis, carditis, and meningitis (2). Since its identification nearly 3 decades ago, the incidence of Lyme disease is still on the rise in many parts of the United States, Europe, and Asia (40, 49). Preventive measures, such as a vaccine to combat the incidence of Lyme disease in humans, are currently unavailable.

To persist in a complex enzootic cycle, *B. burgdorferi* is required to disseminate, invade, and colonize in a wide range of host and vector tissues. In vertebrates, the movement of spirochetes through the skin or through the basement membrane of endothelium is likely to require the production of proteases that assist the pathogen in the degradation of extracellular matrices (8, 10–12, 51). Plasminogen (Pg) is the proenzyme of a broad-spectrum serine protease known as plasmin, which is very abundant in plasma and certain tissues. Conversion of Pg to active plasmin is mediated by proteolytic activation cascades induced by specific activators, such as tissue-type Pg activator (tPA) and urokinase (uPA). Once activated, plasmin is involved in intravascular fibrinolysis or degradation of extracellular matrix material, which is relevant for cell dissemination or invasion (45, 50). Invasive pathogenic spirochetes including *Borrelia* and *Leptospira* are known to express multiple Pg-binding surface proteins that likely assist in pathogen dissemination through host tissues (11, 12, 22, 25, 28, 31, 52–54). However, the precise reasons why spirochetes express several Pg-binding proteins, whether one serves as primary Pg receptor or relative contributions of multiple Pg-binding microbial proteins in enzootic life cycle of infectious spirochetes remains an enigma.

Enolase, also known as phosphopyruvate hydratase, is an integral enzyme of the glycolysis and gluconeogenesis pathways, catalyzes the reversible interconversion of 2-phosphoglycerate and phosphoenolpyruvate. Although likely evolved as a enzyme involved in sugar metabolism, enolase is a multifunctional protein in both prokaryote and eukaryotes and can be found in both the cytosol and the cell membrane (37). Specifically, surface enolase is shown to act as a Pg receptor in certain tumor cells, a condition often linked to the initiation of disease processes in eukaryotes (37, 38). Enolase is also localized on the cell surface in many microorganisms (4, 30, 33, 43, 44), where it interacts with Pg and assists in microbial dissemination within hosts. The *B. burgdorferi* genome encodes for all known components of glycolytic pathway, including enolase (21). In agreement with a previous mass spectrometry-based study indicating detection of enolase in iso-
lated *B. burgdorferi* outer membrane vesicles (35), we show here that enolase is readily exposed on the *B. burgdorferi* surface. We further show that despite retaining its enzymatic activity, the protein acts as a Pg receptor and contributes to spirochete survival in feeding ticks.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Mice, Borrelia organisms, and ticks.* A fully infectious isolate of *B. burgdorferi*, clone B31-A3, was used throughout this study (17). Four- to six-week-old female C3H/HeN mice were purchased from the National Institutes of Health. The ticks used in the present study were reared in the laboratory as described elsewhere (29). All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Biosafety Committee and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Production of recombinant enolase and antibody.** The *B. burgdorferi* gene BB0337 encoding enolase was amplified by PCR using specific primers: BB0337 sense (5'-CCG AAT TCC GGT TTT CAC ATT TAT GAA AT-3') and BB0337 antisense (5'-CCG CTC GAG TTT TGT TAT AGA ATA AA-3'). Recombinant enolase was produced in *Escherichia coli* using the bacterial expression vector pET302 (Invitrogen), and protein expression was induced by the addition of IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) to a final concentration of 1 mM. The His-enolase protein was affinity purified by using ProBond (Invitrogen). The generation of murine polyclonal antibodies against recombinant BB0337 and immunoblotting assays were performed as described earlier (29).

**Plasminogen binding assays.** Cellular assays were performed as detailed previously (33). Briefly, the wells of microtiter plates were coated with *B. burgdorferi* cells in the presence of glutaraldehyde, which facilitates the immobilization of cells without compromising the membrane permeability. *B. burgdorferi* (10^5 cells/well) were incubated in 50 μl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 h at 37°C, followed by a 10-min incubation with 1% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde. After subsequent blocking with 1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin in PBS, varied amounts (0.5 to 2 μg/well) of human plasminogen (hPg; Sigma) were added to the microtiter plate, followed by incubation for 1 h at 37°C. The cells were then washed three times, and bound protein was detected using anti-Pg monoclonal antibody (R&D Systems) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies. Competition experiments included the addition of enolase or BBA52 antibodies prior to the addition of hPg. In additional experiments, wells were also coated with recombinant enolase (1 μg/well), and the binding of hPg (1 to 3 μg/well) was determined, as described above.

**Degradation of fibrin in jellified matrices.** Fibrinolysis was assayed as described previously (27), with minor modifications. Briefly, 10^6 *B. burgdorferi* cells were preincubated with hPg (50 μg) for 3 h in the presence or absence of iPA (50 ng) in a final volume of 1 ml. Thereafter, the mixtures were washed three times with PBS to remove free hPg. The resulting cell pellets were placed in the wells of a fibrin substrate matrix gel that contained 1.25% low-melting-temperature agarose, hPg (100 μg), fibrinogen (4 mg), and thrombin in a final volume of 2 ml. Controls consisted of untreated cells (no hPg incubation) or incubation without cells. The jellified matrix was incubated in a humidified chamber at 37°C for 8 h. Plasmin activity was detected by the observation of clear hydrolysis haloes on the substrate. PK accessibility assay. Proteinase K (PK) accessibility assays were performed as described previously (9). Briefly, *B. burgdorferi* (2 x 10^6) was washed three times in 1 ml of PBS (pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 4 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of PBS and split into two equal 500-μl volumes. One aliquot received 200 μg of PK (Sigma), while the other aliquot received an equal volume of PBS without PK. Both aliquots were incubated for 20 min at room temperature before the addition of 10 μl of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (Sigma) to stop PK activity. Spirochete suspensions were subsequently pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 10 min and resuspended in PBS for immunoblot analysis with antibodies against BB0337, FlaB, or OspA. Denaturation analysis of immunoblots was performed using a gel documentation system (ChemiDoc XRS; Bio-Rad).

**Measurement of the enolase activity.** Enolase activity was determined by measuring the conversion of NADH·H⁺ to NAD⁺, as described previously (6, 38). Briefly, the enzymatic reactions were performed at 25°C in 81 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) containing 25 mM MgSO4 with 100 mM KCl, 1.9 mM 2-phosphoglycerate solution (2-PGE, Sigma), 0.24 mM β-NADH (Sigma), 1.3 mM ADP (Sigma), lactate dehydrogenase/pyruvate kinase (PK/LDH Enzyme Solution; Sigma), and 1.6 μg of the protein/well in a final reaction volume of 200 μl. The enolase activity was measured in terms of the rate of reduction in the absorbance at 340 nm (i.e., increase in the production of NAD⁺ from NADH). For kinetic studies, varied concentrations of 2-PGE (1 to 6 mM) were used.

**Enolase activity on the surface of intact *B. burgdorferi*.** The enolase activity of intact *B. burgdorferi* cells was measured by a direct assay, as described previously for pathogenic streptococci (38). Briefly, 10^8 *B. burgdorferi* cells were washed three times with the reaction buffer (100 mM HEPES [pH 7.0], 10 mM MgSO4, and 7.7 mM KCl), centrifuged, and finally resuspended in a volume of 400 μl. Twofold serial dilution of the cells were mixed with equal volume of the reaction buffer in presence or absence of 6 mM 2-PGE (Sigma), followed by incubation at 37°C for 5 min. The bacteria were removed by centrifugation (10,000 rpm for 1 min) after incubation, and the supernatants were measured for the production of phenolphosphorylpyruvate at A_{570}. To ensure that the cell permeability is not compromised during the assay, the viability of the *B. burgdorferi* cells was determined before and after the enzymatic assay by using vital fluorescence labeling (Live/Dead BacLight viability kit; Invitrogen), as detailed previously (55).

**Quantitative PCR.** Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed as previously described (55). RNA samples were extracted from murine tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), treated with DNase I (Invitrogen), and finally purified using an RNasey kit (Qiagen). RNA was used as a template for RT-PCR using an AffinityScript cDNA synthesis kit (Stratagene), qRT-PCR analysis was performed using iQ Sybr green Supermix (Bio-Rad). For quantitative analysis of gene expression, the target transcripts were normalized to the number of *flaB* transcripts. Since there is probably no suitable gene, or method, to accurately quantify spirochete levels in *vivo*, we used *flaB* mRNA-based qRT-PCR to measure spirochete burdens which also produces similar patterns in the differences of the tissue burdens of *B. burgdorferi* when using DNA-based qPCR (47). For quantitative measurement of *B. burgdorferi* burden in infected tissues, *flaB* transcripts were normalized to mouse or tick β-actin levels. All qRT-PCR results were checked for specificity by melting-curve analysis.

**Active immunization and infection studies.** Groups of mice (three animals/group) were immunized with adjuvant containing either recombinant enolase, or PBS containing the same volume of adjuvant. Ten days after the final boost, mice were infected with a subcutaneous injection of *B. burgdorferi* (10^5 spirochetes/mouse). Blood was collected at day 3, 5, and 7 after initial spirochete challenge. The mice were sacrificed 12 days after infection. Heart, tibiotarsal joint, and skin samples were collected.
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and frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was isolated from infected tissues and *B. burgdorferi* burden was measured using qRT-PCR (55). To verify whether enolase was involved in tick acquisition of *B. burgdorferi*, separate groups of mice (three animals/group) were immunized with recombinant enolase or PBS (using equal volume of adjuvants), as described above and, 10 days after the last boost, the mice were infected by a single intradermal injection with *B. burgdorferi* (10⁵ spirochetes/mouse). After 12 days, *I. scapularis* nymphs (15 ticks/mouse) were allowed to engorge on the mice. Partially fed or fully engorged ticks were removed from mice at 5, 48, and 72 h during feeding and assessed for pathogen burden using qRT-PCR, as described previously (36). Animal infection studies, including immunization and *B. burgdorferi* challenge studies, were independently repeated two times.

**In vitro bactericidal assay.** *In vitro* bactericidal assay was performed as described previously (56). Normal mouse serum or serum samples from mice immunized with enolase were used for the bactericidal assays. At 24 h after the addition of antiserum (undiluted sera without complement inactivation), 1 μl of medium containing spirochetes was added to 1 ml of fresh BSK-H medium to assess the spirochetes’ ability to regrow in the culture. Spirochetes were incubated at 33°C and enumerated by dark-field microscopy at 24, 48, 60, 72, and 96 h, as described previously (36).

**Bioinformatics and statistical analysis.** Predictions of Pg-binding domain and catalytic motif in primary enolase sequences were performed as detailed earlier (1). Multiple sequence alignment was achieved using CLUSTAL W alignment (DNASTAR, Inc.). The results were presented as means ± the standard errors of the mean (SEM). Statistical comparisons were performed by using a Student t test. Statistical significance was accepted for *P* < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**B. burgdorferi** binds plasminogen via enolase. We first assessed whether the infectious *B. burgdorferi* B31 isolate binds Pg and induces fibrinolysis and whether enolase participates in the spirochete-Pg interaction. Intact fixed *B. burgdorferi* cells were immobilized on microtiter wells and incubated with human Pg (hPg), and bound proteins were detected using anti-plasminogen and secondary antibodies. The differences between wells incubated with hPg (1 μg) and no hPg (0) wells are significant (*, *P* < 0.05). (D) Plasminogen binds to recombinant enolase. Enolase (1 μg) was immobilized on microtiter wells and incubated with hPg in a concentration-dependent manner. Bound proteins were detected using anti-plasminogen and secondary antibodies. The differences between hPg (0.05 to 2) and control (no hPg) are significant (*, *P* < 0.05). (E) A lysine analogue inhibits enolase-Pg interaction. Immobilized enolase (1 μg) was incubated with hPg (1 μg) in the presence of different concentrations of inhibitor (eACA). The differences between wells incubated with eACA or without (−) are significant. *P* < 0.05.

**FIG 1** Plasminogen interacts with *B. burgdorferi* and involvement of enolase. The data represent means plus the SEM from three independent experiments. (A) Plasminogen-*B. burgdorferi* interaction. *B. burgdorferi* were fixed onto the microtiter plates with glutaraldehyde and incubated with human plasminogen (hPg) in a concentration-dependent manner. Binding was detected using anti-plasminogen and secondary antibodies. The differences between hPg (0.05 to 2) and control (no hPg) are significant (*, *P* < 0.05). (B) Fibrinolytic activity of plasminogen-bound *B. burgdorferi*. Spirochetes were incubated in the absence (lane 1) or presence of plasminogen (lane 2), or together with plasminogen and tPA (lane 3), spotted into the Matrigel, and incubated for the appearance of halo areas indicative of fibrinolytic activity. (C) Enolase antibodies competitively reduce *B. burgdorferi*-plasminogen interaction. *B. burgdorferi* were coated onto microtiter wells as detailed in Fig. 1A and incubated with anti-enolase or anti-BBA52 antibodies prior to incubation with hPg. The difference between anti-enolase antibodies and control (no Ab) is significant (*, *P* < 0.05). (D) Plasminogen binds to recombinant enolase. Enolase (1 μg) was immobilized on microtiter wells and incubated with hPg in a concentration-dependent manner. Bound proteins were detected using anti-plasminogen and secondary antibodies. The differences between hPg (1 to 3 μg) and no hPg (0) wells are significant (*, *P* < 0.05). (E) A lysine analogue inhibits enolase-Pg interaction. Immobilized enolase (1 μg) was incubated with hPg (1 μg) in the presence of different concentrations of inhibitor (eACA). The differences between wells incubated with eACA or without (−) are significant. *P* < 0.05.
other organisms, an exposed lysine residue(s) in B. burgdorferi enolase is likely responsible for this binding activity.

**Enolase is exposed on the B. burgdorferi surface.** To serve as a Pg-binding protein, enolase must localize on the microbial surface. The B. burgdorferi genome encodes for a single enolase protein without an identifiable signal peptide; however, the enzyme has been detected on the surface of many other pathogens. In agreement with a previous study showing the presence of enolase in isolated B. burgdorferi outer membrane vesicles (35), enolase antibody is able to bind to the surface of intact immobilized spirochetes with greater efficiency than antibodies against a control subsurface protein, FlaB (Fig. 2A). To corroborate this finding further, we used Triton X-114 to separate B. burgdorferi cells into aqueous (soluble) or detergent (membrane-associated) fractions and assessed the localization of enolase by using immunoblotting. The results showed that enolase partitioned into primarily soluble, but also membrane-associated fractions (Fig. 2B). As expected, a control membrane protein, outer surface protein A (OspA), was predominantly localized into the membrane fraction. We further assessed whether enolase is exposed on the microbial surface using a more direct assay. To achieve this, intact spirochetes were subjected to a controlled PK digestion and then assessed by immunoblot analysis with antisera against enolase or known membrane protein (OspA). (C) Enolase is sensitive to proteinase K-mediated degradation of B. burgdorferi surface proteins. Viable spirochetes were incubated in the absence (−) or presence (+) of proteinase K for removal of protease sensitive surface proteins and processed for immunoblot analysis using anti-enolase antibodies. B. burgdorferi OspA and FlaB antibodies were utilized as controls for surface-exposed and subsurface proteins, respectively. (D) Densitometric analysis of proteinase K-mediated degradation of enolase. Relative densities of B. burgdorferi enolase in the absence or presence of proteinase K, as determined by immunoblot analysis with anti-enolase antibodies shown in Fig. 2C, were determined by a densitometric scan. Differences between the levels of enolase in the absence and presence of proteinase K treatment are significant (∗, P < 0.05).

Recombinant enolase or surface-exposed native B. burgdorferi protein retains enzymatic activity. We next assessed whether recombinant enolase or the native protein on intact B. burgdorferi surface retains the enzymatic activity. The enolase activity was assessed by examining catalysis of NADH·H⁺ to NAD⁺, resulting from the conversion of 2-phosphoglycerate to phosphoenolpyruvate, as described earlier (38). The results show the saturation of enolase activity either over time- or substrate-dependent manners (Fig. 3A and B), suggesting specific catalytic activities of recombinant enolase. To determine whether the native enolase is enzymatically active on spirochete surface, we adopted an assay originally developed for determining surface enolase activities of pathogenic streptococci (38). The assay relies upon measurement of phosphoenolpyruvate production in the presence of intact bacterial cells. The results indicated detectable enolase activity in B. burgdorferi cells but not in control Gram-negative bacteria, E. coli (Fig. 3C). The extracellular enolase activity is produced by intact B. burgdorferi cells, since a comparison of spirochetes before and after the enzymatic reaction using a bacterial viability assay (56) did not show detectable differences in viable spirochete numbers (Fig. 3D).
Enolase expression during the tick-mouse infection cycle. Although a consistent expression of BB0337 encoding enolase is expected for the viability of *B. burgdorferi*, we assessed its expression in vivo to determine whether the protein level is altered during specific phases of spirochete infection in mice or in ticks due to its potential role as a plasminogen-binding protein. To accomplish this, C3H mice were infected with *B. burgdorferi*, and skin, heart, and joint samples were collected 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after infection. Larval and nymphal ticks were fed on parallel groups of 15-day-infected mice (25 ticks/mouse), and fully engorged ticks were isolated after 3 days of repletion. Batches of infected fed larvae were allowed to molt and then collected as unfed nymphs. Total RNA was prepared from murine and tick samples and subjected to qRT-PCR to measure the enolase transcript levels. The results indicated that enolase is constitutively expressed in vivo with relatively enhanced transcription in ticks, as well as in certain tissues and phases of infection in mice (Fig. 4).

Active immunization of mice with enolase failed to evoke protective immunity. Enolase has detectable surface exposure and is expressed at all tested murine tissue locations, so we next assessed whether the immunization of mice using recombinant enolase could elicit protective immunity and influence the outcome of Lyme disease. To accomplish this, C3H mice (three animals/group) were immunized with *B. burgdorferi*, and skin, heart, and joint samples were collected 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after infection. Larval and nymphal ticks were fed on parallel groups of 15-day-infected mice (25 ticks/mouse), and fully engorged ticks were isolated after 3 days of repletion. Batches of infected fed larvae were allowed to molt and then collected as unfed nymphs. Total RNA was prepared from murine and tick samples and subjected to qRT-PCR to measure the enolase transcript levels. The results indicated that enolase is constitutively expressed in vivo with relatively enhanced transcription in ticks, as well as in certain tissues and phases of infection in mice (Fig. 4).
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Active immunization of mice with enolase failed to evoke protective immunity. Enolase has detectable surface exposure and is expressed at all tested murine tissue locations, so we next assessed whether the immunization of mice using recombinant enolase could elicit protective immunity and influence the outcome of Lyme disease. To accomplish this, C3H mice (three animals/group) were immunized with *B. burgdorferi*, and skin, heart, and joint samples were collected 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after infection. Larval and nymphal ticks were fed on parallel groups of 15-day-infected mice (25 ticks/mouse), and fully engorged ticks were isolated after 3 days of repletion. Batches of infected fed larvae were allowed to molt and then collected as unfed nymphs. Total RNA was prepared from murine and tick samples and subjected to qRT-PCR to measure the enolase transcript levels. The results indicated that enolase is constitutively expressed in vivo with relatively enhanced transcription in ticks, as well as in certain tissues and phases of infection in mice (Fig. 4).
lected from infected mice 3, 5, and 7 days after challenge. At 12 days after infection, the mice were sacrificed, and skin, joint, and heart samples were collected. The pathogen levels were measured by qRT-PCR. In parallel, another group of mice were maintained for 4 weeks and monitored for joint inflammation by measurement of ankle swelling at weekly intervals. The results indicated that compared to control, immunization with enolase failed to exert a consistent influence on spirochete burden in the blood (Fig. 5C) or any of the tested tissues (Fig. 5D). Although enolase expression is upregulated in most tissues during late infection, such as in joints between the third and fourth weeks of infection (Fig. 4), mice immunized with enolase developed ankle swelling similar to that seen in the controls (data not shown).

Enolase antibodies interfere with *B. burgdorferi* acquisition by ticks. A previous study indicated that while ticks apparently lack the endogenous capacity to activate host Pg, the blood meal in feeding ticks contains components of the host Pg activation system, which influences *B. burgdorferi* acquisition by larval ticks (11). We therefore assessed whether antibodies against enolase, as a Pg receptor, influences spirochete acquisition by ticks. To accomplish this, mice were actively immunized with enolase or PBS-adjuvant mixture (control) prior to *B. burgdorferi* infection as described above, and after 12 days, naive nymphs were allowed to parasitize the mice. Partially fed nymphs were forcibly removed from mice at 5 and 48 h after the onset of feeding. Fully engorged nymphs were also collected after 72 h of feeding. The spirochete burden was assessed by qRT-PCR analysis of flaB transcripts normalized against tick β-actin levels. The results indicated that the levels of *B. burgdorferi* in ticks that parasitized enolase-immunized mice were significantly lower than the respective control groups for all tested time points of feeding (Fig. 6), suggesting that enolase antibody is able to interfere with spirochete persistence in feeding nymphs.

**DISCUSSION**

*B. burgdorferi* has evolved a remarkable ability to disseminate through a diverse range of host tissues—a property that facilitates...
spirochete maintenance in a complex enzootic cycle. The pathogen has a strong affinity for a diverse array of host extracellular matrix (ECM) components, including fibronectin, integrins, collagen, proteoglycans, and laminin (3, 7, 8, 10, 20, 39, 46). The host ECM likely provides a protective niche for lagen, proteoglycans, and laminin (3, 7, 8, 10, 20, 39, 46). The host matrix (ECM) components, including fibronectin, integrins, collagen has a strong affinity for a diverse array of host extracellular proteins via subversion of specific host proteases, such as plasmin, plays an important role in the establishment of infections (32). Plasmin, the active form of plasminogen (Pg), is a broad-spectrum serine protease and a key component of the fibrinolytic system (45). The Pg-binding property of many bacteria, including pathogenic spirochetes, has been suggested to be a contributing factor in tissue invasion and survival in the hosts (11, 19, 52–54). B. burgdorferi binds Pg (11, 12, 22, 25), and activated plasmin facilitates the invasiveness of the pathogen (11, 12). Although not essential for spirochete infectivity in mice, Pg has been shown to facilitate the dissemination of B. burgdorferi within the tick (11). Here, we show that a surface enolase participates in B. burgdorferi-Pg interaction and is potentially important for spirochete survival during B. burgdorferi acquisition in feeding ticks. In agreement with a previous study (35), our data suggest that a fraction of B. burgdorferi enolase exists as a cell surface protein, although how protoplasmic enolase is translocated across the cellular membranes or is associated with it remains unknown. Similar to other organisms, B. burgdorferi enolase lacks classical membrane localization sequences, a transmembrane domain, or lipidation motifs. In eukaryotes, however, certain scaffold proteins bind enolase through its PDZ domain and translocate the enzyme to the plasma membrane (24). Although the mechanism of enolase transport awaits further study, it is a well-known fact that many pathogens including Trichomonas vaginalis (33), Candida albicans (27), Paracoccidioides brasiliensis (34), or Streptococcus suis (18) transport enolase to the microbial surface, where it interacts with host Pg. The Pg-enolase interaction is mediated by two C-terminal lysine residues of enolase and highly conserved kringle domains of Pg (5). However, as shown for S. pneumoniae, enolase possesses an additional internal Pg-binding site, a nine-residue motif FYDKERKYY, although full conservation of this motif is not required for the binding (5, 26). Currently, the three-dimensional structure of B. burgdorferi enolase is not known; however, primary sequence analysis and CLUSTAL W alignment has shown that B. burgdorferi enolase also possesses a conserved internal Pg-binding motif LYDPKTKKY located between amino acids 248 and 258, which does not nonoverlap with the catalytic motif SRSHGETED located between amino acids 368 and 376 (Fig. 7). Therefore, conservation of known Pg-binding motifs in borrelial enolase and the fact that the lysine analogue eACA or enolase antibodies significantly inhibited Pg binding to recombinant enolase or B. burgdorferi, along with the additional data presented here, justify our conclusion that the Lyme disease pathogen binds Pg via surface enolase, which facilitates pathogen survival in the host.

Virulence roles of microbial antigens can be effectively studied by molecular genetics approaches. However, our attempts to create enolase-deficient spirochetes were unsuccessful (data not shown), probably due to its indispensable involvement in glycolysis, the only energy generation pathway in B. burgdorferi (21). Through an alternate approach, our antibody-blocking studies provided indirect evidence for the role of surface enolase in spirochete persistence, as a potential Pg receptor in vivo, at least in feeding ticks. Although our findings suggest that the total numbers of spirochetes, when normalized to tick β-actin transcript levels, are reduced at the late feeding stage (72 h) compared to the early feeding stages (5 or 48 h), enolase antibodies reduced B. burgdorferi levels at all tested stages of feeding. B. burgdorferi-Pg interaction in vivo was highlighted in a previous elegant study in which host-derived Pg was shown to be important for the acquisition of B. burgdorferi by larval ticks, as well as for its systemic dissemination within feeding nymphs (11). Currently, we do not know exactly how enolase antibody influences the spirochete acquisition in feeding ticks, but such effects may not be due to the bactericidal action of the antibody. As the tick gut undergoes dramatic physiological and structural remodeling during feeding (48), surface enolase, by facilitating pathogen-Pg interaction, likely contributes to B. burgdorferi entry or survival during blood meal engorgement. Therefore, the antibody binding could potentially interfere with the function of surface-exposed enolase in feeding ticks, including its possible interaction with Pg. In contrast, although plasminogen has been previously reported to be important for spirochete dissemination through host blood (11), in our immunization experiments enolase antibodies were unable to reduce pathogen levels in murine blood. Thus, the role of surface enolase during hematogenous dissemination of spirochetes could be functionally redundant, possibly due to the presence of other Pg-binding proteins on the spirochete surface (22, 25, 31). We were unable to accomplish a complete inhibition of B. burgdorferi-Pg interaction, even using polyclonal enolase antibodies (Fig. 1C). This suggests the occurrence of additional Pg receptor(s) in spirochetes. Nevertheless, enolase immunization interfered with pathogen survival in feeding ticks that underscored its role as a functional Pg receptor in vivo and also reinforced the importance of B. burgdorferi-Pg interaction during borrelial infection. A better understanding of Pg-enolase
interaction may shed new light into complex interaction between the pathogen and hosts and likely to contribute to the development of novel measures to combat *B. burgdorferi* infections.
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