Impact of *Staphylococcus aureus* on Pathogenesis in Polymicrobial Infections
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Polymicrobial infections involving *Staphylococcus aureus* exhibit enhanced disease severity and morbidity. We reviewed the nature of polymicrobial interactions between *S. aureus* and other bacterial, fungal, and viral cocolonizers. Microbes that were frequently recovered from the infection site with *S. aureus* are *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Corynebacterium sp.*, *Lactobacillus sp.*, *Candida albicans*, and influenza virus. Detailed analyses of several in vitro and in vivo observations demonstrate that *S. aureus* exhibits cooperative relations with *C. albicans*, *E. faecalis*, *H. influenzae*, and influenza virus and competitive relations with *P. aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Lactobacillus sp.*, and *Corynebacterium sp.* Interactions of both types influence changes in *S. aureus* that alter its characteristics in terms of colony formation, protein expression, pathogenicity, and antibiotic susceptibility.

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an opportunistic and resilient human pathogen that colonizes the mucosal surfaces. It is the causative agent of many serious acute and chronic infections. The anterior nares are the primary reservoirs of *S. aureus*. Asymptomatic colonization occurs in approximately 20% of the normal population, and 60% are transiently colonized, while 20% appear to be rarely or never colonized (1). Extranasal colonization of *S. aureus* also takes place in several locations, including the skin, rectum, axillae, vagina, pharynx, and gastrointestinal tract (2).

*S. aureus* causes numerous infections, including skin infections (boils, furuncles, styes, impetigo), surgical and trauma wounds, urinary tract infections, gastrointestinal tract infections, pneumonia, osteomyelitis, endocarditis, thrombophlebitis, mastitis, meningitis, infections on indwelling medical devices, toxic shock syndrome, septicemia (TSS), and septicemia (3, 4). The factors contributing to *S. aureus* virulence include the production of an arsenal of virulence factors such as capsule, coagulase, lipase, hyaluronidase, protein A, fibrinogen binding proteins, fibronectin binding proteins, and secreted toxins such as secreted enterotoxins (SEs), toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1), Panton-Valentine leucocidin (PVL), hemolysins, and phenol-soluble modulins (PSM) (5–9).

Several studies have confirmed *S. aureus* as one of the coinfecting microbes in many patients with polymicrobial infections (10). The interactions between *S. aureus* and the coexisting microbes are either cooperative, as with *Candida albicans* (11–14), *Enterococcus faecalis* (15, 16), *Haemophilus influenzae* (17–19), and influenza virus (20, 21), or competitive, as with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (18, 19), *Lactobacillus sp.* (22–27), and *Corynebacterium sp.* (17, 28–30). Irrespective of whether the interactions are cooperative (Fig. 1) or competitive (Fig. 2), *S. aureus* within a community behaves differently with respect to its monomicrobial growth. This article focuses on reviewing the significance of interactions between *S. aureus* and other microorganisms and its effect on disease progression and outcome.

**Interactions with Candida.** Both *Candida* species and *S. aureus* usually exist as commensals and colonize human mucosal surfaces. Furthermore, they are opportunistic pathogens and cause a wide range of infections such as sepsis, pneumonia, denture stomatitis, and neonatal sepsis. Despite causing a number of infections independently, *C. albicans* and *S. aureus* can also be coisolated from several diseases such as cystic fibrosis, superinfection of burn wounds, urinary tract infections, and diabetic foot wounds and from the surfaces of various biomaterials, including dentures, voice prostheses, implants, endotracheal tubes, feeding tubes, and catheters (31–34).

Biofilm-embedded microbes are extremely resistant to both host clearance mechanisms and antimicrobial agents. *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* are often isolated concurrently from mixed bacterial-fungal biofilms on implanted medical devices (35). During biofilm-associated coinfections, *C. albicans* forms the base of the biofilm and facilitates the biofilm formation of *S. aureus*. *C. albicans* hyphal protein agglutinin-like sequence 3 (Als3p) mediates the binding of *S. aureus* with *C. albicans* hyphae (14, 36, 37). Within the polymicrobial biofilm, *S. aureus* exhibits enhanced resistance to vancomycin (13).

Independent studies demonstrated that the interactions between *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* enhance disease severity in several ways (33, 38). Candidal infections cause physical damage to organ walls, allowing *S. aureus* to penetrate the internal organs more easily. *S. aureus*, on the other hand, secretes different proteases that help *C. albicans* to enhance its adhesion to the mucosal layer (12). During systemic infections, each organism helps the other to evade phagocytic killing mediated by polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs). *C. albicans* secretes a proteinase that degrades the Fc portion of immunoglobulin G (IgG) and greatly reduces the opsonizing activity of human PMNs against *S. aureus* (39). On the other hand, *S. aureus* secretes coagulase and extracellular...
Cooperative interactions between *S. aureus* and other microbes. *S. aureus* can coccolithize with *H. influenzae*, *E. faecalis*, *C. albicans*, and influenza virus. *S. aureus*-induced lysis of red blood cells (RBC) leads to the release of hemin and NAD, which act as nutrients and support the growth of *H. influenzae*. *S. aureus* secretes proteases that cleave the host sialic acid receptor and increase the infectivity of influenza virus by releasing the virus from the host cell surface. *S. aureus* gained vancomycin resistance from *E. faecalis* due to horizontal gene transfer and became more resistant to antibiotics during coinfection with *C. albicans*. Symbols: SA, *S. aureus*; VRSA, vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus*; VREF, vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis*.

**FIG 1** Cooperative interactions between *S. aureus* and other microbes. *S. aureus* exhibits antagonist toward *P. aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus* sp., and *Lactobacillus* sp. *P. aeruginosa* produces phenazine (PZ), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), quinolone oxidase (QO), and pyocyanin (PY), resulting in the respiratory blockage of *S. aureus*, which in turn leads to the formation of small-colony variants (SCVs). SCVs are more persistent and are resistant to antibiotics. *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp. inhibit the growth of *S. aureus* by producing hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). *S. aureus* produces staphyloxanthin and catalase, which neutralize the toxic effects of H₂O₂. Additionally, *Lactobacillus* sp. produce organic acids and bacteriocins that limit the growth of *S. aureus*. Certain *S. aureus* strains also produce bacteriocins such as staphylococcin Au 26, which in turn inhibit the growth of lactobacilli. Blocked arrows indicate antagonism, and arrows indicate survival strategies of *S. aureus*.

**FIG 2** Competitive interactions between *S. aureus* and other microbes. *S. aureus* coinfections with *P. aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus* sp., and *Lactobacillus* sp. *P. aeruginosa* produces phenazine (PZ), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), quinolone oxidase (QO), and pyocyanin (PY), resulting in the respiratory blockage of *S. aureus*, which in turn leads to the formation of small-colony variants (SCVs). SCVs are more persistent and are resistant to antibiotics. *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp. inhibit the growth of *S. aureus* by producing hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). *S. aureus* produces staphyloxanthin and catalase, which neutralize the toxic effects of H₂O₂. Additionally, *Lactobacillus* sp. produce organic acids and bacteriocins that limit the growth of *S. aureus*. Certain *S. aureus* strains also produce bacteriocins such as staphylococcin Au 26, which in turn inhibit the growth of lactobacilli. Blocked arrows indicate antagonism, and arrows indicate survival strategies of *S. aureus*.

Multiple copies in the membrane envelope of influenza virus, is responsible for the attachment of the virus particle to sialic acid-containing receptors of the host ciliated columnar epithelial cells. Proteolytic cleavage of the hemagglutinin is an important prerequisite for the infectivity of the influenza virus and for the spread of the virus in the host organism and associated pathogenicity. Several strains of *S. aureus* have been found to secrete serine proteases that activate infectivity of influenza virus by proteolytic cleavage of the hemagglutinin (21).

Cointections of *S. aureus* and influenza virus may lead to severe disease outcome, as influenza virus infection enhances the deleterious effects of staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (TSST-1) (47, 48). SEB and TSST-1 are superantigens that activate T cells in an uncontrolled manner and cause massive systemic release of cytokines. Concurrent *S. aureus* and influenza virus infection induces enterotoxin–mediated massive release of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and gamma interferon (IFN-γ). This results in fever, rash, hypotension, tissue injury, and shock. It has been hypothesized that the lethal synergism between concurrent influenza infection and *S. aureus* superantigen exposure may contribute to sudden and unexpected death from influenza virus infection (49).

**Interactions with other bacteria.** The majority of the interactions between *S. aureus* and other bacterial species are competitive in nature, and only a few interactions are cooperative. Cooperative interactions involving *S. aureus* exist with *H. influenzae* and *E. faecalis*. Competitive interactions are observed between *S. aureus* and other bacteria, viz., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, lactic acid bacteria (LAB), *Corynebacterium* sp., or *S. epidermidis*. That the interactions are competitive does not mean that these organisms completely inhibit the colonization of *S. aureus*; rather, *S. aureus* employs numerous defense strategies for its fibrinogen binding proteins (Efb) that protect *Candida* sp. from PMN-mediated phagocytosis. Coagulase activates prothrombin, which mediates the conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin. Formation of fibrin clots surrounding the candidal cells helps evade phagocytic killing by granulocytes (40). Additionally, Efb binds to C3 complement and interferes with complement activation and C3-mediated opsonization (41). The cooperative infection of *C. albicans* and *S. aureus* represents a significant therapeutic challenge, and their coisolation from blood is an indication of a dire prognosis (42).

Competitive or antagonistic relationships between *C. albicans* and *S. aureus* have also been reported where the farnesol quorum-sensing molecule secreted by *C. albicans* inhibits the biofilm formation of *S. aureus*. Farnesol disrupts the *S. aureus* cell membrane integrity and thereby its viability. Additionally, in vitro results demonstrate that farnesol-treated *S. aureus* showed enhanced susceptibility to a variety of clinically important antibiotics (43). However, it is as yet unclear how much farnesol *C. albicans* secretes under *in vitro* conditions and whether the secreted concentrations are sufficient to inhibit the growth of *S. aureus* in vivo. Nevertheless, all available *in vivo* data suggest that *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* exist in synergy. Apart from *Candida albicans*, *S. aureus* was also isolated together with *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, and *Trichosporon asahii* (44, 45).

**Interactions with influenza virus.** The mechanisms of interaction of *S. aureus* with influenza virus are much more complex than the interactions between *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*. Superinfection of influenza virus and *S. aureus* is one of the major causes of severe influenza pneumonia, prolonged inflammation, and higher mortality rates. This represents the best-known model of bacterial-viral cointection (20).

Influenza virus A infection promotes and enhances the nasopharyngeal adherence of *S. aureus* (46). On the other hand, *S. aureus* promotes the infectivity and spread of the influenza virus particles. Hemagglutinin (HA), a trimeric glycoprotein, present in multiple copies in the membrane envelope of influenza virus, is responsible for the attachment of the virus particle to sialic acid-containing receptors of the host ciliated columnar epithelial cells. Proteolytic cleavage of the hemagglutinin is an important prerequisite for the infectivity of the influenza virus and for the spread of the virus in the host organism and associated pathogenicity. Several strains of *S. aureus* have been found to secrete serine proteases that activate infectivity of influenza virus by proteolytic cleavage of the hemagglutinin (21).

Cointections of *S. aureus* and influenza virus may lead to severe disease outcome, as influenza virus infection enhances the deleterious effects of staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (TSST-1) (47, 48). SEB and TSST-1 are superantigens that activate T cells in an uncontrolled manner and cause massive systemic release of cytokines. Concurrent *S. aureus* and influenza virus infection induces enterotoxin–mediated massive release of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and gamma interferon (IFN-γ). This results in fever, rash, hypotension, tissue injury, and shock. It has been hypothesized that the lethal synergism between concurrent influenza infection and *S. aureus* superantigen exposure may contribute to sudden and unexpected death from influenza virus infection (49).
survival, counterattacking the competing bacteria and surviving in the same ecological niche. Cooperative or competitive interactions lead to the development of more-persistent *S. aureus* strains with altered colony morphology, antibiotic resistance, and increased virulence. The interactions of *S. aureus* with other bacterial species are listed below.

(i) **Interactions with *Haemophilus influenzae***. *S. aureus* and *H. influenzae* both colonize the nasopharynx and, in some instances, the conjunctivae and genital tract. *H. influenzae* reaches higher colony densities when the resident colonizer is *S. aureus*. The higher *H. influenzae* colony densities have been attributed to the availability of nutrients that *S. aureus* provides to facilitate its growth (19). *S. aureus* produces three major hemolysins (α, β, and γ) which lyse erythrocytes by compromising their membrane integrity (50). The hemolysis of erythrocytes by *S. aureus*-secreted hemolysins releases nutrients such as hemin and NAD, which are vital for the growth of *H. influenzae* (51–53). Margolis et al. demonstrated synergistic interactions of *S. aureus* and *H. influenzae* in the rat nasopharynx (19). However, Pettigrew et al. and van den Bergh et al. studied the compositions of nasal microflora among children and have reported antagonism or negative association between *S. aureus* and *H. influenzae* (54, 55). Both of those studies were designed to determine the microflora composition among children in the age group between 6 and 36 months.

(ii) **Interactions with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***. The relation between *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* is competitive in nature, although the two organisms are frequently found together in clinical settings. They have common niches within the host, for example, the lungs of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients, peritoneum of dialysis patients, catheters, diabetic foot wounds, and other type of wounds caused by skin injury or skin burn (44, 56). *S. aureus* is often reported as the primary pathogen infecting the lungs of the CF patients, followed by *P. aeruginosa*. Although coinfections of these pathogens are very common under *in vivo* conditions, several independent *in vitro* studies demonstrated that, when cocultured together, *P. aeruginosa* thrives better than *S. aureus* (57–59).

The better survival of *P. aeruginosa* is attributed to its ability to produce respiratory toxins such as pyocyanin, hydrogen cyanide, and alkyl-hydroxyquinoline N-oxides that can block the electron transport pathway, thereby inhibiting the growth of *S. aureus* and other pathogenic staphylococci (57, 58).

Despite its sensitivity to respiratory inhibitors, *S. aureus* does not get completely cleared away by *P. aeruginosa*. To counter the effect of the respiratory toxins produced by *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* forms electron transport-deficient small-colony variants (SCVs) that grow as tiny, nonpigmented colonies (57). Purified 4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline-N-oxide (H2QNO) or pyocyanin produced by *P. aeruginosa* is sufficient to induce SCV selection in *S. aureus* (57, 59). These SCVs are auxotrophic to hemin or menadione and are resistant to antibiotics, especially aminoglycosides, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazol (60), and the host antimicrobial peptide lactoferricin B (8). The resistance of SCVs is due in part to their severely decreased membrane potential as well as their reduced growth rate and metabolic processes. These SCVs also persist better than their normal counterparts.

*P. aeruginosa* also produces a 20-kDa endopeptidase, LasA, which selectively cleaves *S. aureus* peptidoglycan. LasA cleaves the glycol-glycine and glycol-alanine bonds of the pentaglycine interpeptide bridge in the *S. aureus* peptidoglycan and induces lysis (61, 62). Using the rat model of infection, Mashburn et al. showed that *P. aeruginosa* can lyse *S. aureus* cells and that the iron-containing proteins released from the lysed *S. aureus* cells serve as the source of iron, thereby increasing the pathogenic potential of *P. aeruginosa* (63, 64). However, this result is yet to be validated in clinical settings. *P. aeruginosa* exhibits a similar kind of antagonistic relationship with *S. epidermidis*, as well as with species representatives of *S. haemolyticus*, *S. saprophyticus*, *S. hyicus*, *S. muscae*, and *S. lugdunensis* (58).

(iii) **Interactions with *Streptococcus pneumoniae***. The relation between *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* is antagonistic. *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* colonize the upper respiratory tract of children and compete with each other for the same niche (59, 65, 66). Various studies have shown that colonization of the upper airway by *S. pneumoniae* is negatively correlated with *S. aureus* colonization and that children who are vaccinated with pneumococcal conjugate vaccines are at major risk of *S. aureus* infections (18). This inverse relation suggests that one organism interferes with the colonization of the other. *In vitro* data demonstrate that hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), a byproduct of aerobic metabolism produced by *S. pneumoniae*, is responsible for the antagonistic relationship between these two pathogens (67). H2O2 production leads to the production of DNA-damaging hydroxides through the Fenton reaction that induces the SOS response. The SOS response induces the resident prophages, resulting in the lysis of lysogenic staphylococci. Because the vast majority of *S. aureus* strains are lysogenic, the production of H2O2 is a very effective antistaphylococcal strategy of *S. pneumoniae*. H2O2, at concentrations typically produced by pneumococci, kills lysogenic but not nonlysogenic staphylococci (68). Pneumococci, however, are not SOS induced upon exposure to H2O2 as they are resistant to the DNA-damaging effects of the Fenton reaction (69).

It is interesting that *S. aureus*, which produces so many antioxidants and free radical scavengers, including catalase, alkyl hydroperoxide reductase, superoxide dismutase (SodA and SodM), and staphyloxanthin (16, 70), is susceptible to H2O2 produced by *S. pneumoniae*. A possible explanation could be that the amounts of free radical scavengers that *S. aureus* produces are not sufficient to neutralize all the H2O2 produced by *S. pneumoniae*. Regev-Yochay et al. demonstrated that staphylococcal species that secrete higher concentrations of catalase are resistant to *S. pneumoniae* (67).

However, other studies have offered hypotheses suggesting that the production of hydrogen peroxide may not be the main reason for the antagonistic relationship between these pathogens *in vivo* (71). Although both pathogens colonize the upper respiratory tract, their microniches are different. Therefore, direct antagonism mediated by H2O2 is an unlikely reason for their antagonism. Rather, the antibody response generated during *S. pneumoniae* infection, although ineffective in restricting this pathogen itself, is effective in providing cross-protection against *S. aureus* (71, 72).

(iv) **Interactions with LAB**. The lactic acid bacteria (LAB) consist of a group of heterogeneous bacterial species comprising nonsporulating, Gram-positive cocci and bacilli that are able to ferment sugars predominantly into lactic acid. This leads to acidification of the environment down to a pH of 3.5. LAB colonize the gut and urogenital tract and contribute to defense against *S. aureus*-mediated food poisoning and genital infections. The antistaphylococcal activity of LAB strains is attributed to the production of H2O2, organic acids, antimicrobial proteins, biosurfactants, surface proteins, and quorum-sensing inhibitors. The most
commonly studied members of intestinal and vaginal LAB include Lactobacillus acidophilus, L. rhamnosus, L. gasseri correlate with the absence of indwelling medical devices during surgery and form biofilms. The chronic infections and has an exceptional capacity to attach to the causes severe acute infections, opportunistic and nosocomial pathogens. Unlike rhynebacterium sp. are two of the most important species infecting the skin and nasopharynx. Both organisms are associated with S. aureus infections, S. aureus strains have been divided into 4 major groups, agr-1, agr-4, and S. epidermidis into 3 major groups, agr-1, agr-2, and agr-3. S. epidermidis AIP has been proven to inhibit the activity of agr-1, agr-2, and agr-3, and thereby suppress the expression of virulence factors such as the alpha-toxin, beta-toxin, alpha-toxin, serine protease, DNase, fibrinolysin, enterotoxin B, and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 in S. aureus. Among S. aureus AIPs, only agr-4 weakly inhibits the activity of agr-1 (30, 86).

Additionally, S. epidermidis secretes an extracellular serine protease (Esp) that, alone or in combination with host beta-defensin 2, eliminates S. aureus biofilms. Esp cleaves S. aureus major autolysin (Atl) protein and interferes with its function (87). Activity of Atl is necessary for DNA release and biofilm formation of S. aureus (88). Phenol-soluble modulins (PSM) and bacteriocins (Pep5, epidermin, epilancin K7, and epilancin 280) produced by S. epidermidis inhibit the growth of S. aureus. S. epidermidis-secreted PSM peptides cooperate with each other and with the host antimicrobial peptide, LL-37, to exert selective antimicrobial action against S. aureus (9, 89).

(vi) Interactions with Enterococcus faecalis. The anterior nares are generally considered to be the primary site of colonization of S. aureus; however, low concentrations (<10^5 CFU/g of feces) of this organism cocolonize the intestinal tracts together with E. faecalis in healthy humans. Both S. aureus and E. faecalis normally exist as commensals, but they can turn into opportunistic pathogens causing urinary tract infections, bacteremia, and infective endocarditis (15). Apart from the intestinal tract, E. faecalis and S. aureus are frequently isolated from the respiratory tract, urinary tract, and chronic foot ulcers and from diabetol foot wounds (44). The interaction between E. faecalis and S. aureus is neither truly synergistic nor antagonistic.

Many studies have focused on the mechanisms by which S. aureus acquired the vancomycin resistance gene from E. faecalis. Vancomycin-resistant S. aureus (VRSA) strains emerged due to horizontal transfer of a Tn1546 transposon containing the vanA gene from vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis (90–92). The transposon Tn1546 harboring the vanA gene present on the pAM830 plasmid is related to the Inc18 family of broad-host-range conjugative plasmids and is responsive to the CAM377 plasmid-recipient strains of E. faecalis. CAM377 triggers the process of conjugation, leading to the transfer of the vanA gene from the vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis (donor) strains to the vancomycin-susceptible E. faecalis (recipient) strains (93). S. aureus is also known to secrete a peptide, staph-CAM377 (amino acid sequence AIFILAA), with activity similar to that of E. faecalis CAM377 (amino acid sequence AIFILAS) that triggers the process of conjugation between vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis (donor) and S. aureus (recipient) (94). This conjugation results in the transfer of the vanA gene from E. faecalis to S. aureus. Genetic analysis of several vancomycin-resis-
tant *S. aureus* (VRSA) strains showed that transposon Tn1546 harboring the vanA gene either jumped into a staphylococcal plasmid or integrated into the *S. aureus* chromosome (16, 91, 95). The acquisition of vanA by *S. aureus* resulted in incorporation of d-alanyl-d-lactate (D-Ala-D-Lac) precursors into the peptidoglycan instead of d-alanine-d-alanine (D-Ala-D-Ala). The *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* cell wall harboring the D-Ala-D-Lac precursors has 1,000-fold less affinity for vancomycin, a drug that is considered the last-resort antibiotic to treat methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) infections (96). Interactions between these two bacteria have led to an increase in the numbers of multidrug-resistant staphylococci.

CONCLUSION

Most infections are polymicrobial in nature and can be seen in almost every niche in the human body, particularly in mucosal surfaces, where different species of microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses coexist as communities. *S. aureus* is one of the most common pathogens found in polymicrobial infections. In polymicrobial infections, *S. aureus* differentially modulates host immune responses and disease severity and acquires altered growth and antibiotic susceptibility patterns. The altered immune response during polymicrobial infections could be beneficial or detrimental for *S. aureus*. For example, influenza virus infection inhibits Th17-mediated adaptive immune responses (97). Activated Th17 cells are necessary for protection against *S. aureus* infection, because this subset of T cells enhances neutrophil recruitment to sites of infection and kills *S. aureus* (98, 99). Therefore, Th17-cell-mediated immune activation is necessary to limit *S. aureus* infections. By inhibiting the Th17-cell-mediated immune response and subsequent neutrophil infiltration, influenza virus helps *S. aureus* to colonize and to cause severe secondary bacterial pneumonia (97, 100). In contrast to the immune suppression mediated by influenza virus that aids *S. aureus*, *S. pneumoniae*-mediated immune activation is detrimental to *S. aureus*. The antibody response generated during *S. pneumoniae* infection against its glycolaldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, although ineffective in inducing opsonophagocytic killing of *S. pneumoniae*, can co-react with staphylococcal protein 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase and induce opsonophagocytic killing of *S. aureus* (71, 72). *S. pneumoniae* itself is protected from opsonophagocytic killing due to its antiopsonic polysaccharide capsule.

Additionally, *S. aureus* in polymicrobial infections displays enhanced persistence and antibiotic tolerance. *S. aureus* acquired vancomycin resistance genes from *E. faecalis* and became resistant to vancomycin (16, 91, 95). *S. aureus*, during coinfection with *C. albicans*, showed increased vancomycin resistance (13, 101). This bacterium forms electron transport-deficient small-colony variants during coinfection with *P. aeruginosa* (57, 58). These SCVs persist better than their normal counterparts and are resistant to aminoglycosides and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazolos (102).

A 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine against *S. pneumoniae* which was recently introduced into the market indeed prevented *S. pneumoniae* nasopharyngeal colonization, but the vaccinated individuals were subject to an increased risk of *S. aureus* nasal colonization (72). Therefore, prevention of one pathogenic infection provides opportunities to the competing pathogens to cause disease. These findings highlight the potential complications that could arise from conventional treatment and disease prevention strategies that target a single organism, thereby necessitating the need to introduce modified therapeutic approaches that take into account the coinfesting organisms. Several strategies could be used to address the difficulties in treatment of polymicrobial infections of *S. aureus*. One could be the use of combined vaccines against two or more coinfesting microbes; however, such vaccines are still in the experimental stages. The next approach could be the judicious use of antimicrobial drugs. A coinfection of *S. aureus* and influenza virus should be treated with antiviral and appropriate antibacterial drugs. A third approach is the use of LAB strains to prevent not all but some of the *S. aureus* infections. Probiotic LAB can prevent intestinal and urogenital tract coinfections. Studies have shown that regular intake of probiotic LAB and fermented milk can even reduce *S. aureus* colonization in the upper respiratory tract. Similarly, probiotic LAB species also confer protection against influenza virus by modulating innate immunity. Thus, probiotic bacteria can be used to prevent coinfections of *S. aureus* and influenza virus.

In summary, *S. aureus* in polymicrobial infections represents a clinical challenge greater than that of *S. aureus* in monomicrobial infections. The coexisting microbes significantly influence the outcome of the infection by altering invasion ability, growth, gene expression, and drug sensitivity patterns. Further investigations are required to design appropriate treatment strategies to tackle polymicrobial infections mediated by *S. aureus*.
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