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Haemophilus ducreyi causes chancroid, a genital ulcer disease (GUD) that is common in many developing countries (13, 14, 26, 68, 80, 103). UNAIDS and the World Health Organization estimate that the annual global incidence of chancroid is approximately 6 million cases (108). Although rare in the United States (24), chancroid persists in some urban areas and is frequently not recognized (65). The male-to-female ratio among patients with proven chancroid ranges from 3:1 in areas where it is endemic to as high as 25:1 in outbreak situations (67). Female sex workers serve as a reservoir for H. ducreyi and are thought to play an important part in transmission by infecting many male partners (67).

In addition to the morbidity associated with GUD, chancroid is a public health problem because H. ducreyi and the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) facilitate each other’s transmission (39, 82, 111). In areas of chancroid endemicity, the relative risk of acquiring HIV infection for patients with GUD ranged from an odds ratio of 3 to an odds ratio of 18.2 (39, 82, 111). Conversely, HIV infection increases the risk of acquisition of GUD (20, 52). Per individual sexual act, GUD is estimated to enhance HIV transmission 10- to 100-fold (48, 81). H. ducreyi infection enhances HIV transmission by several possible mechanisms, including establishment of an accessible portal of viral entry, promotion of viral shedding from the ulcer, an increase in the viral load in blood and semen, and recruitment of CD4 cells and macrophages into the skin (34, 51, 55, 58, 89, 111). Mathematical models suggest that the mutual enhancement of transmission of HIV and GUD played a major role in accelerating the HIV epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa (81).

The association between chancroid and HIV transmission stimulated several laboratories to investigate H. ducreyi pathogenesis during the past 15 years. These studies have resulted in the identification of several potential virulence determinants, including lipoooligosaccharides (LOS), which resemble human glycosphingolipids, pili, heat shock proteins, iron-regulated proteins or receptors, outer membrane proteins (OMPs), toxins, and other secreted products. Many of these studies are described in several comprehensive reviews (8, 67, 106); others are presented elsewhere (27, 35, 36, 61, 71, 83, 99, 110). Rather than review potential virulence determinants in detail, we will provide an overall picture of how the organism interacts initially with the human host, a comparison of human and animal models to study H. ducreyi infection, and the role of putative virulence determinants in these models.

THE ORGANISM

H. ducreyi was originally classified as a Haemophilus species because of its growth requirements, biochemical properties, and antigenic relatedness to other species in the group (67). However, by rRNA analysis, H. ducreyi is only remotely related to true haemophili such as Haemophilus influenzae and is now classified in the Actinobacillus cluster (4B) of the Pasteurellaceae (29, 31). Members of the species form a homogeneous DNA hybridization group and share many of the same surface antigens, suggesting that there is limited diversity within the species (23, 67, 106).

Recently, the genome of an H. ducreyi strain that is virulent in humans, 35000HP (HP refers to human passaged) (7, 89), was sequenced (unpublished observations; www.microbial-pathogenesis.org). The genome is composed of a single 1.7-Mb chromosome. A total of 1,693 putative open reading frames (ORFs) have been identified. The closest homologues of 66% of the genes were identified in H. influenzae or Pasteurella multocida as determined by BLAST analyses. Although there is substantial homology observed for many genes, there is little long-range conservation of the order of genes or operons in the chromosome when H. ducreyi is compared to these related species. Additionally, given the different spectrum of diseases caused by the different members of the Pasteurellaceae family, it is perhaps not surprising to note that the genes encoding the H. ducreyi hemolysin (71, 105) and the cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) (27) are absent from the H. influenzae (38) and P. multocida (64) genomes and that there are no homologues of the genes encoding the P. multocida toxin (74) or the Mannheimia (Pasteurella) hemolytica RTX-like leukotoxin genes (21) in the H. ducreyi genome.

NATURAL INFECTION

H. ducreyi is a strict human pathogen and naturally infects genital and nongenital skin, mucosal surfaces, and regional lymph nodes (67). H. ducreyi is thought to enter the skin through breaks in the epithelium that occur during intercourse (67, 96). Lack of circumcision is associated with infection in
men (46, 67). *H. ducreyi* preferentially infects mucosal epithelium but also infects keratinized stratified squamous epithelium (46, 67). The transmission rate per sexual act is unknown. However, 70% of women who are secondary contacts of men with chancroid are infected (77), suggesting that the transmission rate is high. Erythematous papules form at each entry site within several hours to days and evolve into pustules in 2 to 3 days. After several weeks, the pustules ulcerate, and patients develop 1 to 4 painful ulcers and may frequently have suppurative lymphadenopathy. Patients typically do not seek medical attention until they have had ulcers for 1 to 3 weeks (25, 46, 67), probably about 3 to 6 weeks after inoculation. Because chancroid is most prevalent in countries with scarce resources and since the ulcers are quite painful, few biopsies have been done on confirmed chancroidal ulcers (1, 54, 55, 63). Since patients present at the ulcerative stage, little is known about the initial stages of natural infection other than historical information provided by patients.

**HUMAN INFECTION MODEL**

Given that the initial stages of chancroid are not usually painful or associated with regional lymphadenitis and that the organism does not disseminate, as well as historical precedence from the 1940s (32, 45), human inoculation experiments seemed reasonable and ethical. In the current human infection model (7, 89, 90), bacteria are delivered to the epidermis and dermis of the upper arm by puncture wounds made by the tines of an allergy testing device, simulating the presumed natural route of infection, abrasions that occur during intercourse. The estimated delivered dose (EDD) required to initiate papule formation may be as few as 1 to 2 CFU and the effect of EDDs on the probability of papule formation is dose dependent (5) (Fig. 1). Papules form within 24 h of inoculation and evolve into pustules in 2 to 5 days or resolve spontaneously. For subject safety and practicality, subjects are infected until the pustules become painful or ulcerate or until they have been infected for 14 days. Most subjects with pustules experience pain about 7 to 9 days after inoculation, at which time the experiment is terminated.

Although the papule formation rate in males and females is nearly identical, the pustule formation rate is dependent on both EDD (Fig. 1) and gender (16). The rate of pustule formation is statistically significantly higher in males than females (estimated odds ratio for male/female effect, 2.16; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.08 to 4.29) (16). Thus, gender differences in susceptibility to disease progression may contribute to the high male-to-female ratios seen in naturally occurring chancroid. The usual EDDs employed in the human model are on the order of $10^1$ to $10^2$ CFU.

The major strength of the human model is the use of a relevant target of infection, human skin. The kinetics of papule and pustule formation resemble natural infection (7, 67), and the histopathology of experimental lesions is nearly identical to that of natural ulcers (54, 55, 63, 72, 89). However, the model can only be used to study the first 2 weeks of an infection that in nature is probably present for 3 to 6 weeks before patients seek treatment (25, 46, 67). Serum antibody responses and blastogenic responses of peripheral blood mononuclear cells to *H. ducreyi*, which occur late in the ulcerative stage of natural infection (25, 109), do not occur in this time frame (6, 7, 89,
Other limitations of the model are the artificial route of inoculation and the inability to study ulcers, lymphadenitis, mucosal infection, or infection of genital epithelium. Because infection of genital and nongenital keratinized stratified squamous epithelium occurs naturally, infection of the arm is likely a minor limitation.

**BACTERIA-HOST INTERACTIONS IN EXPERIMENTAL INFECTION**

The depth of the abrasion required to initiate natural infection is unknown. Most chancroid occurs on mucosal surfaces, where the epidermis is only a few cells thick and not keratinized, and very superficial abrasions would probably give the organism access to the dermis. A puncture wound is required to initiate infection in the human model, as up to 10^6 CFU placed on intact keratinized arm skin does not cause disease (90). How *H. ducreyi* interacts with the host in the experimental infection model is probably somewhat dependent on where the bacteria are delivered in the skin. The tines of the allergy testing device are 1.9 mm long, and the epidermis of fixed uninfected upper arm skin is up to 0.15 mm thick. Confocal microscopy of biopsies obtained immediately after inoculation with an EDD of 10^3 CFU shows that the bacteria are deposited along the length of the puncture wounds made by the allergy testing device to both the epidermis and the dermis (11). Thus, the bacteria are allowed to interact with the epidermis and dermis in the model, with the caveat that most of the inoculum is likely delivered to the dermis.

Due to the low EDD normally used in the model, bacteria cannot be seen within the first 24 h of infection (11). At 24 h, micropustules are already present in the epidermis of what clinically is a papule. By 48 h, the bacteria are seen in the epidermal micropustules and in the dermis, where they are predominantly extracellular and colocalize with polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs), macrophages, collagen, and fibrin (11) (Fig. 2). At the pustular stage of disease, PMNs coalesce to form an abscess, and the macrophages form a collar at the base of the pustule (11). The relationships between *H. ducreyi* and PMNs, macrophages, collagen, and fibrin are maintained throughout the papular and pustular stages. The bacteria do not appear to interact with keratinocytes, fibroblasts, or dendritic cells throughout experimental infection (11, 12). Thus, evasion of phagocytosis and phagocytic killing appears to be a major mechanism of bacterial survival, while invasion of host cells is not a major feature of pathogenesis in experimental infection.

In addition to the infiltrate of PMNs and macrophages that coalesce to form intraepidermal pustules, a dermal infiltrate of mononuclear cells is recruited within 24 h of infection (Fig. 2) (72). The mononuclear cells consist primarily of macrophages and T cells that are predominantly (60 to 80%) CD4^+ cells of the αβ lineage and that express the memory marker CD45RO. Twenty to 40% of the T cells are CD8^+, and a minor population of B cells, few NK cells, and no plasma cells are present throughout infection. Pustular lesions have increased numbers of dendritic cells in the epidermis and in hair follicles and eccrine ducts (72). This infiltrate is accompanied by HLA-DR expression on mononuclear and dendritic cells and expression of cytokine mRNAs for gamma interferon (IFN-γ), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), and interleukin-8 (IL-8), features that resemble a delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response. IL-2 mRNA is present in all lesions while IL-4 and IL-5 mRNAs are found in 66% of the lesions (72). This histopathology is nearly identical to that of natural infection except that CD4 and CD8 cells are present in equal numbers in chancroidal ulcers (1, 54, 55, 63, 72).

The fact that the mononuclear cell infiltrate in experimental infection occurs within 24 h of inoculation and resembles a DTH response was intriguing in that the volunteers have no prior history of chancroid. Before we had determined the location of the bacteria in the lesions, we had thought that the DTH response suggested the existence of an intracellular life stage, as has been noted for most other organisms that provoke a DTH response (72). We also speculated that epitopes shared by *H. ducreyi* and related members of the *Pasteurellaceae* that colonize humans elicited an infiltrate of cross-reactive memory cells to the skin (72). To determine the antigen specificity of the T cells, 21 T-cell lines were derived from biopsies of pustules obtained at the end point (42). Approximately half of the lines respond to *H. ducreyi* lysates, and these lines are predominantly CD4^+ and produce IFN-γ or IFN-γ and IL-10 but no IL-4 or IL-5 in response to antigen. The lines show little response to antigens prepared from other members of the *Pasteurellaceae* and respond to different fractions of *H. ducreyi* whole cells separated by preparative sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The lack of cross-reactivity and the response of the lines to different antigen fractions suggest that subjects are sensitized to *H. ducreyi* during the course of experimental infection.

**WORKING MODEL OF EXPERIMENTAL INFECTION**

Based on data from the experimental infection model (11, 42, 72, 89), from observations made about *H. ducreyi* in vitro (49), and by analogy to other systems (9, 53, 56, 59, 60, 76, 86, 91), we now propose the following working model of experimental infection. *H. ducreyi* enters the skin through wounds and stimulates keratinocytes, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, melanocytes, or the immunologically reactive cells of the “dermal perivascular unit” to secrete IL-6 and IL-8 (49, 59, 72, 86). IL-8 leads to the accumulation of PMNs and macrophages within the epidermis and dermis (72), while IL-6 induces IL-2 and IL-2 receptor expression in T cells (49) and leads to recruitment of CD4 cells to the lesions (72, 89). Fibrin and collagen deposition occur as part of the normal process of wound repair (11) and provide a matrix for the infiltrating PMNs and macrophages (56). *H. ducreyi* lipoproteins and LOS activate macrophages to secrete IL-12 and TNF-α (72), a potent inducer of E-selectin on the endothelium, which in concert with chemokines produced by the endothelial cells and macrophages select for homing of memory (or effector) cells to the skin within 24 h of inoculation (53, 76, 91). IFN-γ (72) produced by T cells also induces E-selectin on the endothelium (53). IFN-γ and TNF-α (72) stimulate keratinocytes to produce IL-8 and other chemokines, amplifying the process (9). Immature dendritic cells are induced by inflammatory cytokines and bacterial products such as LOS to migrate to the regional lymph nodes (60), where they sensitize naïve T cells to *H. ducreyi* antigens. *H. ducreyi*-specific memory T cells eventually home to the lesion (42).
However, the development of an antigen-specific response does not seem to influence bacterial clearance.

The immune response to *H. ducreyi* has many features of a type 1 response (87), which usually facilitates phagocytosis, antibody responses, and bacterial clearance for extracellular bacterial pathogens. The antigen-specific CD4+ cells recruited to the skin (42) may eventually provide help for the development of antibody responses that usually occur late in the ulcerative stage of disease (25). The possible function of recruited CD8+ cells against this extracellular pathogen is less clear. When the PMNs and macrophages fail to clear the organism, the type 1 response is sustained, and the products released from the phagocytes probably damage the skin. Thus, experimental chancroid is an example of immunopathogenesis.
Early attempts at developing animal models included intradermal injection of large doses (10^7 to 10^9 CFU) of *H. ducreyi* in mice and rabbits housed at room temperature (69, 107). Subsequent studies showed that the bacteria failed to replicate in these models, and disease was likely due to the LOS content of the inoculum (22). *H. ducreyi* does not grow at temperatures above 35°C in vitro. Housing rabbits at reduced temperatures (15 to 17°C) allows *H. ducreyi* to replicate (78). In the temperature-dependent rabbit model (TDRM), intradermal injections of 10^4 to 10^5 CFU in the back yield lesions within 48 h that progress to necrotic eschars within 1 week. Lesions persist up to 2 weeks before resolving. In the macaque model, 10^7 CFU of *H. ducreyi* are injected intradermally into the foreskin of male primates, who develop lesions within 1 to 2 days of infection (104). Exudative ulcers reminiscent of human chancroid develop in 1 to 2 weeks, and inguinal lymphadenopathy develops in most primates. However, female macaques challenged by injection adjacent to the vaginal opening fail to develop ulcers. Administration of exogenous iron decreases the infectious dose required for ulceration by a factor of 10 in primates (95). In the swine model, an EDD of 10^4 CFU of *H. ducreyi* is inoculated on the ears of pigs, using the allergy testing device employed in the human model (50). Papules develop within 2 days of inoculation, pustules form by 7 days, and sites ulcerate by 14 days of infection. Immunosuppression of swine with cyclophosphamide decreases ulceration, suggesting that the immune response contributes to lesion development (84). Table 1 shows a comparison of the TDRM, swine, and macaque models with the human model of infection and naturally occurring chancroid. The larger doses or EDDs required for infection in the animal models suggest that *H. ducreyi* is a less efficient pathogen for animals than for humans.

PMNs and lymphocytes are recruited to infected sites in the TDRM, macaque, and swine models (50, 78, 104). In contrast to the human model and to most recent studies of natural infection (1, 54, 55, 63, 72), numerous plasma cells are present in ulcers of the macaque model. Few plasma cells are present in ulcers from the TDRM. The presence or absence of B and plasma cells has not been determined in the swine model (50). Numerous T cells are seen in lesions from the swine model and in the TDRM, provided that rabbits are immunized with adjuvants or candidate vaccines prior to challenge (30). Macrophages are present in the swine and macaque models but their presence is not reported in the TDRM. The overall histopathology of lesions in the swine model is most similar to human experimental infection (50, 89), perhaps in part because the bacteria are inoculated in the same manner in these two models.

All three species of animals develop serum antibodies to *H. ducreyi* antigens within 1 to 2 weeks of infection (50, 78, 104). No serum antibody response develops after 2 weeks of human experimental infection, even in subjects who are challenged twice (6, 72, 89). Antibody responses in naturally infected patients seem to develop after 3 weeks of ulceration (25). Thus, serum antibody responses appear to be delayed in humans relative to the experimental animal models.

There is little evidence that natural infection confers protective immunity to *H. ducreyi* in that patients may be infected...
repeatedly (15, 46, 67). In small studies, neither humans nor swine are protected from rechallenge with the homologous strain after experimental infection (6, 50). Rabbits are protected from rechallenge with the homologous strain after experimental infection (47); this issue has not been addressed in macaques.

By immunoelectron microscopy, H. ducreyi are present in ulcers in the swine model (84). The bacteria are rare and found primarily in and near necrotic macrophages, PMNs, and keratinocytes. No localization studies have been reported with the macaque model or TDRM. Thus, few comparisons can be made between the animal models and the human experimental model in terms of host-bacterial interactions.

Several vaccine trials have been performed in the TDRM. Partial protection against subsequent challenge is seen with the recombinant D15 antigen, purified pilus (FtpA), recombinant hemolysin, and outer membrane vesicles (30, 33, 47, 100). LOS affords no protection (30). The mechanism(s) of protection for these vaccines in the TDRM is not yet established, and the significance of these findings for human disease is unclear.

A point of confusion in the literature regarding the human and animal models is that different criteria are used to define disease. In the human model, papules, pustules, and ulcers are determined by the clinical appearance of the lesions, a necessity in a clinical trial (72, 89, 90). Thus, the papule and pustule formation rates reported for the human model are clinical outcomes. The TDRM generally uses an integer scoring system ranging from 0 (no disease) to 4 (necrosis or ulcer) and also measures clinical outcomes (30, 78). Outcomes in the swine model are measured by a histologic scoring system ranging from 0 (no disease) to 5 (ulceration or epidermal necrosis and dermal erosion accompanied by confluence of immune cells) (85). Lesions in the swine model may achieve a score of 5 between days 2 and 14 (50, 84). A criticism of the human model is that it studies only the early stages of infection. However, pustules in the human model, which clinically appear as early as 2 days after inoculation, histologically resemble ulcers in the swine scoring system.

**ROLE OF BACTERIAL COMPONENTS IN DISEASE**

To test the role of putative virulence determinants in the human model, we performed several mutant-parent comparisons in the 35000 or 35000HP background. In these trials, subjects are inoculated with multiple doses of the parent on one arm and an isogenic mutant on the other arm and serve as their own controls. A group of subjects is usually challenged with an EDD of the parent that causes a pustule formation rate of 70% (approximately 70 CFU) and with twofold serial dilutions of the mutant that span the parent dose (140, 70, and 35 CFU). If we observe similar pustule formation rates at sites infected with both the mutant and the parent, we repeat the experiment. If the results are confirmed, we conclude that there is no major difference in the virulence of the mutant and the parent and terminate the trial. If pustules do not develop at sites inoculated with the mutant, we increase the dose of the mutant in the next group(s) of subjects until the EDD of the mutant is at least 10-fold higher than that of the parent. These trials are usually accomplished with six to nine subjects and are not powered to detect a partial role of a virulence determinant in pustule formation.

We have completed 12 isogenic mutant-parent comparisons in the human model. Mutants that lack the hemoglobin receptor (HgbA), peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL), or an OMP that is the major known determinant of serum resistance (DsrA) form papules at rates similar to those of the parent but are attenuated in pustule formation (4, 17, 40) (Table 2). Surprisingly, mutants that do not make hemolysin, CDT, both hemolysin and CDT, sialylated or paragloboside-like LOS, the major outer membrane protein (MOMP), fine tangled pili (FtpA), and superoxide dismutase C form papules and pustules at rates similar to those of the parent (3, 16a, 73, 101, 113–115). Thus, the human model can discriminate between virulent and attenuated isolates, but many putative virulence determinants are not required for pustule formation in experimental infection.

Are the results of these trials consistent with the working model of pathogenesis? The DsrA mutant is highly sensitive to the killing of normal human serum (36) and may have been killed by serum that transudates into the wound. The data suggest that the hemoglobin receptor is required for efficient heme transport in vivo and that in the absence of HgbA, the organism was likely starved for heme and/or iron and then died. These mutants are cleared, and the recruitment of inflammatory cells to the skin is not sustained. PAL is a major lipoprotein in the H. ducreyi outer membrane, and the PAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virulence determinant</th>
<th>Dose range (CFU)</th>
<th>No. of sites</th>
<th>Formation rate (% [P value])</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin receptor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>48–60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>89 (1.0)</td>
<td>Elkins</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>20–500</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>89 (0.00001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>41–89</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Spinola</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>28–800</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>93 (0.36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsrA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>70–80</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92 (0.0004)</td>
<td>Elkins</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>35–800</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>67 (0.0001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For simplicity, the 95% CI for papule and pustule formation rates are not shown.
mutant has an unstable outer membrane (40). Lipoproteins usually have major proinflammatory effects, including the ability to initiate innate and adaptive immunity through activation of Toll-like receptors on macrophages (19, 62, 66). The PAL mutant may have been attenuated because it was structurally less fit to evade the host response and/or because it may not elicit as vigorous an inflammatory response as the parent.

Why did so many putative virulence determinants have little role in experimental human infection? An obvious reason is that the organism has redundant virulence mechanisms. For example, *H. ducreyi* expresses two OmpA homologues, called MOMP and OmpA2 (57), and lack of expression of MOMP may not have been sufficient to affect virulence. A second possibility is that a particular virulence determinant may not be required for pustule formation but is required at a later stage of infection, which cannot be studied in the human model. Alternatively, the bacteria are forcibly introduced by the times of the allergy testing device into the skin. The function of a candidate adhesion, such as a pilus, may be masked by the route of inoculation (3).

There are several discrepancies between the human challenge model and in vitro models that are used to identify candidate virulence determinants. In most in vitro assays, 10⁵ to 10⁷ CFU are usually allowed to interact with 10⁵ eukaryotic cells. In the human model, subjects are usually inoculated with less than 100 CFU. The in vitro models may be showing the effects of pharmacological doses of the organism relative to the physiological doses that cause human infection. Experimental human infection also seems to utilize host cell targets in a way that is different from in vitro models. For example, the paragloboside residues of *H. ducreyi* LOS mediate attachment to and invasion of keratinocytes (43), and CDT and hemolysin have cytopathic effects for epithelial cells or fibroblasts in vitro (27, 28, 70, 112). In the human challenge model, neither keratinocytes nor fibroblasts seem to be a major target of bacterial attachment or invasion. The bacteria are quickly surrounded by PMNs and macrophages within 24 to 48 h of inoculation, and rapid formation of micropustules may effectively preclude the bacteria from major interactions with keratinocytes and fibroblasts during pustule formation. CDT and hemolysin cause lymphocyte death in vitro (41, 98, 112). However, CDT does not affect the metabolic activity of PMNs or the phagocytic capacity of PMNs (98), and hemolysin does not lyse PMNs (112). If the primary strategy for bacterial survival in the model is evasion of phagocytosis and the lymphocytic response does not greatly influence bacterial clearance, an isolate that cannot make CDT and/or hemolysin would not be impaired in its ability to cause papules and pustules.

Due to subject safety considerations, the experimental model cannot address the potential role of any putative virulence determinants at the ulcerative stage of disease. For example, it is possible that CDT and hemolysin contribute to the chronic nature of the chancroidal ulcer by killing fibroblasts and epithelial cells, which are intimately involved in wound healing.

Isogenic mutants have also been tested for virulence in the TDRM and swine models of infection. To our knowledge, eight isogenic mutants tested in the human model have also been tested in the TDRM (*flpa, lgbB/losB*), the swine model (*cdtC, hhdB, hgbA/hupa*), or both animal models (*cdtC, hhdB*). The results in the animal models are in general consistent with those seen in the human model (3, 4, 16a, 33, 73, 85, 92–94, 114, 115; Thomas C. Kawula, personal communication; I. Leduc, D. W. Cameron, and S. M. Spinola, Program Abstr. 12th Meet. Int. Soc. Sex. Transm. Dis. Res., abstr. P386, p. 126, 1997). However, the *sodC* mutant, which is attenuated for survival in swine (85), is not attenuated in the human model for either pustule development or bacterial survival (16a). As stated earlier, *H. ducreyi* does not seem to be as efficient a pathogen for animals as for humans, and the mutant-parent comparison trials in the human model are not designed to detect partial contributions to pustule formation. A relatively small decrease in virulence may lead to a more noticeable pathogenic change in the animal models.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Although *H. ducreyi* is extracellular in the human model, we do not know if the relationships established in experimental infection are true for natural infection. Bacterial localization studies have not been done in the available animal models, and we do not know the extent to which these models resemble experimental or natural human infection. Thus, it is critical to examine biopsies from patients with culture-proven chancroid to determine the relationship between *H. ducreyi* and the host at the ulcerative stage and to do similar studies in the animal models. These data will help put the experimental models in perspective and aid in the development of relevant in vitro models.

Several of the steps outlined in the working model of experimental infection are hypothetical. We are presently determining which chemokine-chemokine receptor pathways are responsible for homing of both naive and memory cells to sites of experimental infection. We are especially interested in determining if the coreceptors for HIV entry into CD4-positive cells, CCR5 and CXCR4, are upregulated on T cells and macrophages that infiltrate experimental lesions. We are also pursuing detailed cytokine analysis on the single-cell level to define the nature of the activation state of the CD4 and CD8 cells within lesions. The study of the host response to experimental *H. ducreyi* infection should give insights into basic mechanisms of pathogen-induced inflammation in the skin.

A potential use of the human challenge model will be to determine the effects of in vivo growth on bacterial gene transcription during human infection. In vivo, *H. ducreyi* has a minimal doubling time of 16.5 ± 3.8 h (102). After 10 to 12 bacterial generations, approximately 10⁵ CFU are present in pustules. Bacterial transcripts consistently can be amplified by reverse transcription-PCR from samples containing 10² CFU (102). Amplification techniques, such as selective capture of transcribed sequences (44), together with the genome sequence of 35000HP will allow us to examine whether specific *H. ducreyi* genes are differentially transcribed in vivo and may provide additional insights into *H. ducreyi* virulence as well as the function of the numerous unannotated genes in the genome.

Importantly, *H. ducreyi* is surrounded by PMNs and macrophages but is able to resist phagocytosis in experimental infection (11, 12). Resistance does not appear to be mediated by the major LOS glycoforms, since a mutant whose LOS consists
only of the heptose trisaccharide core and 2-keto-3-deoxyoctulosonic acid (KDO) is fully virulent in the human challenge model (113). However, while the H. ducreyi glycosyltransferases responsible for synthesis of all of the LOS glycoforms visible on silver-stained sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis gels have been identified (10, 18, 37, 43, 93; 97; S. Sun, N. K. Scheffler, B. W. Gibson, J. Wang, and R. S. Munson, Jr., submitted for publication), there are several homologues of H. influenzae lsg glycosyltransferase genes (2, 75, 88) present in the genome. It has been proposed that H. ducreyi produces a loose capsular structure (8), but there is no capsule-like gene cluster in the genome sequence and it is unlikely that H. ducreyi elaborates a classical capsule. Many of the genes responsible for the synthesis of the enterobacterial common antigen-like polysaccharide (79) are present in the H. ducreyi genome. It will be interesting to determine whether the carbohydrate products produced by these newly identified glycosyltransferases play a role in resistance to phagocytosis by PMNs.

Given the data from the human challenge trials, there should be some optimism about the prospects for vaccine development. Antibodies that are bactericidal or promote opsonophagocytosis may afford protection against infection. Although the organism seems to have prevented the host from developing an effective immune response, the challenge will be to select immunogens that evoke responses that lead to organism clearance and protection from experimental challenge. If such immunogens are identified, they can be subsequently tested in the field.
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