Ursodeoxycholic Acid (UDCA) Mitigates the Host Inflammatory Response during *Clostridioides difficile* Infection by Altering Gut Bile Acids
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**ABSTRACT** *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) is associated with increasing morbidity and mortality posing an urgent threat to public health. Recurrence of CDI after successful treatment with antibiotics is high, thus necessitating discovery of novel therapeutics against this enteric pathogen. Administration of the secondary bile acid ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA; ursodiol) inhibits the life cycles of various strains of *C. difficile in vitro*, suggesting that the FDA-approved formulation of UDCA, known as ursodiol, may be able to restore colonization resistance against *C. difficile in vivo*. However, the mechanism(s) by which ursodiol is able to restore colonization resistance against *C. difficile* remains unknown. Here, we confirmed that ursodiol inhibits *C. difficile* R20291 spore germination and outgrowth, growth, and toxin activity in a dose-dependent manner *in vitro*. In a murine model of CDI, exogenous administration of ursodiol resulted in significant alterations in the bile acid metabolome with little to no changes in gut microbial community structure. Ursodiol pretreatment resulted in attenuation of CDI pathogenesis early in the course of disease, which coincided with alterations in the cecal and colonic inflammatory transcriptome, bile acid-activated receptors nuclear farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and transmembrane G-protein-coupled membrane receptor 5 (TGR5), which are able to modulate the innate immune response through signaling pathways such as NF-κB. Although ursodiol pretreatment did not result in a consistent decrease in the *C. difficile* life cycle *in vivo*, it was able to attenuate an overly robust inflammatory response that is detrimental to the host during CDI. Ursodiol remains a viable nonantibiotic treatment and/or prevention strategy against CDI. Likewise, modulation of the host innate immune response via bile acid-activated receptors FXR and TGR5 represents a new potential treatment strategy for patients with CDI.
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episode of CDI (14). Unfortunately antibiotic treatment can further disrupt the gut microbial community, and recurrence of CDI after cessation of antibiotics is high, occurring in 20 to 30% of patients (3, 15–18). Even fidaxomicin, a bactericidal antibiotic against \textit{C. difficile}, alters the intestinal microbial community structure, just to a lesser extent than vancomycin does (19). Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) is a highly effective treatment for recurrent CDI; however, the long-term ramifications of this conditionally FDA-approved procedure are unknown (20, 21). A potential mechanism by which FMT leads to resolution of CDI in a mouse model (22, 23) and in humans (13) is by restoring microbe-derived secondary bile acids.

Secondary bile acids, such as deoxycholic acid (DCA), lithocholic acid (LCA), and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA; ursodiol), are produced as a collaborative effort by the host (production of primary bile acids) and the gut microbiota (biochemical modification of host primary bile acids into secondary bile acids) (24, 25). Our group and others have demonstrated that numerous microbe-derived secondary bile acids, including UDCA, are able to inhibit spore germination, growth, and toxin activity of various \textit{C. difficile} strains \textit{in vitro} (26, 27). We also know that secondary bile acids are associated with colonization resistance against \textit{C. difficile} in mice and humans (10, 13, 28). Furthermore, oral UDCA administration successfully prevented recurrence of \textit{C. difficile} ileal pouchitis in a single patient (29), suggesting that exogenous administration of the secondary bile acid UDCA may be able to restore colonization resistance against \textit{C. difficile} \textit{in vivo} (29).

UDCA is available in an FDA-approved formulation known as ursodiol (30). Ursodiol is used to treat a variety of hepatic and biliary diseases due to its myriad of beneficial effects, including anticholestatic, antifibrotic, antiproliferative, and anti-inflammatory properties (31–40). Additionally, off-label use of ursodiol is currently in phase 4 clinical trials for the prevention of recurrent CDI (41). It is well established that ursodiol alters the hepatic, biliary, and serum bile acid pools (31, 42). However, it remains unclear whether and how exogenously administered ursodiol alters the indigenous gut microbial community structure and/or bile acid metabolome, which contributes to colonization resistance against \textit{C. difficile}.

On the basis of our previous work with UDCA (26), we hypothesized that ursodiol would directly inhibit different stages of the \textit{C. difficile} life cycle and ameliorate disease when administered to mice in a model of CDI. Due to their detergent-like properties, bile acids can also directly alter the gut microbial composition, subsequently altering bile acid metabolism and host physiology (43). Gastrointestinal cross talk between bile acids and the gut microbiota is well recognized (reviewed by Wahlström et al.) (43), but the impact of ursodiol on these interactions remains unknown. Similarly, the central role bile acids play in regulation of the innate immune response is growing (reviewed by Fiorucci et al. [44]). Because of this, we also investigated the indirect effects or the extent to which the impact of ursodiol on CDI correlated with changes in the gut microbiota, bile acid metabolome, and the host inflammatory response.

Here, we show that ursodiol is able to significantly decrease \textit{C. difficile} spore germination, growth, and toxin activity in a dose-dependent manner \textit{in vitro}, but not to the same level \textit{in vivo}. In \textit{C. difficile}-infected mice, ursodiol pretreatment resulted in attenuation of CDI pathogenesis early in the course of disease, which coincided with alterations in gut bile acids, the host inflammatory transcriptome, and gene expression of bile acid-activated receptors nuclear farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and transmembrane G-protein-coupled membrane receptor 5 (TGR5), which are able to modulate the innate immune response through signaling pathways such as NF-\(\kappa\)B. Although ursodiol pretreatment did not restore colonization resistance against \textit{C. difficile}, it attenuated an overly robust host inflammatory response that is detrimental to the host during CDI. Together, these results suggest that ursodiol remains a viable nonantibiotic treatment and/or prevention strategy against CDI by acting on the FXR-FGR axis via NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling. Targeting the bile acid-activated receptors FXR and TGR5 represents a novel approach for the development of therapies for the prevention and treatment of CDI.
RESULTS

Ursodiol directly inhibits different stages of the *C. difficile* life cycle *in vitro*. To determine the direct effects of ursodiol on the life cycles of clinically relevant *C. difficile* strain R20291, *in vitro* assays to assess spore germination and outgrowth, growth, and toxin activity were performed (Fig. 1). Taurocholic acid (TCA)-mediated spore germination and outgrowth of *C. difficile* were significantly inhibited by ursodiol in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A, blue bars). No significant difference was observed between positive controls, sterile ethanol, and water supplemented with 0.1% TCA (Fig. 1A, gray line). As expected, the negative control, 0.04% chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) supplemented with 0.1% TCA resulted in significant inhibition of spore germination and outgrowth (Fig. 1A, red bar). CDCA is a known inhibitor of spore germination (45).

*C. difficile* growth decreased when exposed to ursodiol at 8, 12, and 24 h in a dose-dependent manner compared to the ethanol control (Fig. 1B, blue lines). For the 3.82 mM concentration of ursodiol, a significantly lower optical density (OD) compared to the ethanol control was observed at time points 8, 12, and 24 h (*P* < 0.05, *P* < 0.001, and *P* < 0.0001, respectively). For the 0.76 mM ursodiol treatment, a significantly lower OD compared to the ethanol control was observed at time points 12 and 24 h (*P* < 0.0001 for both time points), and for the 0.076 mM ursodiol treatment at time
point 24 h ($P = 0.0208$). No significant difference in OD between the ethanol control and treatments was noted at time point 0 or 4 h. The highest concentration of ursodiol (7.64 mM) interfered with OD measurement and was not included in the analysis.

Since OD measurements do not capture viability and spore formation of \textit{C. difficile}, we also did differential plating to evaluate the CFU per milliliter at each time point (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). At 24 h, ursodiol inhibited \textit{C. difficile} total vegetative cells and spores compared to the ethanol control. The highest concentration of ursodiol (7.64 mM) had a significant and immediate inhibitory effect on \textit{C. difficile} viability compared to ethanol at time zero. Ursodiol treatment also significantly decreased \textit{C. difficile} spores.

Toxin activity was not detected until 8 h of \textit{C. difficile} growth (Fig. 1C). Higher concentrations of ursodiol resulted in significant decreases in \textit{C. difficile} toxin activity compared to the ethanol control at 8, 12, and 24 h (Fig. 1C, blue bars). Using a Spearman’s correlation ($r$), the CFU/milliliter and toxin activity at 8 and 12 h were significantly correlated (8 h, $r = 0.6399$, $P = 0.0042$; 12 h, $r = 0.6981$, $P = 0.0013$), suggesting that low toxin activity is due to decreased growth.

\textbf{Ursodiol alters the fecal bile acid metabolome with minimal change to the microbiota.} Since the gut microbiota and bile acids mediate colonization resistance against \textit{C. difficile}, we aimed to determine how different doses of ursodiol administered alone impacts the bacteria in our antibiotic-treated mouse model. C57BL/6J mice were administered three doses of ursodiol (50, 150, and 450 mg/kg of body weight/day) via oral gavage for 14 days (Fig. 2A). Mice were also concurrently administered cefoperazone (in drinking water \textit{ad libitum}) for 5 days in order to mimic the CDI mouse model. Paired fecal samples were collected from the same mice serially over the 14-day period to evaluate how ursodiol affected the gut microbial community structure and bile acid metabolome.

The fecal microbial community structures of all treatment groups were significantly different from pretreatment at all time points, based on an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) performed for each day (Fig. 2B). There were no significant differences between the fecal microbial community structures based on ursodiol pretreatment. The shifts in the fecal microbiota seen in the nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) were predominantly due to the cefoperazone treatment and experiment day, and not a result of the ursodiol pretreatment.

Targeted bile acid analysis via ultraperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) detected 38 bile acids in the feces of mice. During the first 9 days of the experiment (day −2 to day 7), the fecal bile acid profiles of mice cluster based on the dose of ursodiol administered (Fig. 2C). Ursodiol dramatically alters the fecal bile acid metabolome compared to pretreatment as seen in Fig. 2D and Table S3 in the supplemental material. Bile acids that significantly changed over the course of the experiment in mice that received ursodiol compared to mice that received only cefoperazone included tauro-β-muricholic acid (TβMCA), taurocholic acid (TCA), tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), β-muricholic acid (βMCA), and UDCA (Fig. S2, two-way analysis of variance [ANOVA] with Tukey’s multiple-comparison posthoc test performed on each experiment day, $P$ values ranged from $P < 0.0001$ to $P = 0.0012$).

\textbf{Ursodiol attenuates disease in mice early during \textit{C. difficile} infection.} Due to the potent inhibitory effect of ursodiol on \textit{C. difficile} in vitro, and increased gastrointestinal bile acids \textit{in vivo}, we sought to determine the extent to which pretreatment with ursodiol influences the natural course of CDI in a reproducible mouse model. Since supraphysiologic UDCA intestinal concentrations were achieved in Fig. 2D when mice were dosed with 450 mg/kg/day of ursodiol, we selected this dose (46).

All C57BL/6J mice in each group received cefoperazone in their drinking water from day −7 to day −2 with a 2-day washout on normal drinking water. Control groups included two uninfected groups that received cefoperazone and no ursodiol (Cef only), and cefoperazone with ursodiol (Cef + U450) (Fig. 3A). An additional control group received cefoperazone and no ursodiol, with \textit{C. difficile} challenge (C. \textit{diff} only). Mice were
orally gavaged with ursodiol (450 mg/kg in corn oil vehicle) daily for 7 days prior to challenge with \textit{C. difficile} on day 0, and throughout the infection (\textit{C. diff}/H11001 U450) (Fig. 3A). Two groups of mice (\textit{C. diff} only and \textit{C. diff}/H11001 U450) were challenged with 105 spores of \textit{C. difficile} R20291 on day 0 and within 24 h were colonized with greater than 107 CFU per gram of feces (data not shown). Mice challenged with \textit{C. difficile} with and without ursodiol pretreatment lost a significant amount of their baseline weight by day 2 postchallenge (\textit{C. diff} only [red circles] and \textit{C. diff} + U450) (Fig. 3A). Two groups of mice (\textit{C. diff} only and \textit{C. diff} + U450) were challenged with 10^5 spores of \textit{C. difficile} R20291 on day 0 and within 24 h were colonized with greater than 10^7 CFU per gram of feces (data not shown). Mice challenged with \textit{C. difficile} with and without ursodiol pretreatment lost a significant amount of their baseline weight by day 2 postchallenge (\textit{C. diff} only [red circles] and \textit{C. diff} + U450) (Fig. 3B). Additionally, there was no significant difference in weight loss between these groups over the course of the experiment (based on two-way ANOVA). However, mice pretreated with ursodiol (\textit{C. diff} + U450) had a 24- to 36-h delay in clinical signs (lethargy, inappetence, diarrhea, and hunched posture) related to CDI compared to untreated mice (\textit{C. diff} only). Uninfected control mice (Cef only and Cef + U450) revealed no clinical signs of disease during the experiment and remained \textit{C. difficile} free. The Cef only group of mice displayed weight gain over the course of the experiment as expected (Fig. 3B, gray circles). The Cef + U450 group did have significant weight loss compared to Cef only mice at day 5 and day 6 of the experiment (two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple-comparison post hoc test; \( P = 0.0013 \) and \( P = 0.0001 \), respectively; Fig. 3B, orange circles). However, no significant difference was noted between these groups.

**FIG 2** Ursodiol does not alter the gut microbiota but does alter the bile acid metabolome. (A) Groups of C57BL/6J mice (eight mice/treatment group) were given cefoperazone in their drinking water \textit{ad libitum} for 5 days alone (Cef only) and or with ursodiol at three doses (50, 150, and 450 mg/kg) given daily via oral gavage for 14 days. Three mice per treatment group were selected for further microbiome and bile acid metabolomic analysis. (B) NMDS ordination of the fecal microbial communities from mice treated and untreated with ursodiol was calculated from Yue and Clayton dissimilarity metric (\( d_{\text{YC}} \)) on OTU at a 97% cutoff. (C) NMDS ordination illustrates dissimilarity indices via Horn distances between the bile acid profiles of fecal samples from treated and untreated mice. (D) A heatmap of bile acid concentrations present in nanomoles per gram of feces, ranging from 0 to 38,221.91 (nmol/g feces). Asterisks next to bile acids indicate the bile acids that were significantly altered during ursodiol treatment. Abbreviations: GCDCA, glycochenodeoxycholic acid; GUDCA, glycoursodeoxycholic acid; GLCA, glycolithocholic acid; GDCA, glycodeoxycholic acid; TβMCA, tauro-β-muricholic acid; TαMCA, tauro α-muricholic acid; TCA, taurocholic acid; TCDCA, taurochenodeoxycholic acid; THCA, taurohyodeoxycholic acid; TUDCA, tauroursodeoxycholic acid; TDCA, taurodeoxycholic acid; CA, cholic acid; CDCA, chenodeoxycholic acid; HCA, hyodeoxycholic acid; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid; LCA, lithocholic acid; iLCA, isolithocholic acid; DCA, deoxycholic acid; iDCA, isodeoxycholic acid.

**FIG 3** (A) Groups of C57BL/6J mice (eight mice/treatment group) were given cefoperazone in their drinking water \textit{ad libitum} for 5 days alone (Cef only) and or with ursodiol at three doses (50, 150, and 450 mg/kg) given daily via oral gavage for 14 days. Three mice per treatment group were selected for further microbiome and bile acid metabolomic analysis. (B) NMDS ordination of the fecal microbial communities from mice treated and untreated with ursodiol was calculated from Yue and Clayton dissimilarity metric (\( d_{\text{YC}} \)) on OTU at a 97% cutoff. (C) NMDS ordination illustrates dissimilarity indices via Horn distances between the bile acid profiles of fecal samples from treated and untreated mice. (D) A heatmap of bile acid concentrations present in nanomoles per gram of feces, ranging from 0 to 38,221.91 (nmol/g feces). Asterisks next to bile acids indicate the bile acids that were significantly altered during ursodiol treatment. Abbreviations: GCDCA, glycochenodeoxycholic acid; GUDCA, glycoursodeoxycholic acid; GLCA, glycolithocholic acid; GDCA, glycodeoxycholic acid; TβMCA, tauro-β-muricholic acid; TαMCA, tauro α-muricholic acid; TCA, taurocholic acid; TCDCA, taurochenodeoxycholic acid; THCA, taurohyodeoxycholic acid; TUDCA, tauroursodeoxycholic acid; TDCA, taurodeoxycholic acid; CA, cholic acid; CDCA, chenodeoxycholic acid; αMCA, α-muricholic acid; βMCA, β-muricholic acid; CA, cholic acid; CDCA, chenodeoxycholic acid; αMCA, α-muricholic acid; HCA, hyodeoxycholic acid; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid; LCA, lithocholic acid; iLCA, isolithocholic acid; DCA, deoxycholic acid; iDCA, isodeoxycholic acid.
Ursodiol attenuates disease early during C. difficile infection. (A) Groups of C57BL/6J mice (12 mice/treatment group) were given cefoperazone in their drinking water *ad libitum* for 5 days alone and or with ursodiol at 450 mg/kg given daily via oral gavage for 14 days. Two groups of mice were then challenged with 10⁵ spores of C. difficile via oral gavage at day 0. Mice were monitored for weight loss and clinical signs of CDI from day 0 to day 7. Necropsy was performed (Continued on next page)
by day 7 (Fig. 3B). An additional control group of mice challenged with *C. difficile* and pretreated with the vehicle corn oil alone (*C. diff* + corn oil) displayed no significant difference in disease outcome compared to the *C. diff* only group (Fig. S3).

Mice pretreated with ursodiol (*C. diff* + U450) had equivalent total cecal bacterial loads of *C. difficile* (CFU/gram of cecal content) compared to untreated mice (*C. diff* only) (Fig. 3C). However, mice pretreated with ursodiol had a significant reduction in *C. difficile* spores within cecal content at day 2 compared to untreated mice (log transferred CFU/g content, two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison post hoc test, \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig. 3C). The ceca of mice remained persistently colonized with *C. difficile* throughout the 7-day experiment. At day 4, *C. difficile* toxin activity within cecal content was significantly reduced in ursodiol-pretreated compared to untreated mice (Student’s \( t \) test corrected with the Holm-Sidak method for multiple comparisons, \( P = 0.014 \); Fig. 3D).

Histopathologic evaluation of cecal and colonic tissue revealed no significant difference in total histologic score between ursodiol-pretreated and untreated mice (Fig. S4). When assessing individual parameters, there was no significant difference between epithelial damage or inflammatory infiltrate between the treatment groups. At day 2, mice pretreated with ursodiol had a significant reduction in edema score (scored 0 to 4) in both cecal and colonic tissue compared to untreated mice (Student’s \( t \) test corrected with the Holm-Sidak method for multiple comparisons, \( P = 0.00025 \) and \( P = 0.0049 \), respectively; Fig. 3E and F).

**Ursodiol alters the host inflammatory transcriptome early during CDI.** Since there were minimal changes in the *C. difficile* life cycle early during infection to explain the decrease in edema, we wanted to examine the impact of ursodiol pretreatment on the host inflammatory response during CDI. We interrogated transcriptional changes related to the cecal and colonic inflammatory response at day 2 postchallenge using a mouse-specific panel of inflammatory markers (Nanostring nCounter) that included 264 transcripts. After processing the raw data, mRNA log2 normalized z-scores for 261 genes were obtained and genes significantly altered by ursodiol pretreatment compared to untreated mice with CDI were evaluated. Ursodiol-mediated alterations in gene expression fold change were different between cecal and colonic tissue (Fig. 4 and Fig. S5). In cecal tissue, 173 genes had a significant expression fold change when comparing ursodiol-pretreated mice to untreated mice, with increased expression in 74 genes and decreased expression in 99 genes (Fig. 4A and B and Tables S4 and S5). In colonic tissue, 139 genes had a significant expression fold change when comparing ursodiol-pretreated to untreated mice, with increased expression in 58 genes and decreased expression in 81 genes (Fig. S5A and B and Tables S4 and S5).

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) identified NF-κB signaling as an inflammatory canonical pathway in both cecum and colon significantly altered in ursodiol-pretreated mice (\( P < 0.0001 \) for both tissues). Based on unsupervised clustering, genes involved with NF-κB signaling in ursodiol-pretreated mice clustered distinctly and separately from untreated mice in both cecal and colonic tissue (Fig. 4C and Fig. S5C). Differences in individual gene expression fold changes were noted in NF-κB signaling between the cecal and colonic tissue (Fig. 4D and Fig. S5D). In both tissues, components important for mediating the host inflammatory response to CDI, such as interleukin 1 receptor 1 (IL-1R1) and various Toll-like receptors (TLRs), were significantly decreased in expression in the ursodiol-pretreated mice compared to untreated mice at day 2 (Fig. 4D and Fig. 4).
Collectively, these results suggest that ursodiol pretreatment in CDI mice alters the inflammatory transcriptome in cecal and colonic tissue by impacting essential host inflammatory signaling pathways, such as NF-κB, early in the course of CDI.

**Ursodiol induces bile acid transport and signaling via FXR/FGF15 pathway.**

Since ursodiol treatment alters the bile acid metabolome in mice (Fig. 2D) and bile acids are known to modulate signaling via the nuclear bile acid receptor FXR and the plasma membrane receptor TGR5 (47), we sought to define transcriptional changes in bile acid transport and signaling via the FXR/FGF15 (fibroblast growth factor 15) pathway. We selected seven genes in the FXR/FGF15 pathway to interrogate within cecal and colonic tissue using a customized murine panel (Nanostring nCounter). Bile acids within the gastrointestinal tract are transported back to the liver via enterohepatic recirculation by several active transporters, ASBT (apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter) on the apical surface, and OSTα/β (organic solute transporters) on the basolateral surface.
of enterocytes (Fig. 5C) (47). Cytoplasmic IBABP (ileal bile acid-binding protein) helps to facilitate bile acid transport (Fig. 5C) (47). Bile acids in ileal enterocytes and colonic L cells bind to FXR and activate the FXR-RXR heterodimer complex, resulting in transcription of target genes, such as FGF15/19. FGF15/19 is secreted into the portal vein and transported back to the liver, where it inhibits expression of CYP7A1 in hepatocytes to directly regulate bile acid synthesis (47).

In cecal tissue, mRNA expression of receptors FXR and TGR5 and bile acid transporters ASBT and OSTα/β were significantly increased in ursodiol-pretreated mice compared to untreated mice at day 2 postchallenge (Fig. 5A, Student’s parametric t test with Welch’s correction, see Table S7 for P values). In colonic tissue, mRNA expression of the receptors FXR and TGR5, the signaling molecule FGF15, and the bile acid transporters ASBT and OSTα/β were significantly increased in ursodiol-pretreated mice compared to untreated mice (Fig. 5B, Student’s parametric t test with Welch’s correction, see Table S7 for P values). Collectively, these results demonstrate that pretreatment of ursodiol in mice with CDI increases the expression of the FXR/FGF15 pathway transcriptome in both cecal and colonic tissue.

DISCUSSION

Ursodiol, the FDA-approved commercial formulation of UDCA, is used to treat a variety of hepatic and biliary diseases, including cholesterol gallstones, primary biliary cirrhosis, primary sclerosing cirrhosis, cholangitis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, chronic viral hepatitis C, and cholestasis of pregnancy (31–40). However, limited evidence is available for use of ursodiol in CDI patients. To date, only a single case report demonstrating successful prevention of recurrent C. difficile ileal pouchitis with oral UDCA administration is available (29), suggesting that exogenous administration of the secondary bile acid UDCA may be able to restore colonization resistance against C. difficile in vivo (29). Although the mechanisms by which ursodiol exerts its impact on C. difficile are unknown, off-label use of ursodiol is currently in phase 4 clinical trials for the prevention of recurrent CDI (41). In the present study, ursodiol pretreatment impacted the life cycle of C. difficile in vivo. Although C. difficile bacterial load and toxin activity were not affected at day 2, pretreatment with ursodiol resulted in a 24- to 36-h delay in the clinical course of CDI, suggesting attenuation of CDI pathogenesis early during infection. Although ursodiol pretreatment did not alter the gut microbiota composition, significant modifications in the bile acid metabolome were observed. Several key bile acid species were significantly increased following ursodiol administration, namely, UDCA, TUDCA, and TBMCA. The tissue inflammatory transcriptome was altered, including gene expression of bile acid-activated receptors nuclear farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and transmembrane G-protein-coupled membrane receptor 5 (TGR5), which are able to modulate the innate immune response through signaling pathways such as nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB). This is the first study to investigate the impact of innate immune response regulation by bile acid-activated receptors, FXR and/or TGR5, during CDI.

Modulation of bile acid pools to restore colonization resistance against C. difficile is a rational therapeutic option, based on the importance bile acids play in the life cycle of C. difficile (25, 27). Traditional therapeutic approaches to CDI have focused on restoring bile acid pools by reestablishing the entire microbial community, such as with fecal microbota transplantation (13, 28), or supplementation of key commensals, such as Clostridium scindens, a bacterium capable of synthesizing microbe-derived secondary bile acids, which when supplemented leads to partial restoration of colonization resistance against C. difficile (11). In the present study, we deduced that direct supplementation of bile acids, such as UDCA or ursodiol, which are known to inhibit the life cycle of C. difficile (26), may be sufficient to restore or partially restore colonization resistance against this enteric pathogen by directly impacting C. difficile, but also by modulating the host inflammatory response.

The host innate immune response to CDI is an essential component of C. difficile pathogenesis (1). The acute host innate immune response is initiated by C. difficile toxin-mediated damage to the intestinal epithelial cells, loss of the epithelial barrier,
FIG 5 Ursodiol alters the FXF-FGF15 transcriptome during CDI. (A and B) Violin plots of cecal (A) and colonic (B) mRNA expression levels of normalized log2 counts of seven genes involved with the FXF-FGF15 pathway. Significance was determined by a Student’s parametric t test with Welch’s correction (*, \( P \leq 0.05 \); **, \( P \leq 0.01 \); ***, \( P \leq 0.001 \); ****, \( P \leq 0.0001 \)). (C) Schematic of seven genes within the FXF-FGF15 pathway. Bile acids modulate signaling via the nuclear bile acid receptor FXR (farnesoid X receptor) and plasma membrane receptor TGR5 (or G-protein-coupled bile acid receptor Gpbar1). Bile acids within the gastrointestinal tract are transported back to the liver via enterohepatic recirculation by several active transporters, ASBT (apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter) on...
and subsequent translocation of luminal gut microbes (1, 48). C. difficile toxin A (TcdA) and toxin B (TcdB) directly disrupt the cytoskeleton of intestinal epithelial cells, resulting in disassociation of tight junctions and loss of epithelial integrity (1, 49). In response to toxin-mediated destruction, resident immune cells and intoxicated epithelial cells release proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines to recruited circulating innate immune cells and adaptive immune cells (reviewed by Abt et al. [1]). A prominent inflammatory pathway that is activated in intestinal epithelial cells by TcdA and TcdB is the NF-κB signaling pathway through the phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), which leads to the transcription of proinflammatory cytokines, including gamma interferon (IFN-γ), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and IL-1β (50–53). In the present study, pretreatment with ursodiol resulted in alterations in the host intestinal inflammatory transcriptome. Genes encoding proteins involved in the NF-κB signaling pathway in both the cecum and colon segregated based on ursodiol pretreatment. This is not surprising given the broad ursodiol-mediated alterations in bile acid metabolome observed and the accumulating evidence that bile acid-activated receptors regulate the host innate immune response (recently reviewed by Fiorucci et al. [44]). In particular, interactions between the gut microbiota and bile acids result in alterations in bile acid pools, which in turn modulate signaling via the FXR and TGR5, both of which can regulate the innate immune response (44, 47, 54). Bile acid activation of TGR5 involves cyclic AMP (cAMP)-protein kinase A (PKA)-mediated inhibition of NF-κB, and bile acid activation of FXR leads to FXR-nuclear receptor corepressor 1 (NCoR1)-mediated repression of NF-κB-responsive elements (NRE). Bile acid activation of both pathways blunt NF-κB gene transcription, including proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β (55, 56). Additionally, TGR5 directly regulates IL-10 expression by a cAMP/PKA/p CAMP-responsive element binding protein pathway, thus further attenuating the inflammatory response (57).

Bile acids differ in their affinity and agonistic/antagonistic effects for FXR and TGR5 (44). We observed global alterations in bile acids that are both FXR and TGR5 agonists and antagonists, lending to the complexity of unravelling the cumulative effects on bile acid-activated receptors and how this impacts the overall innate immune response during CDI. Based on FXR and TGR5 signaling transcriptomics, ursodiol pretreatment in the present study resulted in increased cecal and colonic expression of genes in these pathways during CDI. Collectively, this suggests that ursodiol-induced alterations in the bile acid metabolome result in alterations of host inflammatory transcriptome, including alterations in the NF-κB signaling pathway, likely via the bile acid-activated receptors FXR and TGR5. Furthermore, this principle has also recently been demonstrated in recurrent CDI patients receiving FMTs, where effectiveness of FMT was associated with an upregulation of the FXR-FGF pathway (58).

While the present study demonstrated ursodiol-mediated attenuation of CDI early in the disease course, complete restoration of colonization resistance against this enteric pathogen was not achieved. Several limitations of the use of ursodiol pretreatment prior to CDI need to be considered. First, once daily dosing may be sufficient to obtain supraphysiologic pulses of UDCA, but these concentrations may not be sustained in the gastrointestinal tract throughout the day. Consequently, C. difficile in vivo is exposed to a daily pulse of ursodiol that inhibits its life cycle, similar to our in vitro results, only during a limited window. Alterations in the dosing scheme such as dividing the dose over two or four administration times may ameliorate this potential limitation. Second, alterations in the inflammatory microenvironment during the initial phases of CDI were observed in this study; however, these effects were not sustained throughout CDI. Potentially, longer pretreatment with ursodiol is needed to result in sustained altera-

FIG 5 Legend (Continued)

the apical surface and OStα/β (organic solute transporters) on the basolateral surfaces of enterocytes. Cytoplasmic IBABP (ileal bile acid-binding protein) helps to facilitate bile acid transport. Bile acids in ileal enterocytes and colonic L cells bind to FXR and activate the FXR-RXR heterodimer complex, resulting in transcripton of target genes, such as FGF15/19. FGF15/19 is secreted into the portal vein and transported back to the liver, where it inhibits expression of CYP7A1 in hepatocytes to directly regulate bile acid synthesis.
tions to the host innate immune response. Last, ursodiol may be more effective as a preventative for relapsing CDI, meaning that following successful treatment of CDI with antibiotic treatment, ursodiol could be administered to prevent relapse of infection.

Regardless, our results highlight that ursodiol pretreatment was able to significantly alter the bile acid metabolome and host inflammatory transcriptome during CDI. Although ursodiol pretreatment did not result in colonization resistance against C. difficile, attenuated pathogenesis of C. difficile was noted early in the disease course. Collectively, we theorize that ursodiol-induced alterations within the intestinal bile acid metabolome result in activation of bile acid receptors, such as FXR and TGR5, which are able to modulate the innate immune response through signaling pathways such as NF-κB, thus mitigating an overly robust host inflammatory response that can be detrimental to the host. Given these results and the effectiveness of ursodiol to inhibit the C. difficile life cycle, ursodiol remains a viable nonantibiotic treatment and/or prevention strategy against CDI. The clinical utility of ursodiol to mitigation of an overly robust host inflammatory response may be particularly beneficial for treating patients with severe CDI. Further studies are required to define the optimum dose and duration of therapy in humans, particularly since ursodiol undergoes rapid absorption in the small intestine, thus resulting in low intracolonic concentrations. Moreover, targeting bile acid-activated receptors FXR and TGR5 to attenuate an overly robust detrimental host immune response during CDI merits further consideration as a potential therapeutic intervention for CDI patients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Spore preparation.** C. difficile R20291 spores were prepared as described previously (22, 26, 59). Briefly, C. difficile R20291 was grown at 37°C anaerobically overnight in 2 ml Columbia broth and then added to 40-ml culture of Clospore medium in which it was allowed to sporulate for 5 to 7 days. Spores were harvested by centrifugation and subjected to three to five washes with sterile cold water to ensure spore purity. Spore stocks were stored at 4°C in sterile water until use.

**C. difficile in vitro spore germination and outgrowth assay.** The spore germination and outgrowth assay performed was modified from Carlson et al. (60), Theriot et al. (22), and Thanissery et al. (26). Purified spores were enumerated and tested for purity before use. The spore stock was resuspended in ultrapure water to achieve an initial spore concentration of approximately 10⁶ spores/ml. The spore suspension was subjected to heat treatment (65°C for 20 min) to eliminate any vegetative cells. The culture was then plated on both brain heart infusion (BHI) with 100 mg/liter L-cysteine and BHI medium supplemented with TCA plus SBAs)/(CFU on BHI supplemented with TCA alone)]

**C. difficile in vitro growth kinetics assay.** The growth kinetic assay was modified from Thanissery et al. (26) and performed over a 24-h period. C. difficile R20291 was cultured overnight at 37°C in BHI plus 100 mg/liter L-cysteine broth in an anaerobic chamber. After 14 h of growth, C. difficile R20291 was subcultured 1:10 and 1:5 into BHI plus 100 mg/liter L-cysteine and allowed to grow for 3 h anaerobically at 37°C. The culture was then diluted in fresh BHI to a starting optical density of 0.0000 (OD₆₀₀) of 0.01 within a conical tube at a final volume of 50 ml. Five concentrations of filter-sterilized ursodiol (0.0076, 0.076, 0.76, and 7.64 mM dissolved in ethanol) were added to each culture and anaerobically incubated for 72 h at 37°C. The optical density was monitored every 4 h for 12 h and then once at 24 h. Cultures were inverted prior to obtaining the optical density on a cell density meter (WPA Biowave). Due to optical density interference from gelatin formation at 7.64 mM ursodiol, this concentration was assayed only for viability.

To assess C. difficile R20291 viability, culture aliquots (100 μl) were taken at each time point and enumerated on TBHI plates (BHI supplemented with TCA) to obtain total CFU per milliliter, which represents total vegetative cells and spores of C. difficile R20291. At each time point, a second culture aliquot (100 μl) was heat treated at 65°C for 20 min in order to eliminate all vegetative cells. This
Fecal pellets were collected daily for clinical signs of CDI (lethargy, inappetence, diarrhea, and hunched posture) for 7 days postchallenge. Cecum and colon based upon a previously published scoring scheme (63). Cefoperazone-treated mice, no ursodiol, challenged with C. difficile of USP, Spectrum Chemical, CAS 128-13-2) daily via oral gavage. Mice were then challenged with 10^5 spores were defrosted on ice, and three 200-μl aliquots from each treatment and time point were then centrifuged at 1,750 rpm for 5 min to pellet vegetative C. difficile. Supernatant was collected from each sample, and 10-fold dilutions, to a maximum of 10^-4, were performed. Sample dilutions were incubated 1:1 with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (for all dilutions) or antitoxin (performed for 10^-1 and 10^-4 dilutions only) (catalog no. T5000; TechLabs) for 40 min at room temperature. Following incubation, these admixtures were added to the Vero cells, and the plates were incubated overnight at 37°C 5% CO₂. Vero cells were viewed under ×200 magnification for rounding after overnight incubation. The cytotoxic titer was defined as the reciprocal of the highest dilution that produced rounding in 80% of Vero cells for each sample. Vero cells treated with purified C. difficile toxins (A and B) and antitoxin (List Biological Labs [catalog no. 152C and 155C]; TechLabs [catalog no. T5000]) were used as controls.

**Ethics statement.** The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine (NCSU) approved this study. The NCSU Animal Care and Use policy applies standards and guidelines set forth in the Animal Welfare Act and Health Research Facilities Assurance (AFAR) of 1988. The facility adheres to guidelines set forth in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (62). The animals’ health statuses were assessed daily, and moribund animals were humanely euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation followed by secondary measures (cervical dislocation). Trained animal technicians or a veterinarian performed animal husbandry in an AAALAC-accredited facility during this study.

**Animals and housing.** C57BL/6J mice (females and males) were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and quarantined for 1 week prior to starting the antibiotic water administration to adapt to the new facilities and avoid stress-associated responses. Following quarantine, the mice were housed with autoclaved food, bedding, and water. Cage changes were performed weekly in a laminar flow hood by laboratory staff. Mice had a 12-h cycle of light and darkness.

**Ursodiol and sample collection.** Groups of 5-week-old C57BL/6J mice (male and female) were treated with ursodiol at doses (50, 150, and 450 mg/kg dissolved in corn oil; Ursodiol USP, Spectrum Chemical, CAS 128-13-2) given daily via oral gavage for 14 days. Concurrently mice were administered cefoperazone (0.5 mg/ml), a broad-spectrum antibiotic, in their drinking ad libitum for 5 days. A control group of mice were administered only cefoperazone in their drinking water ad libitum for 5 days. Two independent experiments were performed, with a total of eight mice per treatment group. Fecal pellets were collected twice daily, flash frozen, and stored at –80°C until further analysis.

**Murine model of C. difficile infection and sample collection.** Groups of 5-week-old C57BL/6J mice (male and female; 12 mice/treatment group) were given cefoperazone (0.5 mg/ml), in their drinking ad libitum for 5 days, followed by a 2-day wash out with regular drinking water ad libitum (Fig. 3A). A group of cefoperazone-treated mice were pretreated with ursodiol (450 mg/kg dissolved in corn oil; Ursodiol USP, Spectrum Chemical, CAS 128-13-2) daily via oral gavage. Mice were then challenged with 10^9 spores of C. difficile R20291 via oral gavage at day 0 (C. diff + U450). Mice were monitored for weight loss and clinical signs of CDI (lethargy, inappetence, diarrhea, and hunched posture) for 7 days postchallenge. Fecal pellets were collected daily for C. difficile enumeration. Controls for this experiment included cefoperazone-treated mice, no ursodiol, challenged with C. diff (C. diff only), and cefoperazone-treated mice pretreated with the corn oil vehicle (equivalent volume, 75 μl) daily via oral gavage and then challenged with 10^9 spores of C. difficile R20291 via oral gavage at day 0 (C. diff + corn oil). Uninfected controls included cefoperazone-treated mice (Cef only) and mice pretreated with ursodiol (450 mg/kg dissolved in corn oil; Cef + U450). Animals were euthanized after losing 20% of initial baseline weight or after developing any severe clinical signs noted above.

Necropsy was performed on days 2, 4, and 7 postchallenge. The contents and tissue from the cecum and colon were collected immediately at necropsy, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C until further analysis. To prevent RNA degradation, snips of cecal and colonic tissue were also stored in RNALater at 80°C until further analysis. The cecum and colon were prepared for histology by placing intact tissue into histology cassettes, stored in 10% neutral buffered formalin at a ratio of 1:10 tissue-fixative at room temperature for 72 h, and then transferred to room temperature 70% ethyl alcohol. Tissues were processed, paraffin embedded, sectioned at 4 μm thick, and stained by routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histopathological examination (LCCC Animal Histopathology Core Facility at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill). Histopathologic evaluation was conducted by a board-certified veterinary pathologist (S. Montgomery) in an a priori blind manner in which individuals within groups were masked at time of scoring. For tissue scoring, a 0 to 4 numerical scoring system was employed to separately assess edema, inflammatory cell infiltration, and epithelial cell damage in the cecum and colon based upon a previously published scoring scheme (63).

**Bile acid quantitation by UPLC-MS/MS of murine cecal and fecal content.** Targeted analysis of bile acids on fecal pellets (three mice per treatment group were selected) were performed with an...
Technologies), 2 to removed using UCHIME (70). Sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTU) using a protocol for 16S sequencing with the Illumina MiSeq personal sequencer (65). (Updated versions of this package in R were used to create NMDS ordination on serial fecal samples.

Clara, CA) to assess the quality of RNA. RNA extraction, quantification, and purity assessment were performed at the Microbiome Shared Resource Lab, Duke University, Durham, NC. Heatmaps and box and whisker plots of bile acid concentrations and nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) depicting the dissimilarity indices via Horn distances between bile acid profiles were generated using R packages (http://www.R-project.org).

**Immunia MiSeq sequencing of bacterial communities.** Microbial DNA was extracted from murine feces (three mice per treatment group were selected) using the PowerSoil-htp 96-well soil DNA isolation kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Inc.). The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified from each sample using a dual-indexing sequencing strategy (65). Each 20-µl PCR mixture contained 2 µl of 10× Accuprime PCR buffer II (Life Technologies), 0.15 µl of Accuprime high-fidelity Taq (catalog no. 12346094) DNA polymerase (Life Technologies), 2 µl of a 4.0 µM primer set, 1 µl DNA, and 11.85 µl sterile double-distilled water (ddH2O) (free of DNA, RNAse, and DNase contamination). The template DNA concentration was 1 to 10 ng/µl for a high bacterial DNA/host DNA ratio. PCR was performed under the following conditions: (i) 2 min at 95°C; (ii) 20 cycles, with 1 cycle consisting of 95°C for 20 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 5 min; (iii) 72°C for 10 min. Each 20-µl PCR mixture contained 2 µl of 10× Accuprime PCR buffer II (Life Technologies), 0.15 µl of Accuprime high-fidelity Taq (catalog no. 12346094) DNA polymerase (Life Technologies), 2 µl of 4.0 µM primer set, 1 µl DNA, and 11.85 µl sterile ddH2O (free of DNA, RNAse, and DNase contamination). The template DNA concentration was 1 to 10 ng/µl for a high bacterial DNA/host DNA ratio. PCR was performed under the following conditions: (i) 2 min at 95°C; (ii) 20 cycles, with 1 cycle consisting of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 5 min (with a 0.3°C increase of the 60°C annealing temperature each cycle); (iii) 20 cycles, with 1 cycle consisting of 95°C for 20 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 5 min; (iv) 72°C for 10 min. Libraries were normalized using a life technologies sequiPrep normalization plate kit (catalog no. A10510-01) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of the pooled samples was determined using the Kapa Biosystems library quantification kit for Illumina platforms (catalog no. KK4854; Kapa Biosystems). The sizes of the amplicons in the library were determined using the Kapa Biosystems library quantification kit for Illumina platforms (catalog no. KK4854; Kapa Biosystems). The sizes of the amplicons in the library were determined using the Agilent Bioanalyzer high-sensitivity DNA analysis kit (catalog no. 5067-4626). The final library consisted of equal molar amounts from each of the plates, normalized to the pooled plate at the lowest concentration.

Sequencing was done on the Illumina MiSeq platform, using a MiSeq reagent kit V2 with 500 cycles (catalog no. MS-102-203) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with modifications (65). Libraries were prepared according to Illumina’s protocol for preparing libraries for sequencing on the MiSeq (part 15039740 Rev. D) for 2 or 4 nM libraries. The final load concentration was 4 PM (but it can be up to 8 PM) with a 10% PhiX spike to add diversity. Sequencing reagents were prepared according to Illumina’s protocol for 16S sequencing with the Illumina MiSeq personal sequencer (65). (Updated versions of this protocol can be found at http://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP.) Custom read 1, read 2, and index primers were added to the reagent cartridge, and FASTQ files were generated for paired-end reads.

**Microbiome analysis.** Analysis of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was done using mothur (version 1.40.1) (65, 66). Briefly, the standard operating procedure (SOP) at http://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP was followed to process the MiSeq data. The paired-end reads were assembled into contigs and then aligned to the SILVA 16S rRNA sequence database (release 132) (67, 68) and were classified to the mypho-adapted RDP training set v16 (69) using the Wang method and an 80% bootstrap minimum to the family taxonomic level. All samples with <500 sequences were removed. Chimeric sequences were removed using UCHIME (70). Sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTU) using a 3% species-level definition. The OTU data were then filtered to include only those OTU that made up 1% or more of the total sequences. The percentage of relative abundance of bacterial phyla and family members in each sample was calculated. A cutoff of 0.03 (97%) was used to define operational taxonomic units (OTU) and Yue and Clayton dissimilarity metric (17) was utilized to assess beta diversity. Standard packages in R were used to create NMDS ordination on serial fecal samples.

**mRNA isolation and gene expression analysis.** RNA extraction was performed on cecal and colonic tissue using Zymo Research RNA extraction kit (Irvine, CA). After RNA extraction, samples were quantified using an Invitrogen Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and run on an Agilent Bioanalyzer NanoChip (Santa Clara, CA) to assess the quality of RNA. RNA extraction, quantification, and purity assessment were performed at the Microbiome Shared Resource Lab, Duke University, Durham, NC.

The nCounter Mouse Inflammation v2 gene expression panel and custom code set was purchased from NanoString Technologies (Seattle, WA) and consists of 254 inflammation-related mouse genes, including six internal reference genes (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and 10 custom genes of interest (Table S2). The nCounter assay was performed using 100 ng of total RNA. Hybridization reactions were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions with 5-µl diluted sample preparation reaction, and samples were hybridized overnight. Hybridized reactions were purified using the Acquity ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system using a C18 BEH column (2.1 by 100 mm; 1.7 µm) coupled with a Xevo TQ-S triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source operating in a negative ionization mode (All Waters, Milford, MA) as previously described (64). The sample was thawed on ice, and 25 mg was added to 1 ml of precooled methanol containing 0.5 µM stable-isotope-labeled bile acids as internal standards (55), followed by homogenization (Precells, Bertin Technologies, Rockville, MD) with 1.0-mm-diameter zirconia/silica beads (BioSpec, Bartlesville, OK) and centrifugation (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 13,200 × g and 4°C for 20 min. Two hundred microliters of the supernatant was transferred to an autosampler vial. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to an autosampler vial for quantitation. Bile acids were detected by either multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) for conjugated bile acid) or selected ion monitoring (SIM) for nonconjugated bile acid. Mass spectrometry (MS) methods were developed by infusing individual bile acid standards. Calibration curves were used to quantify the biological concentration of bile acids. Bile acid quantitation was performed at Penn State University.

Heatmaps and box and whisker plots of bile acid concentrations and nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) depicting the dissimilarity indices via Horn distances between bile acid profiles were generated using R packages (http://www.R-project.org).
nCounter Prep Station (NanoString Technologies), and data collection was performed on the nCounter Digital Analyzer (NanoString Technologies) following the manufacturer’s instructions to count targets. Nanostring nCounter Max platform was utilized at UNC Translational Genomics Laboratory to perform these assays.

The raw data were normalized to six internal reference genes within each tissue type with the lowest coefficient of variation using nSolver software following the manufacturer’s instructions (NanoString Technologies). Using the nSolver Advanced analysis software, after preprocessing and normalization, the counts were log, transformed and z-scores were calculated (NanoString Technologies).

To identify highly significant genes and molecular pathways, the gene set was subsequently uploaded to Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) database (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA) and analyzed in the context of known biological response and regulatory networks. IPA was also used to assess gene expression fold changes comparing ursodiol-pretreated CDI mice to untreated CDI mice.

To calculate significance between treatment groups in bile acid profiles, individual bile acids, the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by Dunnett’s multiple-comparison posthoc test was used. To calculate significance between treatment groups in NMDS plots (71). nSolver software and IPA were used to calculate significance in gene expression fold change comparing mice pretreated with ursodiol to untreated mice.

For the in vivo experiments, a two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple-comparison posthoc test was used to calculate significance between treatment groups in bile acid profiles, individual bile acids, C. difficile load (CFU/gram) cecal content, and total histologic score. A two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple-comparison posthoc test was used to calculate significance between treatment groups in baseline weight loss. A Student’s t test corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method was used to calculate significance between treatment groups in cecal content toxin activity and edema score. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was used to detect significant microbial community clustering of treatment groups in NMDS plots (71). nSolver software and IPA were used to calculate significance in gene expression fold change comparing mice pretreated with ursodiol to untreated mice.

Availability of data and material. Raw 16S sequences have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession numbers PRJNA622536 and SAMN14518789 to SAMN14518901. All other data, including bile acid metabolomics and Nanostrings expression data are provided in Tables S3 to S7 in the supplemental material.
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